AMENDED AGENDA
St. Louis County Council
Tuesday, December 22, 2020 at 1:00 PM

Original Agenda

St. Louis County Council Chamber, Lawrence K. Roos Building, 41 S. Central Ave., Clayton, MO  63105

MEETING WILL BE CONDUCTED BY VIDEOCONFERENCE ONLY

Note: For individuals with disabilities who may need an accommodation to participate in the meeting or require an alternative format for this material, please contact Diann L. Valenti, Administrative Director, at (314) 615-5440 (voice) or call RelayMO 711 or 1-800-735-2966 (TTY), or by email at dvalenti@stlouisco.com. In order to provide your requested accommodation, please contact us as soon as possible prior to the meeting date.

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE COUNCIL MEETING AND PARTICIPATION IN THE COUNCIL’S PUBLIC FORUM ORDER OF BUSINESS

The Council will conduct the meeting via videoconference.

The Council Chamber and Conference Room remain closed to the public until further notice in order to limit the spread of the COVID-19 virus and to protect the safety, health and welfare of the public and of St. Louis County employees.

Members of the public can view the meeting from the “PUBLIC NOTICES” link on the https://stlouiscountymo.gov/st-louis-county-public-notices/ page or going directly to: http://bit.ly/CC1222. NOTE: When accessing the meeting via the WebEx application, you will be required to register by providing your name, email address, and zip code, and also be prompted to select whether or not you wish to speak during the Public Forum.

Members of the public can also listen to the live audio of the meeting by dialing 1-408-418-9388 United States Toll - Access Code: 132 902 6812.

Also, a recording of the meeting will be posted to the Council’s YouTube channel at https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCVp5rbCqu1VnPr04HbvYP9w

NOTE CHANGES TO PUBLIC FORUM PARTICIPATION

Regarding the Public Forum Order of Business of the Council Agenda: Pursuant to Council Rules, members of the public may make a statement or present matters to the Council during the Public Forum Order of Business via electronic means only. On the day of the meeting, anyone who wishes to speak will need to access the unique WebEx link for the meeting, which can be found on the County website at https://stlouiscountymo.gov under the Public Notices tab on the homepage and is printed on the front page of this Agenda. Anyone who wishes to speak must register upon accessing the WebEx link. Registration to speak will occur ONLY thirty (30) minutes prior to the posted start time of the meeting until two (2) minutes prior to the posted start time of the meeting. Anyone who registers outside of that window will not be called upon to speak. Registration will require providing both a first and last name, email address, zip code, and selecting whether or not you wish to speak during the Public Forum. If both a first and last name are not provided, the registrant will not be called upon to
speak. During Public Forum, the speaker’s name will be announced in advance by a staff member. When called upon, the speaker can present themselves on camera or by audio only. All speakers will be limited to three (3) minutes and their statements will be transcribed into the Journal of the Council meeting. For individuals with disabilities who may need an accommodation to participate in the Public Forum, please contact Diann Valenti, Administrative Director, at (314) 615-5440 (voice) or call RelayMo 711 or 1-800-735-2966 (TTY) or by email at dvalenti@stlouisco.com as soon as possible prior to the start of the meeting time.

TENTATIVE

ORDER OF BUSINESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. ROLL CALL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. APPROVAL OF JOURNAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Meeting of December 15, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. BID OPENING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. COMMUNICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(a) TAX COMPROMISES
(b) ZONING MATTERS
(c) ROAD AND BRIDGE MATTERS

1. All Districts
Communication from the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works, RE: Monthly Transportation Capital Construction Program Report, stating the monthly total of payments to contractors processed in November, 2020 was $467,070.48 and the year-to-date total is $17,676,430.15, as indicated 12.22.2020~Rd&B-1

(d) OTHER COMMUNICATIONS

1. Communication dated December 15, 2020 from Jeannette Zinkgraf, Records Manager, and Tod A. Martin, Director of Administration, requesting authorization in accordance with Sections 101.010 through 101.030 SLCRO, to destroy records relating to the Department of Assessor, LIS Division and the Department of Planning identified as 2014 Assessor Subdivision Plats 1 - 5 and 1987-2019 Planning Subdivision Files 13 - 18, stating said records have been electronically scanned and processed in accordance with provisions set forth in 101.025 SLCRO and the papers are no longer needed 12.22.2020~OC-1

2. Communication dated December 17, 2020 from Jamie Hoeninger, Records Manager, and Tod A. Martin, Director of Administration, requesting authorization in accordance with Sections 101.010 through 101.030 SLCRO, to destroy records relating to the Department of Transportation & Public Works, 2016 Construction Plans, stating said records have been electronically scanned and processed in accordance with provisions set forth in 101.025 SLCRO and the
papers are no longer needed

3. Communication with attachment dated December 14, 2020, from Andrea Jackson-Jennings, Director of the Department of Human Services, requesting approval as provided in Section 8.050 and Ordinance #27,318 and with the concurrence of the Budget Director, for the transfer of $212,520 within the General Revenue Fund appropriation of the Department of Human Services, as indicated, stating the transfer is necessary to move appropriation to cover salary and fringe expenses of the Deputy Director position moved from Workforce Development, and for computer software costs

4. Communication with attachment dated December 11, 2020, from Courtney Whiteside, Director of the Department of Municipal Courts, requesting approval as provided in Section 8.050 and Ordinance #27,318 and with the concurrence of the Budget Director, for the transfer of $22,900 within the General Revenue Fund appropriation of the Department of Municipal Court, as indicated, stating the transfer is necessary to cover an anticipated year end shortage for Tyler court interface charges and the purchase of laptops for court staff

5. Communication with attachment dated December 15, 2020 from Thomas Ott, Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation, requesting approval as provided in Section 8.050 and Ordinance #27,318 and with the concurrence of the Budget Director, for the transfer of $1,775,332.43 within the Park Maintenance (1050) Fund appropriation of the Department of Parks and Recreation, as indicated, stating the transfer of $175,000 is to satisfy the Howard Bend Levy tax increases, as described, and further stating the transfer of $1,600,332.43 is to adjust coding entries caused by the consolidation of departments sections

6. Communication with attachment dated December 10, 2020 from Gerard W. Hollis, Jr., Director of the Department of Revenue, requesting approval as provided in Section 8.050 and Ordinance #27,318 and with the concurrence of the Budget Director, for the transfer of $17,600 within the Record Preservation Fund appropriation of the Department of Revenue, as indicated, stating this transfer is needed to move appropriation for a much-needed microfilm equipment to maintain operational stability within the Recorder of Deeds office, as described

7. 3rd District
Communication with attachments dated December 16, 2020 from the Honorable Tim Fitch, Councilman for the 3rd District, directed to Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor, requesting preparation of legislation for introduction at the December 22, 2020 Council Meeting regarding the attached asset purchase list submitted by the Chief of Police

8. 5th District
Communication from the Honorable Lisa Clancy, Council Chairwoman and
Councilwoman for the 5th District, directed to Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor, requesting preparation of an Order for introduction at the December 22, 2020 Council Meeting reflecting that the Council will be on recess and will not meet on December 29, 2020, and further reflecting that the Council will hold their next regular meeting on Tuesday, January 5, 2021 at 6:30 p.m., and further reflecting due to the fact that Friday, January 1, 2021 is New Year's Day, the County Clerk shall not prepare and post an Add-On Agenda for the meeting of Tuesday, January 5, 2021, and further reflecting any Agenda items submitted after noon on January 4, 2021 shall be placed on the Regular Agenda of the Council meeting scheduled for January 12, 2021.

9. 5th District
Submission of the following schedule: ST. LOUIS COUNTY COUNCIL 2021 – SCHEDULE OF FORTY-EIGHT REGULAR COUNCIL MEETINGS - Tuesday evenings at 6:30 p.m. (unless otherwise indicated), effective January 1, 2021.

10. 5th and 2nd Districts
Communication dated December 18, 2020 from the Honorable Lisa Clancy, Council Chairwoman and Councilwoman for the 5th District, directed to Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor, requesting preparation of a resolution for introduction at the December 22, 2020 Council Meeting pursuant to Santa Claus being able to deliver presents this year amidst the ongoing pandemic, as indicated, and further requesting Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway be added as a cosponsor.

11. Communication with attachment from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Chairman of the St. Louis County Emergency Communications Commission, to authorize an amendment to a contract with Black & Veatch Corporation (Black & Veatch) for the provision of engineering services in connection with implementation of the Emergency Communications System, stating the purpose of the proposed amendment is to amend the name of Black & Veatch to Mission Critical Partners, Inc. (MCP) which acquired Black & Veatch in 2020 and to extend the contract through December 31, 2024 in an amount not-to-exceed $532,230, as indicated, and further stating the extension of the contract will allow MCP to provide additional support for the completion of the Next Generation 9-1-1 project and development of a replacement network for the current Charter Spectrum network, as described.

12. Communication with attachment from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, as requested by Marshall Day, Director of Operations for the Family Court of St. Louis County, requesting the necessary legislative action to accept and appropriate $1,975 in grant funds from Youth in Need to benefit the Family Court of St. Louis County's (Family Court) Family Dinner Cooking Class, as indicated, stating the Family Dinner Cooking Class focuses on teaching youth in the Family Court's programs about selecting nutritional, low-cost meals in their own homes, as described.
13. Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by Marshall Day, Director of Operations for the Family Court of St. Louis County, to accept and appropriate $150,000 in FY2020 Domestic Violence Mentor Court Technical Assistance Initiative Solicitation (DVMC) grant funds from the Department of Justice, Office of Violence Against Women to support the Family Court of St. Louis County's domestic violence programs and support services for victims of domestic violence, as indicated, stating the DVMC grant is provided in recognition of well-established specialized courts and enables them to assist other courts and court-based programs that wish to significantly improve their own programs and responses to domestic violence cases, as described

12.22.2020~OC-13

14. Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, as requested by Marshall Day, Director of Operations for the Family Court of St. Louis County, recommending the necessary legislative action, to accept and appropriate $500,000 in FY20 Juvenile Justice System Enhancement grant funds from the Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs to support the Family Court of St. Louis County's Juvenile Transition Program, stating the term of this new grant runs from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2023, as indicated, and further stating the grant will support the Family Court's Juvenile Transition Program which is intended to develop, implement and test innovative and research-based responses to crime and other public safety and community challenges, especially as they pertain to area youth, as described

12.22.2020~OC-14

15. Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Chief of Police, to appropriate funds in the amount of $886,820 from the unappropriated balances of the Police Asset Sharing Funds to the Police Department for the purpose of purchasing items 1 through 30 of specialized law enforcement items detailed in the attachment, as indicated, stating the St. Louis County Police Department participates in the Federal Asset Sharing Program wherein recovered drug-related monies or items are shared with the recovering department and the federal government to be used to directly benefit the law enforcement agencies, as described

12.22.2020~OC-15

16. Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Acting Director of the Public Health Department, to authorize an amendment to Ordinance 27,603 pertaining to a grant from the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (MDHSS) to benefit the Department of Public Health's (DPH) "Home Asthma Assessment and Education" program (the Program), specifically requesting that the above ordinance be amended to accept and appropriate $9,922 annually from MDHSS, and to extend the term of the grant through August 31, 2021, as indicated, stating the Program is intended to provide home environmental assessments and home asthma management education to residents across the St. Louis region, as described

12.22.2020~OC-16
17. 1st District
Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works, to authorize a contract for consulting engineering services with Oates Association Inc. (Oates) in an amount not-to-exceed $266,000 which includes $12,705.57 in contingency items, as indicated, stating the purpose of the projects is to complete resurfacing along Midland Boulevard from Page Avenue to Canton Avenue, and further stating the projects will include pavement repairs, curb ramp replacement, and upgrades of traffic signals to current ADA standards, as described, and further requesting that the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works be authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the contract and revise the scope of work as deemed necessary and prudent; St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1795 and AR-1797
12.22.2020~OC-17

18. 1st and 5th Districts
Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works, to authorize a contract for consulting engineering services with George Butler Associates, Inc. (GBA) in an amount not-to-exceed $329,800, which includes $6,317.45 in contingency items, as indicated, stating the purpose of the projects is to complete resurfacing along Vernon Avenue from Midland Boulevard to the boundary of the County and the City of St. Louis, and further stating the project will include pavement repairs, curb replacement, and upgrades of traffic signals to current ADA standards, as described, and further requesting that the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works be authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the contract and revise the schedule of work activities as deemed necessary and prudent; St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1717 and AR-1737
12.22.2020~OC-18

19. 5th District
Communication with attachments from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, recommending the necessary legislative action, as requested by the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works, to authorize a contract with Raineri Construction, LLC (Raineri) in the amount of $199,400.40, with potential change orders of up to $9,970.02 (5%), for a total amount not-to-exceed $209,370.42, as indicated, stating the purpose of the project is to complete improvements along approximately 400 feet of Laclede Station Road in the City of Webster Groves including removal of a modular block retaining wall and replacement with a reinforced concrete retaining wall as well as improvements to sidewalk, curb, gutter and storm sewer, as described, and further requesting that the Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works be authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the contract and revise the schedule of work activities as deemed necessary and prudent; St. Louis County Project No. AR-1685
12.22.2020~OC-19

20. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant
to Section 630.070 SLCRO, as of **December 18, 2020**, the following individuals are hereby appointed to the St. Louis County Commission on Disabilities: **Luke Thomas Barber** to serve in the required, vacant position of *individual with a disability* for a term of **three (3) years**, **Dawn Chapman** to serve in the required, vacant position of *individual who has a family member with a disability* for a term of **three (3) years**, **John Lewis** to serve in the required, vacant position of *individual representing an agency that serves persons with disabilities* for a term of **three (3) years**, and **Stephanie McDowell** to serve in the required, vacant position of *individual with a disability* for a term of **three (3) years**, as indicated, and further stating these appointments are referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

12.22.2020~OC-20

21. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating as of **December 16, 2020**, **Ambassador Kevin O’Malley** is appointed to the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership Board of Directors for a term of **three (3) years**, as indicated

12.22.2020~OC-21

22. 4th District
   Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Sections 67.1712 and 67.1730 RSMo, **Mr. Karlton Thornton** is hereby appointed to the Metropolitan Park and Recreation District Board of Directors (Great Rivers Greenway) to serve in the position of *resident of the 4th Council District* for a term of **three (3) years**, as indicated, and further stating this appointment is referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

12.22.2020~OC-22

23. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Section 184.358 RSMo, **Pamela Trapp** is hereby appointed to the Zoological Park and Museum District - Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners as a *non-voting advisory member* to fulfill an unexpired term through **December 31, 2020**, as indicated, and further stating this appointment is referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

12.22.2020~OC-23

24. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Section 184.358 RSMo, the following individuals are hereby appointed to the Zoological Park and Museum District - Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners: **John Fox** as a *voting member* to fill an unexpired term through **December 31, 2021** and **Dr. Ingrid Taylor** as a *voting member* for a term of **four (4) years to commence on January 1, 2021 and end on December 31, 2024**, as indicated, and further stating these appointments are referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information.

12.22.2020~OC-24

ADD ONS

Other Communications

1. 3rd District
   Communication dated **December 18, 2020** from the Honorable Tim Fitch,
Councilman for the 3rd District, directed to Beth Orwick, County Counselor, requesting preparation of a substitute bill for Bill No. 314, as indicated, stating the substitute bill should include requirements pertaining to M/WBE Certification for outside counsel, as described in Ordinance No. 27,043, even if the counsel is selected outside of the standard RFP (Request for Proposal) process

12.22.2020~Add On-1

2. 2nd District
Communication from the Honorable Kelli Dunaway, Councilwoman for the 2nd District, directed to Kyle Cronin, Associate County Counselor, requesting preparation of a resolution for introduction at the December 22, 2020 honoring the late Linda Eaker

12.22.2020~Add On-2

3. 1st District
Communication with attachment dated December 21, 2020 from the Honorable Rita H. Days, Councilwoman for the 1st District, directed to Diann Valenti, Administrative Director, RE: Communication for Add-On Agenda

12.22.2020~Add On-3

4. 1st District
Communication dated December 21, 2020 from the Honorable Rita H. Days, Councilwoman for the 1st District, directed to Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor, requesting preparation of an honorary resolution for introduction at the December 22, 2020 Council Meeting recognizing the distinguished achievements of St. Louis County Councilwoman Rochelle Walton Gray

12.22.2020~Add On-4

5. 4th District
Communication dated December 21, 2020 from the Honorable Rochelle Walton Gray, Councilwoman for the 4th District, directed to Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor, requesting preparation of a resolution for introduction at the December 22, 2020 Council Meeting to correct an error within the previous resolution passed pertaining to improving the habitat for native plants

12.22.2020~Add On-5

6. Communication with attachments dated December 21, 2020 from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating on June 29, 2020, outside experts were asked to evaluate the St. Louis County Police Department’s policies and practices against industry-leading standards and best practices, as indicated, and forwarding the St. Louis County Police Department Administrative Review - Findings and Recommendations, prepared by the The Teneo Risk team

12.22.2020~Add On-6

5. REPORT OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, returned to the Administrative Director, endorsed with his approval, the following bills, said bills being duly adopted by the County Council on December 15, 2020, and approved by the County Executive on December 18, 2020:

BILL NO. 275, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,934, 2020
BILL NO. 276, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,935, 2020
BILL NO. 277, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,936, 2020
BILL NO. 278, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,937, 2020
BILL NO. 279, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,938, 2020
BILL NO. 280, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,939, 2020
BILL NO. 281, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,940, 2020
BILL NO. 282, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,941, 2020
BILL NO. 283, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,942, 2020
BILL NO. 284, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,943, 2020
BILL NO. 285, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,944, 2020
BILL NO. 286, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,945, 2020
BILL NO. 287, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,946, 2020
BILL NO. 288, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,947, 2020
BILL NO. 289, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,948, 2020
BILL NO. 290, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,949, 2020
BILL NO. 291, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,950, 2020
BILL NO. 292, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,951, 2020
BILL NO. 293, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,952, 2020
BILL NO. 294, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,953, 2020
BILL NO. 295, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,954, 2020
BILL NO. 296, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,955, 2020
BILL NO. 297, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,956, 2020
BILL NO. 298, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,957, 2020
BILL NO. 299, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,958, 2020
BILL NO. 300, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,959, 2020
BILL NO. 301, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,960, 2020
BILL NO. 303, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,961, 2020
BILL NO. 304, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,962, 2020
BILL NO. 305, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,963, 2020
BILL NO. 306, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,964, 2020
BILL NO. 307, 2020 became Ordinance No. 27,965, 2020

6. REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEES

SPECIAL COMMITTEE FOR OVERSIGHT OF THE COVID-19 FUNDING AND EXPENDITURES

1. Report of the Special Committee for Oversight of COVID-19 Funding and Expenditures meeting held on Friday, December 11, 2020 at 11:00 a.m. to share information about encumbrances and expenditures, contracts that have been awarded, changing needs in the community, and projections of future need; and engage the public in a debate about how future Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act grant money is spent.
8. INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

4th District
To amend Boards and Commissions Code
08.28.2018~OC-1

5th District
Banning the use of self-deleting applications by County officials and employees conducting public business
10.15.2019~OC-8

6th District
To authorize the placement of a proposed amendment to the St. Louis County Charter on the election ballot of November 2020, as indicated, stating the proposed amendment to Article II will create and add a new section, Section 2.300, titled “Council Attorney”, and further stating the proposed amendment shall create the positions of one (1) Assistant Council Attorney and one (1) administrative/clerical staff member (OC-10) 5/5/20
05.05.2020~OC-10

1st District
To amend Ordinance No. 27,043 to ensure policies are in place to assist the most vulnerable given the impact of COVID-19
05.19.2020~OC-11

5th, 2nd and 7th Districts
To add to the required experience of the St. Louis County Auditor position
10.06.2020~OC-14

4th District
To authorize a contract with ShotSpotter, Inc. (ShotSpotter) for implementation of the ShotSpotter program in the Glasgow Village area of St. Louis County, as indicated, stating the term of contract is for two (2) years to commence on January 1, 2021 and continue through December 31, 2022 at a cost not-to-exceed $318,000 to be paid by the St. Louis Police Foundation on behalf of the Police Department, and further requesting that the Council authorize acceptance and receipt of these funds, and execution of documents necessary to implement this program, and further stating expansion of the program through this proposed contract will increase community and officer safety and enhance emergency response services by expanding the coverage boundary to include an area where a higher number of gun-related instances have occurred, as described, as requested by the Chief of Police
11.24.2020~OC-17

To amend Chapter 107 SLCRO, as amended, “Purchasing Code,” by adding thereto new
sections to establish the County’s Prevailing Wage Compliance Program, codify the position and responsibilities of the Prevailing Wage Enforcement Coordinator, and establish the appropriate rules, regulations and penalties pertaining to compliance with the program, as indicated, stating in addition to formally codifying the program, the amendments are further intended to reaffirm the County’s long-standing policy that no less than the hourly Prevailing Wage shall be paid to workers performing construction of public works on behalf of the County, as described

11.24.2020~OC-22

6th District
Report of the St. Louis County Planning Commission, Re: Communication dated November 20, 2020 from Jennifer Lunsford representing "That's Sip" and Douglas Draper, representing Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC requesting amendments to C-8 Ordinance 21,919, P.C. 36-04 Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC (Tori Pines Commons), RECOMMENDING APPROVAL, as indicated, to allow a small coffee shop in the eastern building of the development located on the east side of Telegraph Road, approximately 150 feet north of Erb Road

12.15.2020~Z-4

To authorize a contract with Central Bank of St. Louis (Central Bank) for the provision of purchase card and accounts payable card services at no cost to the County, stating the term of the contract is for two (2) years to commence on March 1, 2021, or as soon thereafter as all documents are executed, and continue through February 28, 2023, with the option to renew for two (2) additional two-year renewals upon mutual agreement, as indicated, and further stating the proposal from Central Bank presents unique advantages as Central Bank has provided services to the County for the past five (5) years, including services that support the Accounts Payable team in Fiscal Management, as described, as requested by the Director of the Department of Administration

12.15.2020~OC-13

To authorize contracts with Staff Today Incorporated (Staff Today) and Premier Medical Staffing Services, LLC (Premiere) for the provision of clinical services for the Department of Public Health (DPH), stating the term of the contracts are for two (2) years with each entity to commence upon contract execution, at a cost of $300,000 for the initial term with each entity, for a combined total of $600,000, and with the option to renew with each entity for two (2) additional one-year terms upon mutual agreement at a cost of $150,000 for each one-year renewal, as indicated, stating Staff Today and Premiere will be responsible for providing clinician staffing services for the DPH Division of Primary Health & Primary Care Integration, as described, as requested by the Acting Co-Director of the Department of Public Health

12.15.2020~OC-14

To authorize an amendment to Ordinance No. 27,733 in order to amend the terms of a contract with Unique Security, Inc. (USI) by increasing the not-to-exceed amount of the contract by $5,608, for a total not-to-exceed amount of $801,488, as indicated, stating the increase is requested in order to allow USI to complete upgrades to security cameras at the Buzz Westfall Justice Center, as described, as requested by the Director of the Department of
3rd District
To accept a donation in the amount of $22,739 from the St. Louis County Parks Foundation to benefit the Department of Parks and Recreation and improvements made at Laumeier Park, as indicated, stating the donated funds were specifically used to cover the costs of labor and materials required to complete the trail improvements at Laumeier Park, as requested by the Director of the Department of Parks and Recreation

6th District
To open, widen and establish Bayless Avenue for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. AR-1693, as indicated, stating the authorization of this establishment will enable the Department to revise right-of-way plans and easements as deemed necessary and will further allow the Department to acquire the necessary property rights to make improvements and complete resurfacing along Bayless Avenue, as described, as requested by the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works

7th District
To open, widen and establish Baxter Road South for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. AR-1555, as indicated, stating the authorization of this establishment will enable the Department to revise the right-of-way plans and easements as deemed necessary and will further allow the Department to acquire the necessary property rights to make improvements along Baxter Road from Clayton Road to Manchester Road including pavement repairs, asphalt resurfacing, curb replacement, storm sewer improvements, and upgrades to ADA curb ramps, guardrails, and traffic signals, as well as for the installation of striped bike lanes from Manchester Road to Andersohn Drive, a 10-feet wide shared use path on the northern side from Andersohn Drive to Holloway Road, and lane modifications at St. Louis County Bridge No. 3-127 to accommodate the shared use path, as described, as requested by the Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Work

1st District
To approve a plan for an industrial development project (the Project) involving The Boeing Company (Boeing) and to authorize the issuance of Chapter 100 bonds in an amount up to $45 million to finance the Project, as indicated, stating it is the intent of Boeing to construct and equip a 30,000 square foot operations facility to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr. in an unincorporated area of St. Louis County, as described, and further requesting the necessary legislative action to instruct the Administrative Director to send notice of the St. Louis County Council’s intent to consider the industrial development plan to the appropriate taxing districts, as requested by the CEO and President of the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership
9. PERFECTION OF BILLS

BILL NO. 20, 2019, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER HARDER, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 104, TITLE I SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "OFFICES AND OFFICERS," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 104.020, 104.030, 104.040 AND 104.050, EACH PERTAINING TO FILLING VACANCIES IN VARIOUS OFFICES.

Bill No. 20, 2019
01.15.2020~OC-9

BILL NO. 32, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRAKAS, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 716 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "PETTY OFFENSES CODE" BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 716.080 AND 716.090, PERTAINING TO PROHIBITION OF CERTAIN ACTS OF SOLICITATION.

Bill No. 32, 2020
01.28.2020~OC-11

BILL NO. 266, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS FITCH AND HARDER ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED "ST. LOUIS COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS DUE TO A PANDEMIC.

Bill No. 266, 2020
11.17.2020~OC-3

BILL NO. 267, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS FITCH AND HARDER ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE VI, CHAPTER 600 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 600.030 PERTAINING TO THE DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR AND PUBLIC HEALTH ORDERS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR RELATED TO A PANDEMIC.

Bill No. 267, 2020
11.17.2020~OC-3
BILL NO. 302, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS DUNAWAY AND WALTON GRAY, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE XII SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "TRAFFIC CODE," BY DELETING SIX PROVISIONS FROM SCHEDULE III, AND BY ENACTING AND ADDING TWO PROVISIONS TO SCHEDULE III.

Bill No. 302, 2020
11.24.2020~OC-18

BILL NO. 310, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH THE VANDIVER GROUP, INC. TO PROVIDE BRANDING AND COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR THE CHILDREN’S SERVICE FUND.

Bill No. 310, 2020
12.08.2020~OC-10

BILL NO. 311, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WALTON GRAY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE DECLARING THE PUBLIC NECESSITY OF AND PROVIDING FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF VORHOF DRIVE BRIDGE NO. 106; OPENING, WIDENING, AND ESTABLISHING A SECTION OF PUBLIC ROAD DESIGNATED AS VORHOF DRIVE, LYING WHOLLY WITHIN UNINCORPORATED ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI; DIRECTING THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY THEREFOR; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AGREEMENTS, AND RELATED DOCUMENTS (CR-1775).

Bill No. 311, 2020
12.08.2020~OC-11

BILL NO. 312, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH WALTER P. MOORE AND ASSOCIATES, INC. TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES RELATED TO ST. LOUIS COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS PROJECT NO. 20-10-OC, WHICH PERTAINS TO REPAIRS OF THE COUNTY COURTS BUILDING AND THE COURTS GARAGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND TO REVISE THE SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITIES AS NECESSARY.

Bill No. 312, 2020
12.08.2020~OC-12
BILL NO. 313, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A COST-SHARE AGREEMENT WITH THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ("MODOT") FOR SUPPORT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY PROJECT NO. AR/CR-1837, WHEREIN ST. LOUIS COUNTY AND MODOT WILL JOINTLY COMPLETE THE STUDY, DESIGN, AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ALONG VARIOUS COUNTY-MAINTAINED ROADWAYS; AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS.

Bill No. 313, 2020
12.08.2020~OC-13

BILL NO. 314, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS TRAKAS AND DUNAWAY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE I, CHAPTER 110 SLCRO 1974, AS AMENDED, DIVISION OF LAW, BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 110.040 PERTAINING TO EMPLOYMENT OR CONTRACTING FOR SPECIAL COUNSEL.

Bill No. 314, 2020
12.15.2020~Add On-2

BILL NO. 315, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WALTON GRAY ENTITLED:


Bill No. 315, 2020
12.15.2020~Z-3

BILL NO. 316, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WALTON GRAY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING THE APPLICATION AND PRELIMINARY PLANS, AS AMENDED, FOR THE AMENDED DEVELOPMENT IN THE "C-8" PLANNED COMMERCIAL DISTRICT OF A TRACT OF LAND, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS; AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 10,895, AS AMENDED, BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 2 (P.C. 19-20 AMIN ALSHAWKANI).

Bill No. 316, 2020
12.15.2020~Z-2
10. FINAL PASSAGE OF BILLS

BILL NO. 320, 2019, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 716, TITLE VII SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “PETTY OFFENSES CODE,” BY REPEALING SECTIONS 716.080 AND 716.090, PERTAINING TO VAGRANCY.

Bill No. 320, 2019
11.12.2019~OC-12

BILL NO. 14, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS TRAKAS, DAYS, DUNAWAY, FITCH, WALTON GRAY, CLANCY AND HARDER, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1008 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 1008.020 AND 1008.050, PERTAINING TO REGULATORY FLOODWAYS.

Bill No. 14, 2020
01.14.2020~OC-13

BILL NO. 76, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBERS DUNAWAY AND HARDER, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ON BEHALF OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION AND EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO JOIN THE MISSOURI CLEAN ENERGY DISTRICT.

Bill No. 76, 2020
03.10.2020~OC-6

BILL NO. 202, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CHAPTER 1105 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED “DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 1105.060 PERTAINING TO SPECIAL USE FEES.

Bill No. 202, 2020
08.25.2020~OC-10

BILL NO. 220, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRAKAS ENTITLED:

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED “ST. LOUIS COUNTY
EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE,” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION
703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF
EMERGENCY AND STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS DUE TO A PANDEMIC.

Bill No. 220, 2020
09.22.2020~OC-5

BILL NO. 274, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR THE GENERAL FUND
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021; APPROPRIATING AND SETTING APART OUT OF THE
UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE OF THE GENERAL FUND THE SUM OF $330,986,267 TO
BE PLACED TO THE CREDIT OF THE RESPECTIVE DEPARTMENTS, OFFICES, BOARDS,
COMMISSIONS, AGENCIES AND FUNDS OF THE ST. LOUIS COUNTY GOVERNMENT AS
PROVIDED IN THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021.

Bill No. 274, 2020
12.01.2020~OC-20

BILL NO. 308, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER CLANCY, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT
WITH SUSAN WILSON LLC TO PROVIDE CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (“DPH”) RELATED TO TRANSITIONING DPH HEALTH
CLINIC OPERATIONS TO A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER LOOK-ALIKE
MODEL.

Bill No. 308, 2020
12.01.2020~OC-10

BILL NO. 309, 2020, INTRODUCED BY COUNCIL MEMBER TRAKAS, ENTITLED:

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING ACCEPTANCE OF A DONATION OF $3,000 FROM THE ST.
LOUIS COUNTY PARKS FOUNDATION; AND APPROPRIATING THE SAME FOR
SUPPORT OF THE PURCHASE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION OF
THREE COWS TO BE SHELTERED AT SUSON PARK ANIMAL FARM.

Bill No. 309, 2020
12.01.2020~OC-11

11. RESOLUTIONS

1st District
Recognizing the distinguished achievements of St. Louis County Councilwoman
Rochelle Walton Gray
2nd District
Honoring the late Linda Eaker

4th District
To correct an error within the previous resolution passed pertaining to improving the habitat for native plants

4th District
Requesting the Chief of Police or the Board of Police Commissioners modify the provision which allows law enforcement officers to use deadly force in certain circumstances, and furthermore, establishes a task force on the use of force within the St. Louis County Police Department

4th District
Requiring any officer-involved deaths to be investigated by a law enforcement agency that does not employ law enforcement officers involved in the death being investigated

5th and 2nd Districts
Pursuant to Santa Claus being able to deliver presents this year amidst the ongoing pandemic

5th and 4th Districts
To affirm recent recommendations that have been made by the Justice Services Advisory Board and the Council’s Committee on Justice, Health and Welfare pertaining to matters discussed during the September 1, 2020 meeting of the St. Louis County Council’s Justice, Health and Welfare committee

7th District
Regarding the alleged Charter violations by the County Executive

12. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Article IV, Section 4.155 of the St. Louis County Charter, Dr. Karl E. Shankar, DDS, is
appointed to the St. Louis County Health Advisory Board to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of dentistry for a term of three (3) years, and further stating the following individuals are hereby reappointed to the St. Louis County Health Advisory Board: Dr. Joseph Forand, MD, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of one (1) year; Dr. Sharon Frey, MD, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of two (2) years; Dr. Andrew Gold, MD, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of three (3) years; Ms. Judy Ross Goodman, MSW, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of two (2) years; Dr. Ravi Johar, MD, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of three (3) years; and Mr. Nick Tharenos, RPh, to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of two (2) years, as indicated, and further stating this appointment and these reappointments are referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation

12.01.2020~OC-14

2. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Section 349.045 RSMo and Ordinance No. 9,120, the following individuals are appointed to the Industrial Development Authority Board of Directors: Ms. Wendi Alper-Pressman for a term of two (2) years; Ms. Reyna Spencer for a term of four (4) years; and Mr. David Courtney Williams for a term of four (4) years, and further stating, Mr. Ashwin Dundoo is reappointed for a term of six (6) years, as indicated, and further stating these appointments and reappointment are referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation

12.01.2020~OC-15

3. 6th District
Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Title IV, Section 4.230 SLCRO, Mr. Otto G. Schoenberg III is appointed to the St. Louis County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board for a term of three (3) years, as indicated, and further stating this appointment is referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and approval

12.01.2020~OC-16

4. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Title VIII, Section 807.100 SLCRO, as of November 30, 2020, Mr. Michael Gregory Barry is appointed to the St. Louis County Restaurant Commission to serve in the position of member experienced and knowledgeable in the food establishment trade for a term of three (3) years, as indicated, and further stating this appointment is referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation

12.01.2020~OC-18

5. Communication from The Honorable Sam Page, County Executive, stating pursuant to Title IV, Section 4.265 of the St. Louis County Charter, Mr. Justin Randall is appointed to the St. Louis County Board of Zoning Adjustment for a term of three (3) years, as indicated, and further stating this appointment is referred to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation

12.01.2020~OC-19
6. Communication with attachment dated December 9, 2020 from Doug Burris, Director of the Department of Justice Services, requesting approval as provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance 27,318 and with the concurrence of the Budget Director, for the transfer of $465,793 within the General Fund appropriation of the Department of Justice Services, as indicated, stating this transfer is required to move appropriations among organization within the department to cover expenses for the remainder of the year as a result of personnel and expenses changes under new directors
12.15.2020~OC-6

7. 2nd District
Report of the Director of the Department of Revenue requesting County Council approval as requested by Maria Patel, Managing Officer, OHM Hotel Group, LLC/DBA Bracket Room, 1030 Woodcrest Terrace Dr., St. Louis County, MO 63141, relative to the request for permission to obtain a Retail by the Drink and Sunday Liquor License (New Liquor License)
12.15.2020~OC-9

8. 4th District
Report of the Director of the Department of Revenue requesting County Council approval as requested by Jay K. Patel, Managing Officer, Shri Ganesh I, LLC/DBA PX Liquor, 11914 Larimore Rd., St. Louis County, MO 63138, relative to the request for permission to obtain an Original Package and Sunday Original Package Liquor License (New Liquor License)
12.15.2020~OC-11

13. NEW BUSINESS

Requesting authorization, as provided in Section 107.145 SLCRO, to dispose of personal property which has become obsolete and unusable, or would require various degrees of repair if they were to be placed in service; requesting approval of the County Council to dispose of capital assets listed in the attachment, after which the Division of Procurement will dispose of the equipment upon terms advantageous to the County, as requested by the Director of Administration and Acting Director of Procurement in a communication dated December 7, 2020
12.15.2020~OC-3

1st District
To approve a plan for an industrial development project (the Project) involving The Boeing Company (Boeing) and to authorize the issuance of Chapter 100 bonds in an amount up to $45 million to finance the Project, as indicated, stating it is the intent of Boeing to construct and equip a 30,000 square foot operations facility to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr. in an unincorporated area of St. Louis County, as described, and further requesting the necessary legislative action to instruct the Administrative Director to send notice of the St. Louis County Council’s intent to consider the industrial development plan to the appropriate taxing districts, as requested by the CEO and President of the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership
12.15.2020~OC-19

14. MATTERS APPROVED UNLESS OBJECTIONS ARE RAISED
15. HEARING

16. ADJOURNMENT
December 8, 2020

Honorable Lisa D. Clancy, Chairwoman
and Members of St. Louis County Council

All Council Districts

RE: Monthly Transportation Capital Construction Program Report

Dear Chairwoman Clancy and Council Members:

For your information, I have attached a report that details the current financial status of active Transportation Capital Construction Projects for November, 2020. The monthly total of payments to contractors processed for Transportation Capital Construction Projects in November, 2020 was $467,070.48 and the year-to-date total is $17,676,430.15.

Also attached, for reference, is a summary of the contract DBE/MBE/WBE goals and accepted contractor proposals.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

SLS:JWK:src
Attachments
## 2000 Construction Projects - DEE MS/VEE Proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Category</th>
<th>Value of Life</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Applicable to</th>
<th>MBE or VEE</th>
<th>Value of Life</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Applicable to</th>
<th>MBE or VEE</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>MBE or VEE</th>
<th>Value of Life</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Applicable to</th>
<th>MBE or VEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highway Bridge No. 12A</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>MBE</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>MBE</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bypass Road</td>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>VEE</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>VEE</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Notes
- Project values are rounded to the nearest thousand dollars.
- Impact values are rounded to the nearest whole percent.
- Applicability to MBE or VEE is indicated with 'MBE' or 'VEE' respectively.
### 2023 CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS OBEY/MBE/WBE PROPOSALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Contract #</th>
<th>Contact Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Contract Amount</th>
<th>MBE Value</th>
<th>MBE Applicable Amount</th>
<th>WBE Value</th>
<th>WBE Applicable Amount</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total Applicable Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td>58-027</td>
<td>A. C. Conner</td>
<td>5/15</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
<td>$1,230,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58-028</td>
<td>B. J. Sanders</td>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58-029</td>
<td>C. M. Jackson</td>
<td>7/25</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58-030</td>
<td>D. L. Green</td>
<td>8/30</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
<td>$2,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58-031</td>
<td>E. S. Brown</td>
<td>9/35</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58-032</td>
<td>F. M. White</td>
<td>10/40</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
<td>$3,500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $12,000,000 | $12,000,000 | $12,000,000
# Saint Louis County Transportation Public Works

## Monthly Status of Construction Contracts

**November 2020**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Date of Contract</th>
<th>Number of Work Days</th>
<th>Date Notice To Proceed</th>
<th>Date Work Started</th>
<th>Available Contract Funds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR-1737</td>
<td>2019 CRS Pavement Rehabilitation, Area A</td>
<td>J.M. Maruszczak</td>
<td>5/18/19</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6/8/19</td>
<td>$4,888,651.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Final Paperwork In Progress</td>
<td>D. Lingner</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Retention:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1738</td>
<td>2019 CRS Pavement Rehabilitation, Area B</td>
<td>J.M. Maruszczak</td>
<td>6/24/19</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7/16/19</td>
<td>$6,121,185.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Final Paperwork In Progress</td>
<td>M. Goosling</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Retention:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1738</td>
<td>2019 CRS Pavement Rehabilitation, Area C</td>
<td>M &amp; H Concrete</td>
<td>11/6/19</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2/10/20</td>
<td>$5,313,439.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Approved Funds: 7% Cont.  
2. Original Contract  
3. Anticipated Overruns  
4. Anticipated Underruns  
5. Approved Change Orders  
6. Anticipated Contract Change  
7. Anticipated Contract Total  
8. Available Contract Funds
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Date of Contract</th>
<th>Number of Work Days Provided</th>
<th>Number of Work Days Remain</th>
<th>Date Notice To Proceed</th>
<th>Date Work Started</th>
<th>Approved Funds % Cont.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AR-1805</td>
<td>2020 ARS Crackseal Program, Area A</td>
<td>Sweetens Concrete</td>
<td>5/19/20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>6/29/20</td>
<td>6/29/20</td>
<td>$483,971.25 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council District: 1,2,3,4,5,6,7</td>
<td>J. Bond</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Paperwork In Progress</td>
<td>Percent Complete: 100.0%</td>
<td>Retention: 5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1776</td>
<td>2020 CRS Collector Overlay Program Area A</td>
<td>XL Contracting</td>
<td>5/23/20</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9/20</td>
<td>10/26/20</td>
<td>$731,123.98 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council District: 3,8</td>
<td>D. Kuecker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Complete: 40.4%</td>
<td>Retention: 5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1803</td>
<td>2020 CRS Pavement Rehabilitation, Area A</td>
<td>Pace Construction</td>
<td>5/27/20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7/20/20</td>
<td>7/30/20</td>
<td>$5,189,406.65 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Council District: 2</td>
<td>T. Ohnes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Complete: 31.1%</td>
<td>Retention: 5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1274</td>
<td>Allen Road Bridge No. 329</td>
<td>Kosorny Wagner</td>
<td>4/15/20</td>
<td>12/15/2022</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>8/1/20</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>$5,318,670.46 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-4900(532)</td>
<td>Council District: 7</td>
<td>S. Kirkis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent Complete: 0.9%</td>
<td>Retention: 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Date of Contract</td>
<td>Number of Work Days Provided</td>
<td>Retainage</td>
<td>Date Notice To Proceed</td>
<td>Date Work Started</td>
<td>Approved Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1668</td>
<td>Ashby Road Bridge No. 251</td>
<td>Gershenson Const.</td>
<td>6/28/19</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12/20/19</td>
<td>6/13/20</td>
<td>$2,124,291.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Council District: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,023,125.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Davis</td>
<td>Percent Complete:</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>13/20</td>
<td>$20,475.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,805.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,053.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,722.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,052,948.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,143.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1679</td>
<td>Berry Road Sidewalk</td>
<td>Gershenson Const.</td>
<td>11/12/19</td>
<td>11/13/2020</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>1/3/20</td>
<td>1/3/20</td>
<td>$365,893.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Council District: 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,744.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C. Seabrook</td>
<td>Percent Complete:</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2/13/20</td>
<td>2/13/20</td>
<td>$131,319.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$22,851.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($10,611.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($19,732.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$911,911.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$54,385.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,693,402.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,554,271.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$139,221.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1675</td>
<td>51g Bend North ARS</td>
<td>Krupp Construction</td>
<td>11/14/2018</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>2/11/18</td>
<td>2/11/19</td>
<td>$3,555,106.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>Council District: 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$333,790.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T. Kemper</td>
<td>Percent Complete:</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2/11/19</td>
<td>2/11/19</td>
<td>$339,577.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$34,130.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($31,144.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,554,271.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$139,221.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1740</td>
<td>Chesterfield Airport Road</td>
<td>Krupp Construction</td>
<td>9/20/19</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>12/2/19</td>
<td>12/2/19</td>
<td>$3,555,106.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resurfacing</td>
<td>Council District: 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,002.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Ryder</td>
<td>Percent Complete:</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12/2/19</td>
<td>12/2/19</td>
<td>$32,002.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retainage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,955.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($5,955.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,135,037.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,143.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Date of Contract</td>
<td>Number of Work Days</td>
<td>Date Notice To Proceed</td>
<td>Date Work Started</td>
<td>1. Approved Funds * % Cont</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1278</td>
<td>Cheve Coeur Mill Road Bridge No. 215 Over Little Cheve Coeur Creek</td>
<td>Krup Construction Council District 2</td>
<td>7/25/17</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6/10/18</td>
<td>6/18/18</td>
<td>$852,237.66 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Wright</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Crowd</td>
<td></td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J. Horn</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1700</td>
<td>James S. McDonell Pavement Solutions</td>
<td>Pavement Solutions Council District 1, 2</td>
<td>4/12/19</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6/3/19</td>
<td>6/23/19</td>
<td>$1,124,840.10 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S. Dezort</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Contractor</td>
<td>Date of Contract</td>
<td>Number of Work Days</td>
<td>Date Notice To Proceed</td>
<td>Date Work Started</td>
<td>1- Approved Funds</td>
<td>2- Original Contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1538</td>
<td>Lacrosse Station Road Resurfacing</td>
<td>Spencer Contracting</td>
<td>12/12/19</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>3/11/19</td>
<td>3/27/19</td>
<td>$1,355,355.86</td>
<td>$1,503,222.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-4801(342)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Council District 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$94,701.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final Paperwork in Progress</td>
<td>J. Wright</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
<td>Retention: 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$231,033.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,261.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($118,070.52)</td>
<td>-7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,399,051.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$163,314.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-1727</td>
<td>2019 ITS Program - Advanced Detection</td>
<td>Meyer Electric</td>
<td>7/17/19</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10/14/19</td>
<td>10/14/19</td>
<td>$471,620.56</td>
<td>$458,086.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAQ-9691(847)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Council District 1,2,3,4,5,6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$28,040.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. LaFollette Operations</td>
<td>59.5%</td>
<td>Retention: 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$85,617.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>($57,577.16)</td>
<td>-12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$408,958.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$71,319.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CONTRACTS & CHANGES** $46,835,418.09
December 15, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Honorable Council Members:

In accordance with Sections 101.010 through 101.030 SLCRO, with concurrence obtained from the Departments responsible for maintaining said records, authorization is requested to destroy the records listed relating to the Department of Assessor, LIS Division, and the Department of Planning:

- 2014 Assessor Subdivision Plats 1 - 5

These records have been electronically scanned and processed in accordance with provisions set forth in 101.025 SLCRO and the papers are no longer needed.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jeannette Zinkgraf
Records Manager

Approved:

[Signature]

Tod A. Martin
Director
December 17, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Honorable Council Members:

In accordance with Sections 101.010 through 101.030 SLCRO, with concurrence obtained from the Department responsible for maintaining said records, authorization is requested to destroy the records listed relating to the Department of Transportation & Public Works:

- **2016 Construction Plans**

These records have been electronically scanned and processed in accordance with provisions set forth in 101.025 SLCRO and the papers are no longer needed.

Sincerely,

Jamie Hoeningger
Records Manager

Approved:

Tod A. Martin
Director
December 14, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
And Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

As provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance #27,318 (2019), the County Executive may request a transfer of appropriations within any department during any fiscal year. These transfers must be approved by the Council.

It is respectfully requested that the Council authorize the Budget Director to approve the transfer of $212,520 within the General Revenue Fund appropriation of the Department of Human Services. This transfer is necessary to move appropriation to cover salary and fringe expenses of the Deputy Director position moved from Workforce Development and computer software costs.

Please contact me if you require any additional information to assist in your evaluation of this request.

Sincerely,

Andrea Jackson-Jennings, Director
Department of Human Services

Concur:
Paul Kreidler,
Budget Director

Attachment (Journal # 2020 – 121871)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN</th>
<th>ORG</th>
<th>OBJECT PROJ</th>
<th>ORG DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LINE DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PREV BUDGET</th>
<th>BUDGET CHANGE</th>
<th>AMENDED BUDGET</th>
<th>ERR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>121871</td>
<td>12/13/2020</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **GENERAL COUNTY OLDER RESIDENT SALARIES**
   - **PERMANENT**
   - 1010-5000-5010-51110-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 464,791.00
   - 12/13/2020

2. **GENERAL COUNTY OLDER RESIDENT SALARIES**
   - **TEMPORARY**
   - 1010-5000-5010-51121-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 208,081.00
   - 12/13/2020

3. **GENERAL COUNTY OLDER RESIDENT VACATION**
   - **PAY**
   - 1010-5000-5010-51130-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 0.00
   - 12/13/2020

4. **GENERAL COUNTY OLDER RESIDENT FORMER**
   - **PAY**
   - 1010-5000-5010-51140-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 0.00
   - 12/13/2020

5. **GENERAL COUNTY OLDER RESIDENT MEDICAL**
   - **IN INSURANCE**
   - 1010-5000-5010-51250-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 90,000.00
   - 12/13/2020

6. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION SALARIES**
   - **PERMANENT**
   - 1010-5000-5020-5022-51110-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 531,909.00
   - 12/13/2020

7. **GENERAL COUNTY YOUTH PROGRAM SALARIES**
   - **PERMANENT**
   - 1010-5000-5010-5012-51130-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 711,713.00
   - 12/13/2020

8. **GENERAL COUNTY YOUTH PROGRAM SALARIES**
   - **INTERMITTENT RETIREES**
   - 1010-5000-5010-5012-51110-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 0.00
   - 4,100.00
   - 12/13/2020

9. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION OVERTIME**
   - **PAY**
   - 1010-5000-5020-5022-51130-0000-0000-0000-
   - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
   - 0.00
   - 500.00
   - 12/13/2020

10. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION SALARIES**
    - **SICK/VACATION PAY**
    - 1010-5000-5020-5022-51140-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 0.00
    - 1,600.00
    - 1,600.00
    - 12/13/2020

11. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION SALARIES**
    - **INTERMITTENT RETIREES**
    - 1010-5000-5020-5022-51170-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 0.00
    - 12,000.00
    - 12,000.00
    - 12/13/2020

12. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION SOCIAL SECURITY**
    - **PAY**
    - 1010-5000-5020-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 42,929.00
    - 9,000.00
    - 51,929.00
    - 12/13/2020

13. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION RETIREMENT PLAN**
    - **PAY**
    - 1010-5000-5020-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 105,497.00
    - 23,000.00
    - 128,497.00
    - 12/13/2020

14. **GENERAL DHS ADMINISTRATION LIFE INSURANCE**
    - **PAY**
    - 1010-5000-5020-5022-52210-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 1,009.00
    - 20.00
    - 1,029.00
    - 12/13/2020

15. **GENERAL WOMEN & CHILDREN SERVICES**
    - **PAY**
    - 1010-5000-5010-5026-51130-0000-0000-0000-
    - **SALARY SHORTAGE**
    - 0.00
    - 250.00
    - 250.00
    - 12/13/2020
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LH</th>
<th>ORG</th>
<th>OBJECT PROJ</th>
<th>ORG DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LINE DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PREV BUDGET</th>
<th>BUDGET CHANGE</th>
<th>AMENDED BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10501000</td>
<td>812830</td>
<td>HUMAN SERVICES</td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5026-512630-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5026-512630-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SALARY SHORTAGE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15012000</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>GENERAL COUNTY YOUTH PROGRAM</td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5012-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>14,500.00</td>
<td>-10,000.00</td>
<td>-4,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5012-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>MICROSOFT 365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15012000</td>
<td>517410</td>
<td>GENERAL COUNTY YOUTH PROGRAM</td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5012-517410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>COMPUTER SOFTWARE</td>
<td>3,247.36</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>13,247.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1010-5000-5010-5012-517410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>MICROSOFT 365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** JOURNAL TOTAL ** 0.00
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
And Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

As provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance #27,318 (2019), the County Executive may request transfer of appropriations within any department during any fiscal year. These transfers must be approved by the Council.

It is respectfully requested that the Council authorize the Budget Director to approve the transfer of $22,900 within the General Revenue Fund appropriation of the Department of Municipal court. This transfer is meant to cover an anticipated year end shortage for Tyler court interface charges and the purchase of laptops for court staff.

Please contact me if you require any additional information to assist in your evaluation of this request.

Sincerely,

/s/ Courtney Whiteside
Courtney Whiteside
Director

Concur:

Paul Kreidler,
Budget Director

Attachment (Journal # 2020 – 121720)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR-PER JOURNAL</th>
<th>EFF-DATE</th>
<th>REF 1</th>
<th>REF 2</th>
<th>SRC JNL-DESC</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>AMEND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>12/11/2020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BUA Shortage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **10200002 535510**  **GENERAL COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURTMEMBERSHIPS**
   1010-0200-0210-0000-535510-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   1,000.00  500.00  1,500.00
   12/11/2020

2. **10200002 532210**  **GENERAL COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURTINFORMATION TECH SVCS**
   1010-0200-0210-0000-532210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   8,000.00  2,400.00  10,400.00
   12/11/2020

3. **10200002 517610**  **GENERAL COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURTCOMPUTER PARTS&PERIPHERAL**
   1010-0200-0210-0000-517610-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   18,500.00  20,000.00  38,500.00
   12/11/2020

4. **10200002 512510**  **GENERAL COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURTMEDICAL INSURANCE**
   1010-0200-0210-0000-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-
   162,000.00 -22,900.00  139,100.00
   12/11/2020

**JOURNAL TOTAL**  0.00
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
And Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

As provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance #27,318 (2019), the County Executive may request transfer of appropriations within any department during any fiscal year. These transfers must be approved by the Council.

It is respectfully requested that the Council authorize the Budget Director to approve the transfer of $1,775,332.43 within the Park Maintenance (1050) Fund appropriation of the Department of Parks and Recreation. We are requesting to transfer $175,000 to satisfy the Howard Bend Levy tax increases. The increases are a result of casino litigation with the levee district and a new storm water assessment tax for a pump station to help alleviate flooding. In addition, we also are requesting the transfer of $1,600,332.43 to adjust coding entries caused by the consolidation of our departments sections.

Please contact me if you require any additional information to assist in your evaluation of this request.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ott

Thomas Ott
Director, St. Louis County Parks and Recreation

Concur:

Paul Kreidler,
Budget Director

Attachment (Journal # 2020 122206)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LN</th>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>ORG</th>
<th>OBJECT PROJ</th>
<th>ORG DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACCOUNT</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>SRC</th>
<th>JNL-DESC</th>
<th>ENTITY</th>
<th>AMEND</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PRIOR</th>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th>CHANGE</th>
<th>AMENDED</th>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th>SER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122260</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13630002</td>
<td>526110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM</td>
<td>SERV/ELECTRIC-GAS-WATER</td>
<td>895,500.00</td>
<td>-120,000.00</td>
<td>795,500.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3630-526110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>FOR HOWARD BEND LEVY TAXES</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13620002</td>
<td>526110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SERV/ELECTRIC-GAS-WATER</td>
<td>459,100.00</td>
<td>-75,000.00</td>
<td>384,100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-526110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>FOR HOWARD BEND LEVY TAXES</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13610004</td>
<td>531110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS ADMINISTRATION</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>192,293.00</td>
<td>175,000.00</td>
<td>367,293.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3610-531110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>FOR HOWARD BEND LEVY TAXES</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>120,450.70</td>
<td>120,450.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>1,613.81</td>
<td>1,613.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13622002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>2,707,414.00</td>
<td>-120,450.70</td>
<td>2,666,964.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3622-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>40,500.00</td>
<td>1,613.81</td>
<td>38,886.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>8,894.86</td>
<td>8,894.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-512110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13622002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>272,347.00</td>
<td>-3,894.86</td>
<td>268,452.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3622-512110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>24,132.68</td>
<td>24,132.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>13620002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>487,351.32</td>
<td>24,132.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3622-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-522410-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13620002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>1,560.13</td>
<td>-5.87</td>
<td>1,560.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3622-522410-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>13621002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>201,149.55</td>
<td>201,149.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3623-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13620002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td></td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>2,787,414.00</td>
<td>-201,149.55</td>
<td>2,586,264.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3623-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>AEA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511310</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>2,441.19</td>
<td>2,441.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511310</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-2,441.19</td>
<td>38,056.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>34,288.87</td>
<td>34,288.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-34,288.87</td>
<td>140,711.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511710</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>2,703.96</td>
<td>2,703.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511710</td>
<td>PARK MAINT METRO PARKS TAX</td>
<td>PAY SALARIES</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-2,703.96</td>
<td>42,456.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>17,483.43</td>
<td>17,483.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-17,483.43</td>
<td>254,863.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>41,037.32</td>
<td>41,037.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-41,037.32</td>
<td>470,446.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td>32.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-32.75</td>
<td>1,532.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>ERENT</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>149,725.91</td>
<td>149,725.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>ERENT</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-149,725.91</td>
<td>2,627,688.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>13624002</td>
<td>513310</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>1,302.24</td>
<td>1,302.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>OBJECT</td>
<td>PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHNG</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>HUA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>40,500.00</td>
<td>-1,302.24</td>
<td>39,197.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>13620002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-511310-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>10,752.67</td>
<td>10,752.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>13620002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3624-512110-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>27,347.00</td>
<td>-10,752.67</td>
<td>16,594.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>13620002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512110-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29,945.60</td>
<td>29,945.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>13620002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3624-512210-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>511,484.00</td>
<td>-29,945.60</td>
<td>481,538.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>13620002 512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512210-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>13620002 522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3624-522410-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>13620002 522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-522410-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3,566.00</td>
<td>-9.20</td>
<td>1,556.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>13625002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNDRY SCULPTURAL ARTS</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>43,769.78</td>
<td>43,769.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>13650002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>2,987,958.00</td>
<td>-23,769.78</td>
<td>2,454,239.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>13635002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNDRY SCULPTURAL SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-512110-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,221.95</td>
<td>4,221.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512110-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>232,281.00</td>
<td>-4,221.95</td>
<td>228,059.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>13635002 512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNDRY SCULPTURE RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-512210-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8,595.00</td>
<td>8,595.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>13650002 512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>462,966.00</td>
<td>-8,595.00</td>
<td>454,371.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>13635002 512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNDRY SCULPTURE MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-512510-00000-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9,794.76</td>
<td>9,794.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>13650002 512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512510-00000-00000-00000-00000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-9,794.76</td>
<td>557,205.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>OBJC</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>OBJC DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1265002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LACHEZER SCULPTURE AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-522410-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td>30.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>1265002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-522410-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>8,004.00</td>
<td>-30.82</td>
<td>7,973.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>57,411.95</td>
<td>57,411.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>2,497,958.00</td>
<td>-57,411.95</td>
<td>2,440,546.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8,070.23</td>
<td>8,070.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>-8,070.23</td>
<td>444,807.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,833.49</td>
<td>4,833.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>232,291.00</td>
<td>-4,833.49</td>
<td>227,457.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512210-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11,272.67</td>
<td>11,272.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512210-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>462,966.00</td>
<td>-11,272.67</td>
<td>451,693.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512510-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16,113.36</td>
<td>16,113.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-512510-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-16,113.36</td>
<td>550,886.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-522410-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>48.01</td>
<td>48.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>12651002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3651-522410-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>8,004.00</td>
<td>-48.01</td>
<td>7,955.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>12652002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFFTON COMMUNITY SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3652-511110-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>40,849.79</td>
<td>40,849.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR-PER JOURNAL</td>
<td>REF DATE</td>
<td>REF 1</td>
<td>REF 2</td>
<td>SEC JNL-DESC</td>
<td>ENTITY AMEND</td>
<td>FN</td>
<td>BUDGET AMENDMENTS JOURNAL ENTRY PROOF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 12 122206 12/15/2020 3600</td>
<td>BUA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>2,491,988.00</td>
<td>-40,849.79</td>
<td>2,457,148.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY SPECIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3,722.72</td>
<td>3,722.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVSECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>232,291.30</td>
<td>-3,722.72</td>
<td>228,568.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8,021.33</td>
<td>8,021.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68 13650002 512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVRETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>462,966.00</td>
<td>-3,722.72</td>
<td>459,244.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69 13650002 512210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10,801.51</td>
<td>10,801.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 13650002 512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVMEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-10,801.51</td>
<td>556,198.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 13650002 522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.55</td>
<td>33.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 13650002 522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVAUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>-33.55</td>
<td>7,966.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73 13650002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT NORTH COUNTY REC SALARIES</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>55,946.53</td>
<td>55,946.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 13650002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,497,988.00</td>
<td>-58,946.53</td>
<td>2,439,041.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 13650002 511310</td>
<td>PARK MAINT NORTH COUNTY REC OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511310-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>117.09</td>
<td>117.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76 13650002 511310</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-511310-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,700.00</td>
<td>-117.09</td>
<td>10,582.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT NORTH COUNTY REC SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4,992.94</td>
<td>4,992.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78 13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVSECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td></td>
<td>232,291.30</td>
<td>-4,992.94</td>
<td>227,298.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN</td>
<td>ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1222206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3630</td>
<td>BUA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>11,584.42</td>
<td>11,584.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-11,584.42</td>
<td>451,381.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>16,246.53</td>
<td>16,246.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-16,246.53</td>
<td>550,755.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>13653002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-522410-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-16.22</td>
<td>7,987.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-511110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>60,675.10</td>
<td>60,675.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-511110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-60,675.10</td>
<td>2,437,322.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>511310</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-511310-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>1,240.60</td>
<td>1,240.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>511310</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-511310-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-1,240.60</td>
<td>9,459.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>5,136.28</td>
<td>5,136.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>-5,136.28</td>
<td>227,154.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>512110</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512110-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>12,139.03</td>
<td>12,139.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>12,139.03</td>
<td>12,139.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>13654002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>1050-3650-3656-3653-512510-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>PAY</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>15,813.15</td>
<td>15,813.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN ACCOUNT</td>
<td>ORG PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV MELODY INSURANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-15,813.15</td>
<td>551,186.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C KENNEDY COMPLAINT HOMEBASE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>56.38</td>
<td>56.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV MOTOR HOME ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>8,004.00</td>
<td>-56.38</td>
<td>7,947.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>526110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS MUSEUM OF TRANSPORT ELECTRIC</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>-169.58</td>
<td>-169.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>526110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV ELECTRIC</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>895,500.00</td>
<td>169.58</td>
<td>895,669.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCENT COMmissions</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>45,102.57</td>
<td>45,102.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV COMMISSIONS</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>2,497,396.00</td>
<td>-45,102.57</td>
<td>2,452,293.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>521100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCENT COMM LNTERNATIONAL SECURITY</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>3,907.60</td>
<td>3,907.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>222,222.00</td>
<td>3,907.60</td>
<td>228,334.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCENT COMMON RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>8,840.03</td>
<td>8,840.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>462,966.00</td>
<td>-8,840.03</td>
<td>454,126.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>525100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCENT COMM-LIGHTMEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>11,195.81</td>
<td>11,195.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>525100</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV LIGHTMEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-11,195.81</td>
<td>555,804.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCENT COMM-PETITOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>133.98</td>
<td>133.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERV-PETITOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>8,004.00</td>
<td>-133.98</td>
<td>7,870.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 45 of 412
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN</th>
<th>ORG ACCOUNT</th>
<th>OBJECT PROJ</th>
<th>ORG DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LINE DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PREV BUDGET</th>
<th>BUDGET CHANGE</th>
<th>AMENDED BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12 122206 12/15/2020 3600</td>
<td>EUR SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>103630CN 511110</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>53,842.22</td>
<td>53,842.22</td>
<td>2,017,988.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>10363002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICES</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>2,017,988.00</td>
<td>-23,842.22</td>
<td>2,044,165.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>10363002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICES</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>220,201.00</td>
<td>-6,468.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>10363002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICES</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>220,201.00</td>
<td>-6,468.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>10363002 522410</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICES</td>
<td>AUTOMOBILE ALLOWANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>6,468.29</td>
<td>220,201.00</td>
<td>-6,468.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-522410-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>10367002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>PERMANENT</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>66,357.38</td>
<td>66,357.38</td>
<td>2,017,988.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>10367002 511110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>OVERTIME PAY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>75.29</td>
<td>75.29</td>
<td>10,700.00</td>
<td>-75.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>10367002 521110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>17/15/2020</td>
<td>5,733.57</td>
<td>5,733.57</td>
<td>220,201.00</td>
<td>-5,733.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-521110-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT</td>
<td>PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR-</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>JOURNAL</td>
<td>REF-DATE</td>
<td>REF 1</td>
<td>REF 2</td>
<td>SRC</td>
<td>INT-DESC</td>
<td>ENTITY</td>
<td>AMEND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>BU A SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>PREV BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>MUA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVING TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVING TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>13650002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-525210-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>13650002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT GREENSFELDER REC QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3651-525210-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512110-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512110-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-512810-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>13650002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-525210-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>13650002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT AFTON COMMUNITY QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3652-525210-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>13650002 512110</td>
<td>PARK MAINT NORTH COUNTY REC QMGRTERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3650-3650-3653-512110-00000-00000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-191.36</td>
<td>6,455.64</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INJ ORG OBJ PROJ</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>ACCOUNT</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155 13650002 511210</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156 13650002 512810</td>
<td>162.50</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157 13650002 512810</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158 13650002 512810</td>
<td>106.95</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159 13650002 525210</td>
<td>4,440.00</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT WIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160 13650002 525210</td>
<td>97.14</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT WIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161 13650002 525210</td>
<td>97.14</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT N PAKS PERMANENT WIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162 13650002 511210</td>
<td>6,967.50</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS PERMANENT SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163 13650002 511210</td>
<td>6,967.50</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS PERMANENT SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 13650002 512810</td>
<td>215.67</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS PERMANENT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165 13650002 512810</td>
<td>215.67</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS PERMANENT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166 13650002 512830</td>
<td>196.59</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167 13650002 512830</td>
<td>4,243.41</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168 13650002 525210</td>
<td>125.79</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS WIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169 13650002 525210</td>
<td>4,311.21</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT W.C. KENNEDY COMPENS WIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTIONLINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>FRM BUDGET</td>
<td>BUDGET CHANGE</td>
<td>AMENDED BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCEN TEMPORARY</td>
<td>BUA SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>7,341.45</td>
<td>7,341.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SER SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>-7,341.45</td>
<td>445,536.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCEN COMMUNITIES TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>153.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>153.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SER SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-153.63</td>
<td>6,493.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>512820</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCEN COMMUNITIES INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>33,806.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>17,684.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525220</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>33,806.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION SER SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>-33,806.34</td>
<td>419,071.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION SER SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>17,684.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT ST VINCEN COMMUNITY MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-17,684.22</td>
<td>549,315.78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>188.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>188.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION LON TER DISABILITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-188.64</td>
<td>6,458.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>193.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>1565002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION SHORT TER DISABILITY</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>193.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>OBJECT</td>
<td>OBJ DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>REF 1</td>
<td>REF 2</td>
<td>SRC JNL</td>
<td>ENTITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>172706</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>EMU SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512830</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>SHORT-TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512830-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,440.00</td>
<td>-193.35</td>
<td>4,246.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>10363097</td>
<td>52010</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-52010-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>111.46</td>
<td>111.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>525210</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-525210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,437.00</td>
<td>-111.46</td>
<td>4,325.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27,822.24</td>
<td>27,822.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,601.19</td>
<td>1,601.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>511110</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-511110-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,601.19</td>
<td>1,601.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>SALARIES</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>452,838.00</td>
<td>-1,601.19</td>
<td>451,236.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2,179.19</td>
<td>2,179.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>SOCIAL SECURITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>232,251.00</td>
<td>-2,179.19</td>
<td>230,071.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5,463.91</td>
<td>5,463.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512210</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>RETIREMENT PLAN</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512210-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>462,966.00</td>
<td>-5,463.91</td>
<td>457,502.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512510-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4,405.84</td>
<td>4,405.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512510</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>MEDICAL INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512510-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>567,000.00</td>
<td>-4,405.84</td>
<td>562,594.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>10363072</td>
<td>512810</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>65.26</td>
<td>65.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>13650002</td>
<td>512810</td>
<td>PARKS MAINT PROGRAM SERV</td>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810-00000-0000-0000-0000-0000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>6,647.00</td>
<td>-65.26</td>
<td>6,581.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR-OF JOURNAL</td>
<td>EFF-DATE</td>
<td>REF 1</td>
<td>REF 2</td>
<td>SEC JNL-DESC</td>
<td>ENTITY AGENCY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>BUA SHORTAGE 1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN</th>
<th>ORG ACCOUNT</th>
<th>OBJECT PROJ</th>
<th>ORG DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LINE DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PRI/CHG</th>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th>AMENDED BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>10360002 512930</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>53.55</td>
<td>53.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>13650002 512930</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,440.00</td>
<td>-53.55</td>
<td>4,386.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>10360002 525320</td>
<td>PARKS AND RECREATION</td>
<td>LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>13650002 525320</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,437.00</td>
<td>-57.66</td>
<td>4,379.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>13675002 511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>12,714.74</td>
<td>12,714.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>13650002 511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512120-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>-12,714.74</td>
<td>440,163.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>13675002 511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-511210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>15,910.13</td>
<td>15,910.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>13650002 511210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE SALARIES TEMPORARY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512120-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>452,878.00</td>
<td>-15,910.13</td>
<td>436,967.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>13675002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512810-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>230.47</td>
<td>230.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>13650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512810-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>6,657.00</td>
<td>-230.47</td>
<td>6,416.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>13675002 512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>119.37</td>
<td>119.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>13650002 512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,440.00</td>
<td>-119.37</td>
<td>4,320.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>13675002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT FAUST PARK</td>
<td>LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>138.05</td>
<td>138.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>13650002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SUICIDE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3659-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,437.00</td>
<td>-138.05</td>
<td>4,299.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>13621002 572810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3621-512810-0000-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>408.07</td>
<td>408.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN ACCOUNT</td>
<td>OBJECT PROJ</td>
<td>ORG DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>ACCOUNT DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>LINE DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>EFF DATE</td>
<td>Prev Budget</td>
<td>Budget Change</td>
<td>Amended Budget</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122206 12/15/2020 3600</td>
<td>BU SHORTAGE</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>7,264.00</td>
<td>-406.09</td>
<td>6,857.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215 15620002 512930</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>246.60</td>
<td>246.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216 15621002 512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-512830-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,059.00</td>
<td>-246.60</td>
<td>3,812.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217 15620002 512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512830-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>703.48</td>
<td>703.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218 15620002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3623-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>7,264.00</td>
<td>-703.48</td>
<td>6,560.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219 15620002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>679.25</td>
<td>679.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220 15620002 517830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3623-512830-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,059.00</td>
<td>-679.25</td>
<td>3,379.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221 15620002 517830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512830-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>505.93</td>
<td>505.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222 15624002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3624-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>7,264.00</td>
<td>-505.93</td>
<td>6,758.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223 15620002 517810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3620-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>434.65</td>
<td>434.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224 15620002 517830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3624-512830-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,059.00</td>
<td>-434.65</td>
<td>3,624.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225 15624002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS SOUTH AREA LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3630-3624-525210-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>310.58</td>
<td>310.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226 15620002 525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3630-3630-525210-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>4,802.00</td>
<td>-310.58</td>
<td>4,491.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227 15634002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LEAFLET SCULPTURE LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3630-3635-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>144.76</td>
<td>144.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228 15650002 512810</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE SHORT TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3650-512810-00000-00000-00000-00000-00000</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>5,647.00</td>
<td>-144.76</td>
<td>5,502.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR-PRR JOURNAL</td>
<td>EFF-DATE</td>
<td>REF 1</td>
<td>REF 2</td>
<td>SRC JNL-DESC</td>
<td>ENTITY AMEND</td>
<td>PREG</td>
<td>CURRENT</td>
<td>AMENDED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 12 322206</td>
<td>12/15/2020</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230 136350020512930</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNCEY SCULPTUREHOSP TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>149.36</td>
<td>149.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231 136500020512830</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICEHOSP TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-512830-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,440.00</td>
<td>-149.36</td>
<td>4,290.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232 136350020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT LAUNCEY SCULPTURELIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>93.05</td>
<td>93.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233 136000020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS PROGRAM SERVICE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3635-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,437.00</td>
<td>-93.05</td>
<td>4,346.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234 136210020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS NORTH AREA LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3621-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>239.16</td>
<td>239.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235 136200020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3650-3620-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,902.00</td>
<td>-239.16</td>
<td>4,662.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236 136230020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS CENTRAL/WEST LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3623-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>440.04</td>
<td>440.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237 136290020525210</td>
<td>PARK MAINT PARKS OPERATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE</td>
<td>1050-3600-3620-3629-525210-0000-0000-0000-0000-</td>
<td>SECTION CONSOLIDATION</td>
<td>4,807.00</td>
<td>-440.04</td>
<td>4,367.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** JOURNAL TOTAL | 12/13/2020 | 0.00 |
December 10, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
And Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

As provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance #27,318 (2019), the County Executive may request transfer of appropriations within any department during any fiscal year. These transfers must be approved by the Council.

It is respectfully requested that the Council authorize the Budget Director to approve the transfer of $17,600 within the Record Preservation Fund appropriation of the Department of Revenue. This transfer is needed to move appropriation for a much-needed microfilm equipment to maintain operational stability within the Recorder of Deeds office. The equipment is a capital item and appropriation for this item is in a non-capital account.

Please contact me if you require any additional information to assist in your evaluation of this request.

Sincerely,

Gerard W. Hollins, Jr.
Director of Revenue

Concur.

Paul Kraider,
Budget Director

Attachment (Journal # 2020 - 121404)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>JRNL</th>
<th>OBJ-PROJ</th>
<th>OBJ-DESC</th>
<th>LINE-DESC</th>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PREV</th>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th>CHANGE</th>
<th>AMENDED</th>
<th>BUDGET</th>
<th>ERR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>121404</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/10/2020</td>
<td>-17,600.00</td>
<td>38,537.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52700102518210</td>
<td>RES RECORDER'S TECHNO-MTR OFFFURN FIX EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>MICROFILM EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>12/10/2020</td>
<td>57,537.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52700102548610</td>
<td>RES RECORDER'S TECHNO-MTR EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>MICROFILM EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>12/10/2020</td>
<td>5,079.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**JOURNAL TOTAL** | 6.00 |
MEMORANDUM

TO: Gen Frank, Associate St. Louis County Counselor

FROM: Tim Fitch, Third District Councilman

DATE: December 15, 2020

SUBJECT: Request for Legislation

Regarding the attached asset purchase list as submitted by the Police Department, please prepare the appropriate legislation for introduction at the regular County Council meeting on December 22, 2020.

Thank you.

cc: Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Administrative Director
The Honorable Sam Page
County Executive
St. Louis County Government Center
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

December 14, 2020

Dear Dr. Page:

The St. Louis County Police Department requests your approval and assistance in seeking an additional appropriation from the unappropriated balances of the Police Asset Sharing funds to purchase items 1 through 30 of specialized law enforcement equipment, services, goods and materials listed on the enclosed page. The cost of these purchases is $886,820.00.

The Department participates in the Federal Asset Sharing Program wherein recovered drug-related monies or items are shared with the recovering department and the federal government. The program’s guidelines require that this money or item(s) be used to directly benefit the law enforcement agencies.

We are requesting your approval and Council action to purchase the listed items for use by our Department.

An appropriation of $586,820.00 from the unappropriated balance of 5630-2300-2490-7501 and an appropriation of $300,000.00 from the unappropriated balance of 5630-2300-2490-7502 is requested for fiscal year 2020.

Sincerely,

COLONEL MARY T. BARTON
Chief of Police

MTB:BS

Enclosure
cc: Commissioner William Price

“Committed to Our Citizens Through Neighborhood Policing”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Human Resources</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Office furniture for Personnel Services</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Operational Support</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 Computer software for Communications</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>120,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 VideoScan machine for Records</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 CPU trays for Communications</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Microfilm machines for Records</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office of the Chief of Police</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 Furniture and hardware for NTO</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Covert cameras for teller</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Patrol and Special Operations</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 Tactical headsets for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$56,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56,000.00</td>
<td>56,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Ballistic shields for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$11,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Ballistic vests for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22,000.00</td>
<td>22,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Criminal Investigation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Forensic scene lights for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 TACO scale bar for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 TACO scanner kits for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Leads Online subscription</td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62,000.00</td>
<td>62,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Ballistic shields (no IV) for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Vans for task force for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 DVD/DVR video system for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Firefighting equipment for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Mobile detectors for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Vaginal MRI upgrade for Auto Crimes</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Computerized vehicle systems upgrade for Auto Crimes</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Computer software for Bomb &amp; Arson</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Capital Equipment</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 Office furniture for Personnel Services</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Computer software for Communications</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>120,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 VideoScan machine for Records</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 CPU trays for Communications</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
<td>6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Microfilm machines for Records</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Office of the Chief of Police</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 Furniture and hardware for NTO</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Covert cameras for teller</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Patrol and Special Operations</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13 Tactical headsets for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$56,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56,000.00</td>
<td>56,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Ballistic shields for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$11,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Ballistic vests for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$22,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22,000.00</td>
<td>22,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Division of Criminal Investigation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Forensic scene lights for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 TACO scale bar for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$2,700.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
<td>2,700.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 TACO scanner kits for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Leads Online subscription</td>
<td>$62,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>62,000.00</td>
<td>62,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Ballistic shields (no IV) for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Vans for task force for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 DVD/DVR video system for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Firefighting equipment for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
<td>9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Mobile detectors for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$3,200.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
<td>3,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Vaginal MRI upgrade for Auto Crimes</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
<td>1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Computerized vehicle systems upgrade for Auto Crimes</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Computer software for Bomb &amp; Arson</td>
<td>$30,000.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Unit Price</td>
<td>Total Quantity</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webgear belts/holsters for Drug Unit</td>
<td>$11,400.00</td>
<td>5620.2400.1480.5599.515510.01600.4079.42000.6060</td>
<td>$11,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement Mat &amp; Supplies</td>
<td>$886,810.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$886,810.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$998,210.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

TO: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor
FROM: Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman and Councilwoman for the 5th District
DATE: December 17, 2020
RE: Request for Legislation

Please prepare an Order for introduction at the December 22, 2019 Council Meeting reflecting that the Council will be on recess and will not meet on December 29, 2020. The Order should further reflect that the Council will hold their next regular meeting on Tuesday, January 5, 2021 at 6:30 p.m., and due to the fact that Friday, January 1, 2021 is New Year’s Day, the County Clerk shall not prepare and post an Add-On Agenda for the meeting of Tuesday, January 5, 2021.

The Order should further reflect that any Agenda items submitted after noon on January 4, 2021 shall be placed on the Regular Agenda of the Council meeting scheduled for January 12, 2021.

Thank you for your assistance.

cc: Diann L. Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>6 (RECESS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(RECESS)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>(RECESS)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NO MEETING DURING RECESS WEEKS*
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor

From: Chairwoman Lisa Clancy, Councilwoman, 5th District

Date: December 18, 2020

RE: Requesting Resolution for Santa Claus and COVID-19 Protocols

Please prepare a resolution for introduction during the December 22, 2020 regular meeting of the St. Louis County Council pursuant to Santa Claus being able to deliver presents this year amidst the ongoing pandemic. Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway should be added as a cosponsor.

Sincerely,

Lisa Clancy
Chairwoman, Councilwoman 5th District

CC: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director; Council members and staff
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clyton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize an amendment to a contract with Black & Veatch Corporation ("Black & Veatch") for the provision of engineering services in connection with implementation of the Emergency Communications System. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to amend the name of Black & Veatch to Mission Critical Partners, Inc. ("MCP") which acquired Black & Veatch in 2020 and extend the contract through December 31, 2024 for an amount not to exceed $532,230.

This amendment is recommended by the St. Louis County Emergency Communications Commission ("ECC"). Mr. William Karabas, Chairman of the ECC, states that this requested extension of the contract will allow MCP to continue providing services originally provided by Black & Veatch and will further authorize MCP to provide additional support for the completion of the Next Generation 9-1-1 project and development of a replacement network for the current Charter Spectrum network. If the contract is extended, MCP will further provide transition management services to ensure no to minimal disruption in service while the systems are adapted to the new network and will additionally perform a cybersecurity readiness assessment with recommendations to the ECC to enhance the cybersecurity of the County’s networks and emergency communications system.

Upon completion of the Next Generation 9-1-1 system implementation, the ECC will become the service provider for all public safety answering points ("PSAP") in St. Louis County which receive all calls for emergency police, fire and medical services across the County. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 11, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
County Executive
St. Louis County
41 S. Central, 9th Fl.
Clayton, MO 63105

Dear County Executive Page,

On behalf of the Emergency Communications Commission ("ECC"), I request your assistance in requesting legislation to authorize extension and amendment of the County's contract with Black and Veatch ("Contract"). Under the Contract, the County receives consulting services for the procurement and implementation of the County Next Generation 9-1-1 system hardware and services. In 2020, Mission Critical Partners "MCP" acquired Black and Veatch, including the current Contract, so MCP has been providing the services under the Contract. The extension and amendment would authorize the extension of services through December 31, 2024 in order to continue with the implementation and completion of the Next Generation 9-1-1 project, and for additional consultant services to address transition management and design and integration of a public safety capable network to replace the current network provided, in part, by Charter (Spectrum) but terminating after new network activation. As the ECC transitions to the Next Generation 9-1-1 platform, the ECC will become the service provider to all PSAPs in St Louis County.

The Contract extension will include additional support for completion of the Next Generation 9-1-1 project, including development of a replacement network for the current Charter (Spectrum) network, transition management services, and provides a Cybersecurity readiness assessment with recommendations for remediation at a cost not to exceed $532,230.00.

The ECC respectfully requests your assistance to obtain the legislation necessary to authorize amendment and extension of the Contract.

Sincerely,

William Karabas
Chairman
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize acceptance of $1,975 in grant funds from Youth in Need to benefit the Family Court of St. Louis County's ("Family Court") Family Dinner Cooking Class. Youth in Need regularly provides grant funds to the Family Court. There are no matching funds required from the County under this grant.

The Family Dinner Cooking Class focuses on teaching youth in the Family Court's programs about selecting nutritional, low-cost ingredients and teaches youth how to safely prepare nutritional, low-cost meals in their own homes. The class also involves participation by parents and guardians of youth in Family Court programs. Beyond education around nutritional food and meal preparation, the class engages youth and their parents and guardians in productive conversations about their futures and how to avoid circumstances that might lead to further involvement with the Family Court.

The donated funds will support the provision of the class which is being conducted virtually during the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as supplies and materials for participants. If the Council determines to accept this grant, monies should be appropriated to account 5330-6000-6010-6098. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 7, 2020

The Honorable Sam L. Page  
County Executive  
St. Louis County Government Center  
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Family Dinner Cooking Class 2021

The Family Court of St. Louis County has received a $1,975.00 donation from Youth in Need for a family dinner cooking class.

We ask for the County Executive to seek legislation accepting the donation and appropriating the funds to Fund 5330 Dept 6000 Section 6098. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Marshall Day  
Director of Operations

MD/ak  
Attachments
December 3, 2020

Kimberly D. Birdwell
Family Court of St. Louis County
105 S. Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Dear Ms. Birdwell,

This correspondence serves to document that a check has been sent from Youth In Need In the Family Court of St. Louis County in the amount of $1,975 to support the virtual Family Dinner Cooking Class for 2021. This includes $1,475.00 for the class and $500.00 for materials for the family conversation toolkits.

We wish you success in your culinary and nutrition programming for youth and families.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Pat Hottman Honan
Chief Executive Officer
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize acceptance of $150,000 in FY2020 Domestic Violence Mentor Court Technical Assistance Initiative Solicitation ("DVMC") grant funds from the Department of Justice ("DOJ") Office of Violence Against Women ("OVW") to support the Family Court of St. Louis County's ("Family Court") domestic violence programs and support services for victims of domestic violence. This is a new grant and does not require any matching funds from the County.

Marshall Day, Director of Operations for the Family Court, states that the DVMC grant is provided in recognition of well-established specialized courts and enables them to assist other courts and court-based programs that wish to significantly improve their own programs and responses to domestic violence cases. The grant further recognizes the Family Court's ongoing efforts to ensure victim safety and offender accountability and provides additional resources to share their success with other courts and court programs across the region.

If the Council determines to accept this grant, monies should be appropriated to Fund 3220, grant LF002. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Hare Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 9, 2020

The Honorable Sam L. Page
County Executive
St. Louis County Government Center
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Domestic Violence Mentor Court Grant

The Family Court of St. Louis County has received funding approval from the Department of Justice (DOJ) for a Domestic Violence Mentor Court grant, for the period October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2022. We were awarded a total of $150,000.00 which requires no local match to fund the project and secure the award.

This initiative recognizes well-established specialized courts and enables them to guide other courts and court-based programs that wish to significantly improve their court responses to domestic violence cases and ensure victim safety and offender accountability.

We ask for the County Executive to seek legislation accepting the grant and appropriating the funds to Fund 3220 Dept 0700 Grant LF002. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Marshall Day
Director of Operations

MD/ink
Attachments
September 18, 2020

Dr. Sam Page
St. Louis County
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105-3863

Dear Dr. Page,

On behalf of Attorney General William P. Barr, it is my pleasure to inform you that the Office on Violence Against Women has approved your application for funding under the OVW FY 2020 Domestic Violence Mentor Court Technical Assistance Initiative Solicitation in the amount of $150,000 for St. Louis County. This Initiative recognizes well-established specialized courts and enables them to guide other courts and court-based programs that wish to significantly improve their court responses to domestic violence cases and ensure victim safety and offender accountability.

Enclosed you will find the award package. This award is subject to all administrative and financial requirements, including the timely submission of all financial and programmatic reports, resolution of all interim audit findings, and the maintenance of a minimum level of cash on hand. Should you not adhere to these requirements, you will be in violation of the terms of this agreement and the award will be subject to termination for cause or other administrative action as appropriate.

If you have questions regarding this award, please contact Julie Aldrich at (202) 616-1590. For financial grants management questions, contact the OVW Grants Financial Management Division at (202) 514-8585, or by e-mail at gfinm@usdoj.gov. For payment questions, contact the Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Customer Service Center (CSC) at (800) 409-0786, or by e-mail at ask.cfo@usdoj.gov.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Laura L. Rogers
Principal Deputy Director

Enclosures
September 18, 2020

Dr. Sam Page
St. Louis County
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105-3865

Dear Dr. Page:

Congratulations on your recent award. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has been delegated the responsibility for ensuring that recipients of federal financial assistance from the OJP, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) are not engaged in discriminatory practices prohibited by law. Several federal civil rights laws, such as Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, require recipients of federal financial assistance to provide assurances that they will comply with these laws. In addition to these civil rights laws, many grant programs include nondiscrimination provisions that require compliance with them as a condition of receiving federal financial assistance. For a complete review of these civil rights laws and nondiscrimination requirements, in connection with OJP and other DOJ awards, see https://ojp.gov/ftmdig/Explore/LegalOverview/CivilRightsRequirements.htm

Under the delegation of authority, the OCR investigates allegations of discrimination against recipients from individuals, entities, or groups. In addition, the OCR conducts limited compliance reviews and audits based on regulatory criteria. These reviews and audits permit the OCR to evaluate whether recipients of financial assistance from the Department are providing services in a non-discriminatory manner to their service population or have employment practices that meet equal-opportunity standards.

If you are a recipient of grant awards under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act or the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act and your agency is part of a criminal justice system, there are two additional obligations that may apply in connection with the award: (1) complying with the regulations relating to Equal Employment Opportunity Programs (EEOPs) and (2) submitting findings of discrimination to OCR. For additional information regarding the EEOP requirement, see 28 C.F.R. Part 42, subpart D, and for additional information regarding requirements when there is an adverse finding, see 28 C.F.R. §§42.204(c) and 205(e)(5). Please submit information about any adverse finding to the OCR at the above address.

We at the OCR are available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that are associated with OJP and other DOJ grant funding. If you would like the OCR to assist you in fulfilling your organization's civil rights or nondiscrimination responsibilities as a recipient of federal financial assistance, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Alston
Director

cc: Grant Manager
Financial Analyst
12. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

The above grant project is approved subject to such conditions or limitations as are set forth on the attached page(s).

13. STATUTORY AUTHORITY FOR GRANT

This project is supported under 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(1) (OVW-TA)

14. CATEGORY: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE MENTOR COURT INITIATIVE

150000

15. METHOD OF PAYMENT

16. TYPED NAME AND TITLE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL

Laura L. Rogers

Principal Deputy Director

17. SIGNATURE OF APPROVING OFFICIAL

Laura L. Rogers

18. SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED RECIPIENT OFFICIAL

Sam Page

County Executive

19A. DATE

20. ACCOUNTING CLASSIFICATION CODES

<p>| FISCAL | BUD. | BUD. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>CODE</th>
<th>ACT.</th>
<th>REC.</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Dept</td>
<td>Div</td>
<td>Sect</td>
<td>Object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>511210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>517110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>522110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>517610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>518210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>524110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>520110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>520210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>515210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>515410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>515610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>517410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>518210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>535810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>525610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>102700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>468510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>638310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$150,000.00
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize the acceptance of $500,000 in FY20 Juvenile Justice System Enhancement grant funds from the Department of Justice ("DOJ") Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") to support the Family Court of St. Louis County’s ("Family Court") Juvenile Transition Program. This is a new grant provided by the DOJ. The term of the grant runs from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2023 and does not require any matching funds from the County.

Marshall Day, Director of Operations for the Family Court, states that the grant will support the Family Court’s Juvenile Transition Program which is intended to develop, implement, and test innovative and research-based responses to crime and other public safety and community challenges, especially as they pertain to area youth. Specifically, these grant funds will allow the Family Court to provide career training, professional development and employment opportunities for court-involved youth. As part of the grant, the DOJ has selected MERS Goodwill Industries as the selected vendor to assist in the provision of these services throughout the St. Louis region.

The Juvenile Transition Program will provide assistance to court-involved youth ranging from age 12 to age 17. Twelve- and 13-year-olds will be provided training in interpersonal skills for the workplace and guidance for setting career goals. Youth ages 14-15, a group identified as having the greatest need for employment services, will be provided career readiness training and eight-week paid internships with local businesses. And youth ages 16-17 will be provided with career counseling, individual or group counseling, volunteer work experience, and jobs with local businesses as well as at least 90 days of follow-up services including help obtaining state identification needed to apply for jobs.

If the Council determines to accept this grant, monies should be appropriated to Fund 3220, grant LF003. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 9, 2020

The Honorable Sam L. Page
County Executive
St. Louis County Government Center
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Juvenile Transition Program Grant

The Family Court of St. Louis County has received funding approval from the Department of Justice (DOJ) for a Juvenile Transition Program grant, for the period October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2023. We were awarded a total of $500,000.00 which requires no local match to fund the project and secure the award.

Please note that the program approved for this grant, specifically names MERS Goodwill Industries as the accepted vendor. This vendor is the accepted provider in the same programs throughout the St. Louis region. The grant was awarded in reliance of this vendor.

This award will be used to develop, implement, and test innovative and research-based responses to crime and other public safety and community challenges.

We ask for the County Executive to seek legislation accepting the grant and appropriating the funds to Fund 3220 Dept 0709 Grant LF003. Thank you.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Marshall Day
Director of Operations

MD/ak
Attachments
Mr. Ben Burkemper
St. Louis County Family Court
1133 S Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105-1772

Dear Mr. Burkemper:

On behalf of Attorney General William P. Barr, it is my pleasure to inform you that the Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), has approved the application by St. Louis County Family Court for an award under the OJP funding opportunity entitled "OJJDP FY 20 Juvenile Justice System Enhancements." The approved award amount is $306,000. These funds are for the project entitled St. Louis County Juvenile Transition Program.

The award document, including award conditions, is enclosed. The entire document is to be reviewed carefully before any decision to accept the award. Also, the web page entitled "Legal Notices: Special Circumstances on to particular award conditions" (ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalNotices-AwardReqts.htm) is to be consulted prior to acceptance. Through that "Legal Notices" web page, OJP sets out — by funding opportunity — certain special circumstances that may or will affect the applicability of one or more award requirements. Any such legal notice pertaining to award requirements that is posted through that webpage is incorporated by reference into the award.

Please note that award requirements include not only award conditions, but also compliance with assurances and certifications that relate to conduct during the period of performance for the award. Because these requirements encompass internal, administrative, and programmatic matters, as well as other important matters (e.g., specific restrictions on use of funds), it is vital that all key staff know the award requirements, and receive the award conditions and the assurances and certifications, as well as the application as approved by OJP. (Information on all pertinent award requirements also will be provided to any successor of the award.)

Should St. Louis County Family Court accept the award and then fail to comply with an award requirement, DOJ will pursue appropriate remedies for non-compliance, which may include termination of the award and a requirement to repay award funds.

Please direct questions regarding this award as follows:

- For program questions, contact James Smith, Program Manager at (202) 307-1482; and
- For financial questions, contact the Customer Service Center of OJP's Office of the Chief Financial Officer at (800) 453-0786, or ojpocfo@usdoj.gov.

We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

Katharine T. Sullivan
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Enc.
Mr. Ben Burkemper
St. Louis County Family Court
18 S Central Avenue
Cottage, MO 63105-1772

Dear Mr. Burkemper:

Congratulations on your recent award. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has been delegated the responsibility for ensuring that recipients of federal financial assistance from the OJP, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) are not engaged in discrimination prohibited by law. Several federal civil rights laws, such as Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, require recipients of federal financial assistance to give assurances that they will comply with these laws. In addition to these civil rights laws, many grant programs contain nondiscrimination provisions that require recipients to comply with them as a condition of receiving federal financial assistance. For a complete review of these civil rights laws and nondiscrimination requirements, in connection with OJP and other DOJ awards, see https://ojp.gov/funding/Policies/equalOpportunity/CivilRightsRequirements.htm

Under the delegation of authority, the OCR investigates allegations of discrimination against recipients from individuals, entities, or groups. In addition, the OCR conducts limited compliance reviews and audits based on regulatory criteria. These reviews and audits permit the OCR to evaluate whether recipients of financial assistance from the Department are providing services in a non-discriminatory manner to the service population or have employment practices that meet equal opportunity standards.

If you are a recipient of grant awards under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act or the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act and your agency or a part of the criminal justice system, there are two additional obligations that may apply in connection with the awards: (1) complying with the regulations relating to Equal Employment Opportunity Programs (EEOPs), and (2) submitting findings of discrimination to OCR. For additional information regarding the EEOP requirement, see 28 CFR Part 42, subpart F, and for additional information regarding requirements when there is an adverse finding, see 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(g), 205(e)(x). Please submit information about any adverse findings to the OCR at the above address.

We at the OCR are available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that are associated with OJP and other DOJ grant funding. If you would like the OCR to assist you in fulfilling your organization's civil rights or nondiscrimination responsibilities as a recipient of federal financial assistance, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Alston
Director

cc: Grant Manager
Financial Analyst
### 1. Recipient Name and Address (Including Zip Code)

St. Louis County Family Court  
1615 Central Avenue  
Clayton, MO 63105-1772

### 4. Award Number

2020-Z13-I1x-0008
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16.827 - Justice Related Services Initiative

### 15. Method of Payment

GRPS

### 16. Name and Title of Approving Official

Katrina T. Solomon  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

### 17. Signature of Approving Official

[Signature]

### 18. Name and Title of Authorized Grant Officer

Ben Burkeiner  
Administrator

### 19. Signature of Authorized Payment Official

[Signature]

### 20. Accounting Classification Codes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Program Code</th>
<th>Activity Code</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 5-87) PREVIOUS EDITIONS ARE OBSOLETE

OJP FORM 4000/2 (REV. 4-83)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Dept</th>
<th>Div</th>
<th>Sect</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>Prog</th>
<th>Act</th>
<th>Loc</th>
<th>Proj</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Fiscal</th>
<th>Rollup</th>
<th>$500,000.00</th>
<th>$500,000.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>520110</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>102700</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>468510</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3220</td>
<td>0700</td>
<td>0730</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>638310</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>LF003</td>
<td>E</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to appropriate $886,820 from the unappropriated balances of the Police Asset Sharing Fund to the Police Department for the purpose of purchasing specialized law enforcement items detailed in the attachment.

The Federal Asset Sharing Program is a federal program where drug-related monies and items recovered by law enforcement are shared between the federal government and the jurisdictions responsible for recovering the items. The program mandates that the money or items recovered be used to directly benefit the procurement of specialized items to benefit the local law enforcement agencies.

The attachment includes a description and value of each item as well as from which funds the purchases will be made. The attached list includes specialized equipment for the Divisions of Operational Support, Patrol and Special Operations, Criminal Investigation, Human Resources and the Office of the Chief.

If the Council determines to approve this request, $586,820 should be appropriated from 5630-2300-2490-7501 and $300,000 should be appropriated from 5630-2300-2490-7502. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rhin Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
The Honorable Sam Page  
County Executive  
St. Louis County Government Center  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

December 14, 2020

Dear Dr. Page:

The St. Louis County Police Department requests your approval and assistance in seeking an additional appropriation from the unappropriated balances of the Police Asset Sharing funds to purchase items 1 through 30 of specialized law enforcement equipment, services, goods and materials listed on the enclosed page. The cost of these purchases is $886,820.00.

The Department participates in the Federal Asset Sharing Program wherein recovered drug-related monies or items are shared with the recovering department and the federal government. The program's guidelines require that this money or item(s) be used to directly benefit the law enforcement agencies.

We are requesting your approval and Council action to purchase the listed items for use by our Department.

An appropriation of $586,820.00 from the unappropriated balance of 5630-2300-2490-7501 and an appropriation of $300,000.00 from the unappropriated balance of 5630-2300-2490-7502 is requested for fiscal year 2020.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

COLONEL MARY T. BARTON  
Chief of Police

MTB:BS

Enclosure  
cc: Commissioner William Price

"Committed to Our Citizens Through Neighborhood Policing"
### Office of Human Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Furniture for Personnel Services</td>
<td>$140,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Division of Operational Support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Computer Software for Communications</td>
<td>$120,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data and Printer for Records/FS</td>
<td>$6,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser Printer for Records</td>
<td>$5,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC for Communications</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscope machine for Records</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Mask supplies for OEM</td>
<td>$35,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOC Building Width/engage for EOC</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Office of the Chief of Police

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and Hardware for MUC</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covert cameras for Intel</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covert camera utility box for Intel</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview room equipment for MUC</td>
<td>$5,500.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Division of Patrol and Special Operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combat helmets for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$38,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunshields and shields for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$72,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballistic shields for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$7,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballistic holsters for ERT/SRU</td>
<td>$5,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Division of Criminal Investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forensic scene lights for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$18,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABO scale for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FARO scanner lasers for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$1,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leads Crime subscription</td>
<td>$52,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonintrusive bloodhounds [6]</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballistic holsters (for M) for Drug Enforcement [5]</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large first aid kits for Drug Enforcement [5]</td>
<td>$2,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVD/DVD Burner system for Drug Enforcement</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forensic computers for Digital Evidence [5]</td>
<td>$19,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal detectors for Crime Scene</td>
<td>$3,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles &amp; IP Upgrade for Auto Crime</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer software for Auto Crime</td>
<td>$9,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Parts &amp; Peripheral</td>
<td>$86,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Other Capital Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minor Office Fixtures</td>
<td>$280,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Office Fixtures</td>
<td>$1,900,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Minor Capital Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Quantity/Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Office Fixtures</td>
<td>$280,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Office Fixtures</td>
<td>$1,900,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
30 Webgear belts/holsters for Drug Unit

$11,400.00

5630-2300-2490-7501~515510-00000-F020-F20400000

Law Enforcement Mat & Supplies
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$886,820.00

Asset Request Total
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair  
and Members of the St. Louis County Council  
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building  
Clayton, Missouri 63105  

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize an amendment to Ordinance No. 27,603 (2019) pertaining to a grant from the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (“MDHSS”) to benefit the Department of Public Health’s (“DPH”) “Home Asthma Assessment and Education” program (“the Program”). Specifically, I request that the above ordinance be amended to allow for the acceptance of up to $9,922 annually from MDHSS, and to extend the term of the grant through August 31, 2021. The annual acceptance of these grant funds will allow DPH to continue the Program at no cost to County taxpayers.

The Program is intended to provide home environmental assessments and home asthma management education to residents across the St. Louis region. The assessments are carried out by Environmental Specialists with DPH with the intention of educating residents on appropriate ways to reduce exposure to asthma triggers. The project also allows nurses with DPH to provide additional education to residents surrounding proper asthma medication use, avoidance of environmental factors that trigger asthma symptoms, self-monitoring practices, recognition of early signs and symptoms, and the development and implementation of written asthma action plans tailored to individual residents.

If the Council determines to approve this request, the ordinance should further be amended to appropriate all future funds provided by MDHSS for the Program to Fund 3100, grant HMO14. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page  
County Executive

SP/kem  
Attachment  
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 10, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Dear Dr. Page:

I am writing to request that Ordinance 27,603 (2019), pertaining to a grant from the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services entitled Home Asthma Assessments and Education be amended to allow the County Executive on behalf of the Department of Public Health (DPH) to accept and appropriate $9,922.00 annually for the project period September 1, 2019 through August 31, 2021, with funds appropriated to grant account 3100 HM014.

The purpose of this project is to provide home environmental assessments and home asthma management education in the St. Louis metropolitan area. This project will allow Environmental Specialist to complete Home Environmental Assessments and provide the necessary education of the home environment to reduce exposure to asthma triggers. It will also allow Public Health Nurses to provide education on topics such as proper medication use, avoidance of environmental factors that trigger asthma symptoms, self-monitoring, recognition of early signs and symptoms, use of written asthma action plans. This project will be funded by the Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services and will not result in any financial burden to County taxpayers.

Your assistance in securing the necessary legislation to amend this ordinance for the County Executive on behalf of the Department of Public Health to accept and appropriate these funds for the purpose of providing the above mentioned services is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Spring Schmidt  
Acting Director

Attachments:  
- Program Services Contract  
- GL String Accounts  
- Ordinance 27,603 (2019)
AN ORDINANCE
AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO ACCEPT
GRANT FUNDS OF UP TO $9,922 FROM THE MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SENIOR SERVICES;
APPROPRIATING THE SAME FOR SUPPORT OF THE
"HOME ASTHMA ASSESSMENT AND EDUCATION"
PROGRAM; AND AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF
NECESSARY DOCUMENTS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY,
MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis
County, Missouri, is hereby authorized to accept grant funds of
up to $9,922 from the Missouri Department of Health and Senior
Services, which amount, or so much thereof as is received,
shall be appropriated in Grant Account 3100-4600-4880-0000-
511114-HS914-0000-57205-0000-HM914, for support of the "Home
Asthma Assessment and Education" program. The term of the
grant project shall commence upon contract execution and run
through August 31, 2020.

SECTION 2. The County Executive is authorized to execute
any applications or agreements necessary to carry out the
intent of this ordinance.

ADOPTED: November 19, 2019 ERNEST TRAKAS
PRESIDING OFFICER, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: November 25, 2019 SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
AUTHORIZED BY:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR

38A-9
2
This contract is entered into by and between the State of Missouri, Department of Health and Senior Services (Department/state agency) and the below named entity/individual (Contractor). The contract consists of the contract signature page, the scope of work; any attachments referenced and incorporated herein; the terms and conditions; and any written amendments made in accordance with the provisions contained herein. This contract expresses the complete agreement of the parties. By signing below, the Contractor and Department agree to all the terms and conditions set forth in this contract.

To the extent that this contract involves the use, in whole or in part, federal funds, the signature of the Contractor's authorized representative on the contract signature page indicates compliance with the Certifications contained in Attachment A which is attached hereto and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking #</th>
<th>Contract Title:</th>
<th>Contract Start:</th>
<th>Contract End:</th>
<th>Questions/Please Contact:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48041</td>
<td>HOME ASTHMA ASSESSMENTS AND EDUCATION</td>
<td>9/1/2019</td>
<td>8/31/2021</td>
<td>PROCUREMENT UNIT @ (573)751-5471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract #: KQ200048041</td>
<td>Amend #: 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PLEASE VERIFY/COMPLETE - TYPE OR PRINT - SIGNATURE REQUIRED

NAME OF ENTITY/INDIVIDUAL (Contractor)
ST LOUIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
DOING BUSINESS AS (DBA) NAME

MAILING ADDRESS
6121 NORTH HANLEY ROAD
CITY, STATE, and ZIP CODE
BERKELEY MO 63134

REMIT TO (PAYMENT) ADDRESS (If different from above)

CITY, STATE, and ZIP CODE

CONTACT PERSON
EMAIL ADDRESS

PHONE NUMBER
FAX NUMBER

TAXPAYER ID NUMBER (TIN)
DUNS NUMBER
075913061

CONTRACTOR'S AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE
DATE

PRINTED NAME
TITLE

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SENIOR SERVICES
DIRECTOR OF DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATION OR DESIGNEE SIGNATURE
DATE
AMENDMENT #0 TO CONTRACT #KQ200048041

CONTRACT TITLE: Home Asthma Assessments and Education

CONTRACT PERIOD: September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021

The Department of Health and Senior Services hereby exercises its option to renew the above referenced contract.

In addition, the Department of Health and Senior Services hereby amends the following:

1. Delete Section 1.1 in its entirety and replace with revised Section 1.1 as follows:

   1.1 The contract amount shall not exceed $9,922 for the period of September 1, 2020 through August 31, 2021.

All other terms, conditions, and provisions of the contract, shall remain the same and apply hereto.
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND SENIOR SERVICES

CONTRACT FUNDING SOURCE(S)

The Contract Funding Source(s) identifies the total amount of funding and federal funding source(s) expected to be used over the life of this contract. The CFDA number is the pass-through identification number for your Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA), if one is required. You may reconcile your financial records to actual payment documents by going to the vendor services portal at https://www.vendorservices.mo.gov/. If the funding information is not available at the time the contract is issued, the Contractor will be notified in writing by the Department. Please retain this information with your official contract files for future reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracking #</th>
<th>State: 0%</th>
<th>Federal: 100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48041</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$19,844.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contract Title: HOME ASTHMA ASSESSMENTS AND EDUCATION
Contract Start: 9/1/2019  Contract End: 8/31/2021  Amend#: 01  Contract #: KQ200048041
Vendor Name: ST LOUIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

CFDA: 93.070  Research and Development: N
CFDA Name: ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE
Federal Agency: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION
Federal Award: 1NUE1EH001393-01, 6NUE1EH001393-01
Federal Award Name: A COMPREHENSIVE PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH TO ASTHMA CONTROL THROUGH EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTIONS
Federal Award Year: 2019  DHSS #: EH001393-01
Federal Obligation: $9,922.00

CFDA: 93.070  Research and Development: N
CFDA Name: ENVIRONMENTAL PUBLIC HEALTH AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE
Federal Agency: DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES / CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION
Federal Award: 5NUE1EH001393-02
Federal Award Name: A COMPREHENSIVE PUBLIC HEALTH APPROACH TO ASTHMA CONTROL THROUGH EVIDENCE-BASED INTERVENTIONS
Federal Award Year: 2020  DHSS #: EH001393-02
Federal Obligation: $9,922.00

*The Department will provide this information when it becomes available.

Project Description:
The purpose of this contract is to provide home environmental assessment training and develop and implement a centralized point for request for home visits and self-management education; develop and deliver presentations/publications to share information/disseminate findings.
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
41 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE
SAINT LOUIS, MISSOURI 63105

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE
December 18, 2020

Honorable Rita Heard Days
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Rock County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract with Oates Associates, Inc. ("Oates") for consulting engineering services related to the completion of St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1795 and AR-1797. The purpose of the projects is to complete resurfacing along Ferguson Avenue from north of Page Avenue to south of St. Charles Rock Road and resurfacing along Midland Boulevard from Page Avenue to Canton Avenue, respectively, within the 1st Council District. The projects will further include pavement repairs, curb ramp replacement, and upgrades of traffic signals to current ADA standards. The term of the proposed contract will commence upon execution of necessary documents for a cost not to exceed $266,000 which includes $12,785.57 in contingency items. The cost of the contract is below the amount budgeted for and available in the Transportation Highway Trust Fund.

This contract results from a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") issued in accordance with Sections 8.285 - 8.29) RSMo. Stephanie Leon Streeter, Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW"), states that minority- and women-owned business enterprise goals of 16% and 15% of the total contract, respectively, have been established for this project. Ms. Streeter further states that Oates submitted a M/WBE utilization plan indicating MBE and WBE participation of 16.39% and 15.48% of the total contract amount, respectively, which was reviewed and approved by the Division of Procurement. Ms. Streeter states that those participation goals will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.

Under the terms of the proposed contract, Oates will be responsible for services necessary to complete the above projects including preparation of preliminary, right-of-way, and construction plans as well as special provisions. If the Council determines to approve this contract, I further request that the Acting Director of T&PW be authorized to execute documents necessary to effectuate the contract and revise the scope of work as deemed necessary and prudent. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 8, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

1st Council District

RE: Requesting Consulting Engineering Services
Ferguson Avenue Resurfacing and Midland Boulevard Resurfacing
St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1795 and AR-1797
Federal Project Nos. STP-5515(603) and STP-5523(624)

Dear County Executive Page:

These projects provide for resurfacing of Ferguson Avenue from north of Page Avenue (MO Route D) to south of St. Charles Rock Road (MO Route 180) and Midland Boulevard from Page Avenue (MO Route D) to Canton Avenue. Along with resurfacing and pavement repairs, curb ramps will be replaced, and traffic signals will be upgraded to current ADA standards.

The Department of Transportation proposes to use the services of Oates Associates, Inc., to prepare the plans and specifications for this project. The engineering services provided by Oates Associates, Inc. will include the preparation of preliminary plans, right-of-way plans, construction plans, and special provisions. The Department has received a proposal from Oates Associates, Inc., wherein the total cost to the County for these engineering services is $266,000 which includes $12,705.57 for contingency items.

A Minority-owned Business Enterprise (MBE) goal of 16% of the total contract amount and a Women-owned Business Enterprise (WBE) goal of 15% of the total contract amount have been established for this project by St. Louis County Revised Ordinance 107.071 (Ord. 27,043, May 8, 2018). Oates Associates, Inc., the consultant recommended for award of this contract, submitted a M/WBE Utilization plan indicating MBE participation of 16.39% and WBE participation of 15.48% of the total contract amounts will be obtained. The M/WBE Utilization plan submitted has been reviewed and approved by the St. Louis County Division of Procurement. In addition, participation goals will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.
The proposed cost of services is fair and equitable, and further said cost of services is subject to final audit by St. Louis County. It is proposed that payment for obtaining these engineering services be made in accordance with the Department's Standard “Cost Plus Fixed Fee with Net to Exceed Amount” Contract for Engineering and Land Surveying Services on Federal Aid projects. This basic contract has been reviewed and approved by the County Counselor’s office.

I request that the County Council direct the County Counselor to prepare the necessary legislation authorizing the County Executive to enter into a contract with Oates Associates, Inc., on behalf of St. Louis County for the services required and to authorize the Director of the Department of Transportation to execute such documents as are necessary to revise the schedule of work activities from time-to-time as deemed necessary and prudent.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director
Fiscal Note

Chapter 1105.010(7) Transportation Professional Services Including Planning, Engineering, Construction and Surveying

Ferguson Avenue Resurfacing (AR-1795) and Midland Boulevard Resurfacing (AR-1797)

Date: December 8, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>Qualifications-Based Selection per RSMo §8.285 thru §8.291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$266,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$290,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County</td>
<td>Transportation Trust Fund - Highways (Fund 5390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts</td>
<td>These projects provide for resurfacing of Ferguson Avenue from north of Page Avenue (MO Route D) to south of St. Charles Rock Road (MO Route 180) and Midland Boulevard from Page Avenue (MO Route D) to Canton Avenue. Along with resurfacing and pavement repairs, curb ramps will be replaced, and traffic signals upgraded to current ADA standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level</td>
<td>Not an expansion of a current program, project, or service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders)</td>
<td>$12,705.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Honorable Lisa Clancy and Rita Heard Days
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract with George Butler Associates, Inc. ("GBA") for consulting engineering services necessary to complete St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1717 and AR-1737. The purpose of the projects is to complete resurfacing of Kingsland Avenue from north of Delmar Boulevard to south of Olive Boulevard and resurfacing along Vernon Avenue from Midland Boulevard to the boundary of the County and the City of St. Louis, respectively, in the 1st and 5th Council Districts. The project will further include pavement repairs, curb ramp replacement, and upgrades of traffic signals to current ADA standards. The term of the proposed contract will commence upon execution of necessary documents for a total cost not to exceed $329,800 which includes $63,174.45 in contingency items. The contract amount is budgeted for and will be paid from the Transportation Highway Fund.

This contract is being recommended as the result of a Request for Qualifications issued in accordance with Sections 8.235-8.291 RSMo. Stephanie Leon Streeter, Acting Director of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW"), states that minority- and women-owned business enterprise goals of 16% and 15% of the total contract, respectively, have been established for this project. Ms. Streeter further states that GBA submitted a M/WBE utilization plan indicating MBE and WBE participation of 16.08% and 15.16% of the total contract amount, respectively, which was reviewed and approved by the Division of Procurement. Ms. Streeter states that those participation goals will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.

Under the terms of the contract, GBA will be responsible for the preparation of preliminary plans, right-of-way plans, construction plans and special provisions required to complete the projects. If the Council determines to approve the proposed contract, I additionally request that the Acting Director of T&PW be authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the contract and revise the schedule of work activities as deemed necessary and prudent. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/Kem
Attachment
cc: Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, MO 63105

1st and 5th Council Districts

RE: Requesting Consulting Engineering Services
Kingsland Avenue Resurfacing and Vernon Avenue Resurfacing
St. Louis County Project Nos. AR-1717 and AR-1737
Federal Project Nos: STP-5526(648) and STP-5527(602)

Dear County Executive Page:

These projects provide for resurfacing of Kingsland Avenue, from north of Delmar Boulevard to south of Olive Boulevard and Vernon Avenue from Midland Boulevard to the St. Louis City Limits. Along with resurfacing and pavement repairs, curb ramps will be replaced, and traffic signals upgraded to current ADA standards.

The Department of Transportation proposes to use the services of George Butler Associates, Inc. (GBA), to prepare the plans and specifications for this project. The engineering services provided by GBA will include the preparation of preliminary plans, right-of-way plans, construction plans, and special provisions. The Department has received a proposal from GBA wherein the total cost to the County for these engineering services is $329,800 which includes $6,317.45 for contingency items.

A Minority-owned Business Enterprise (MBE) goal of 16% of the total contract amount and a Women-owned Business Enterprise (WBE) goal of 15% of the total contract amount have been established for this project by St. Louis County Revised Ordinance 107.071 (Ord. 27,043, May 8, 2018). GBA, the consultant recommended for award of this contract, submitted a M/WBE Utilization plan indicating MBE participation of 16.08% and WBE participation of 15.16% of the total contract amounts will be obtained. The M/WBE Utilization plan submitted has been reviewed and approved by the St. Louis County Division of Procurement. In addition, participation goals will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.
The proposed cost of services is fair and equitable, and further said cost of services is subject to final audit by St. Louis County. It is proposed that payment for obtaining these engineering services be made in accordance with the Department's Standard "Cost Plus Fixed Fee with Not to Exceed Amount" Contract for Engineering and Land Surveying Services on Federal Aid projects. This basic contract has been reviewed and approved by the County Counselor's office.

I request that the County Council direct the County Counselor to prepare the necessary legislation authorizing the County Executive to enter into a contract with George Butler Associates, Inc., on behalf of St. Louis County for the services required and to authorize the Director of the Department of Transportation to execute such documents as are necessary to revise the schedule of work activities from time-to-time as deemed necessary and prudent.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

SUS:JWK:jlh
# Fiscal Note

**Chapter 1105.010(7) Transportation Professional Services Including Planning, Engineering, Construction and Surveying**

**Kingsland Avenue Resurfacing (AR-1717) and Vernon Avenue Resurfacing (AR-1737)**

**Date:** December 8, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>Qualifications-Based Selection per RSMo §8.258 thru §8.291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$329,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Firm:</td>
<td>George Butler Associates, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Firms Submitting Qualifications Statements:</td>
<td>David Mason Associates Engineering Design Source, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EFK Mcen, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IMEG Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood Environment &amp; Infrastructure Solutions, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$329,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County</td>
<td>Transportation Trust Fund - Highways (Fund 5390)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts</td>
<td>These projects provide for resurfacing of Kingsland Ave., from north of Delmar Blvd. to south of Olive Blvd and Vernon Ave. from Midland Blvd. to the St. Louis City Limits. Along with resurfacing and pavement repairs, curb ramps will be replaced, and traffic signals upgraded to current ADA standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level</td>
<td>Not an expansion of a current program, project, or service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders)</td>
<td>$6,317.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clytton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract with Raineri Construction, LLC ("Raineri") for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. AR-1685 intended to complete improvements along approximately 400 feet of Laclede Station Road in the City of Webster Groves in the 5th Council District. The contract is for an amount of $199,400.40 and potential change orders of up to five percent (5%) of the total contract, or $9,970.02, for a total amount not to exceed $209,370.42. Stephanie Leon Streeter, Acting Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW"), states that funding for this contract in the amount of $284,000 is included in the Transportation Highway Trust Fund.

This contract is being recommended as the result of an Invitation for Bids to which Raineri was the lowest responsive bidder out of eight bids received. Under the terms of the contract, Raineri will perform the necessary work to complete this project including the removal of a modular block retaining wall and replacement with a reinforced concrete retaining wall as well as improvements to sidewalk, curb, gutter, and storm sewer. Ms. Streeter states that Raineri has performed satisfactorily on previous department projects and states that Raineri is qualified to provide the required scope of services.

Ms. Streeter states that a Minority-owned and Women-owned Business Enterprise goals of 24% and 9.5%, respectively, have been established for this project. Ms. Streeter further states that Raineri submitted a M/WBE utilization plan indicating MBE participation of 24.3% and WBE participation of 12.7% of the total contract amount, which was reviewed and approved by the Division of Procurement. Ms. Streeter states that the plan will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.

If the Council determines to approve the proposed contract, I additionally request that the Director of T&PW be authorized to execute the necessary documents to effectuate the contract and revise the schedule of work activities as deemed necessary and prudent. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Dnyes, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Hardest
Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, MO 63105

5th Council District

RE: Scaled Bids for the Laclede Station Retaining Wall  
Lying Wholly Within Webster Groves, Missouri  
St. Louis County Project No. AR-1685

Dear County Executive Page:

The Department of Transportation and Public Works, in conjunction with the Division of Procurement, solicited an Invitation For Bids (IFB) for the Laclede Station Retaining Wall.

The IFB provides for improvements to Laclede Station Road, including the removal of a modular block retaining wall and sidewalk to be replaced with a cast in place reinforced concrete retaining wall, sidewalk, curb and gutter, and storm sewer improvements for approximately 400 feet along Laclede Station Road within St. Louis County.

In response to our IFB advertisement we received eight (8) bids. Raineri Construction, LLC, the lowest responsive bidder, has performed satisfactorily on previous projects for this Department and is qualified to accomplish this work. Therefore, we recommend that the contract be awarded to Raineri Construction, LLC, in the amount of $199,400.40.

In addition to the proposed amount, our experience has been that deviations in conditions and requirements may occur during construction. It is typical for costs to exceed the contract amount by up to 5%. Therefore, we are requesting authority to issue change orders up to a total increase of not more than 5% or $9,970.02.

Contract change orders must be justified and addressed, in detail, prior to issuance of change orders during the length of the contract.

A Minority-owned Business Enterprise (MBE) goal of 24% of the total contract amount and a Women-owned Business Enterprise (WBE) goal of 9.5% of the total contract amount have been established for this project by St. Louis County Revised Ordinance 107-071 (Ord. 27,043, May 8, 2018). Raineri Construction, LLC, the contractor recommended for award of this contract, submitted a M/WBE Utilization plan indicating MBE participation of 24.3% and WBE participation of 12.7% of the total contract amounts will be obtained. The M/WBE Utilization plan submitted has been reviewed and approved by the St. Louis County Department of Transportation.
Procurement. In addition, participation goals will be monitored throughout the life of the contract for compliance.

I further request authorization to make revisions to the existing traffic control devices, as required, in order to handle traffic.

In summary, we request authorization for a contract in the amount of $199,400.40 and potential change orders up to $9,970.02 for a total amount not to exceed $209,370.42.

Attached is the information required by Chapter 1105.050 (4). I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for this contract.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

SLS:JWK:jlh
Title: LaClede Station Retaining Wall, St. Louis County Project No. AR-1685
Date: December 14, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>Invitation For Bid (IFB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The names of the bidders and the bid amounts submitted by each bidder - 1105.050 4. (c)</td>
<td>Bidder Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Raineri Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RV Wagner, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sweetens Concrete Services, LLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Price Construction Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unmesser Contracting Company, LTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kozeny Wagner Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gershenson Construction Co. Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L Krupp Construction Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| The total amount requested for the contract or contracts 1105.050 4. (b) | $209,370.42 |
| The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts 1105.050 4. (b) | $284,000.00 |
| The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County 1105.050 4. (c) | Transportation Trust Fund -- Highways (Fund 5590) |
| | Account -- 5590: 3100-7830-7848-527510-00000-0000-00000-00000-0000-00000-00000-TI685 |
| The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts 1105.050 4. (d) | The project involves the removal of a modular block retaining wall and sidewalk to be replaced with a cast in place reinforced concrete retaining wall, sidewalk, curb and gutter, and storm sewer improvements for approximately 400 feet along LaClede Station Road within St. Louis County. |
| If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level 1105.050 4. (d) | The services provided under this contract are not an expansion of a current program, project or service. |
| Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders) 1105.050 4. (d) | $9,970.02 (5% of bid) |
Pursuant to Section 630.070 SLCEO, as of this date I am appointing the following individuals to serve on the St. Louis County Commission on Disabilities:

**Luke Thomas Barber**, 908 Ridgetree Lane, DesPeres, MO 63131, is appointed to fill a required, vacant position of individual with a disability for a term of three years. Mr. Barber is heavily involved in the community and volunteers significant time to advancing education around the history of developmental disability policies and current systems in place to support individuals with developmental disabilities. He is the founder of the Able-Disable Partnership at the University of Missouri – St. Louis and an active volunteer with the Missouri Developmental Disability Council. He brings to this commission extensive experience in advancing policy recommendations and systems-based changes to enhance awareness and policies that support residents living with developmental disabilities.

**Dawn Chapman**, 116 Delford Avenue, Maryland heights, MO 63043, is appointed to fill a required, vacant position of individual who has a family member with a disability for term of three years. Ms. Chapman brings experience caring for an immediate family member with a disability, and dynamic experiences serving her community. She is a co-founder and media relations coordinator for Just Moms STL, a non-profit organization dedicated to protecting families, communities and the environment and advancing environmental justice through thoughtful, coordinated action. Ms. Chapman is also engaged with various other community agencies and organizations, including serving as a member of the EPA Technical Advisory Committee where she works to specifically coordinate inclusion and accessible resources, data and information to help educate residents of all abilities and backgrounds about the importance of environmental issues and environmental justice.

**Josh Lewis**, 4 Churchill Lane, Kirkwood, MO 63122, is appointed to fill a required, vacant position of individual representing an agency that serves persons with disabilities for a term of three years. Mr. Lewis brings experience as a lead researcher for the ACLU of Eastern Missouri and public service experience as a former member of the Kirkwood Human Rights Commission. Mr. Lewis is a member of the Starklo/TDisability Institute which is a 501(c)(3) non-profit that seeks to provide meaningful assistance and support to individuals living with disabilities and aims to advance their full participation and equal treatment in all aspects of society.
Stephanie McDowell, 1100 Edwards Place, Maryland Heights, MO 63043, is appointed to fill the required, vacant position of individual with a disability for a term of three years. Ms. McDowell brings to this board personal experiences of living with a disability, as well as extensive experience as a social worker dedicated to serving other individuals, particularly youth, who are living with disabilities. She earned her master’s degree in Social Work from Washington University and currently works as a Youth Education Specialist with Paraquad, Inc. In addition to her work with Paraquad, Ms. McDowell is an active member of several organizations that advance awareness and support for individuals with disabilities including the National Federation of the Blind, St. Louis Area Transition Team, St. Louis Transition Council, and a staff member for the Missouri Youth Leadership Forum.

The appointment of the above individuals to the St. Louis County Commission on Disabilities is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 16, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I am respectfully submitting this letter to inform the St. Louis County Council that, as of the above date, I have appointed Ambassador Kevin O’Malley to the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership Board of Directors to fill a currently vacant seat for a term of three years.

Ambassador O’Malley brings to this board invaluable experience as the former United States Ambassador to Ireland under President Barack Obama. During his tenure, Ambassador O’Malley sought to bring together the American and Irish business communities and started a cross-cultural program to encourage creative economic links between the United States and Ireland.

Ambassador O’Malley will be an envoy to the St. Louis County business community and, as a member of the board of directors, will provide integral insights for engaging the international business community and attracting new businesses to St. Louis. I thank Ambassador O’Malley for his willingness to serve and look forward to working with him and the Council to help grow our economy and develop new jobs and economic opportunities for our residents.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 18, 2020

Honorable Rochelle Walton Gray
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Sections 67.1712 and 67.1730 RSMo, I am hereby appointing Mr. Karlton Thornton, 2454 Black Pine Ct., Florissant, MO 63031, to the Metropolitan Park and Recreation District Board of Directors ("Great Rivers Greenway") to fill the required, vacant position of resident of the 4th Council District for a term of three years.

Mr. Thornton is a general supervisor with Ameren where he has worked since 1982. He is a dedicated public servant and active member of several organizations including the Christian Hospital Community Leaders Group, Dayspring School of Arts and Education, Midwest Energy Association, and the Southeast Missouri and Southern Illinois Chapter of the American Association of Blacks in Energy where he currently serves as the Scholarship Chair. Mr. Thornton previously served on the Hazelwood School District Board of Education and in 2010 was honored as a Child Advocate by the Annie Malone Children and Family Service Center. In addition to his public service and community engagement experience, Mr. Thornton brings a diverse background in implementing systems operations and results strategies including development and implementation of diversity initiatives, HR policy, and employee engagement.

The appointment of Mr. Thornton to the Metropolitan Park and Recreation District Board of Directors is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 18, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Section 184.358 RSMo, I am hereby appointing Pamela Trapp, 12 Squires Lane, St. Louis, Missouri 63121, to the Zoological Park and Museum District – Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners as a non-voting advisory member to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. John Fox through December 31, 2020.

Ms. Trapp currently serves as the 1st Vice President of the Art Museum Board of Trustees which she has been a member of for many years. Ms. Trapp additionally serves that board as chair of the Audience Engagement Task Force and member of the Audit and Collections Committee.

In accordance with the above statute, this appointment comes upon the recommendation of the Metropolitan Zoological Park and Museum District – Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners.

The above appointment of Ms. Trapp as a non-voting advisory member is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Section 184.358 RSMo, I am hereby appointing John Fox, 17 Oakleigh Lane, St. Louis, Missouri, 63124, to the Zoological Park and Museum District - Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners as a voting member to fulfill the unexpired term of Mr. Jeffrey T. Fort through December 31, 2021.

Mr. Fox presently serves as a non-voting advisory member of the board and is being appointed to a voting position due to the resignation of Mr. Fort. Mr. Fox has provided valuable service to the board for many years and is actively engaged with several of the board's committees including the Building Committee, Governance Committee, and Food Service Task Force. Upon the Board's recommendation, the appointment of Ms. Pamela Trapp to fulfill the remainder of Mr. Fox's terms is being presented to the County Council.

Pursuant to the same, I am hereby appointing Dr. Ingrid Taylor, 717 Claiact Woods Drive, Ferguson, Missouri, 63135, to the Zoological Park and Museum District - Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners as a voting member for a term of four years to commence on January 1, 2021 and end on December 31, 2024. Dr. Taylor will replace Mr. John Musgrave whose term will expire on December 31, 2020.

Dr. Taylor is a dedicated, contributing member of the Art Museum Board of Trustees and serves as a member of that board's Diversity Committee, Governance Committee, and Audience Engagement Task Force.

In accordance with the above statute, these appointments come upon the recommendation of the Metropolitan Zoological Park and Museum District - Art Museum Subdistrict Board of Commissioners and are hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
TO: Ms. Beth Orwick, County Counselor
FROM: Tim Fitch, Councilman, District Three
DATE: December 18, 2020
SUBJECT: Request for Legislation

Please prepare a substitute for Bill 314 that adds the following requirements:

Outside legal counsel and/or their employing law firm(s) must be “Certified M/WBEs Doing Business with St. Louis County,” as described in Ord. 27,043. The substitute bill should require M/WBE Certification for outside counsel, even if the counsel is selected outside of the standard RFP process.

Thank you.

cc: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor
    Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Administrative Director
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Associate County Counselor
From: Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway, 2nd District
Date: December 21, 2020

Please prepare a Resolution honoring the late Linda Eaker to be presented at the December 22, 2020 council meeting. The details will be forthcoming.

Thank you for your assistance.

cc: Dian Valenti, Administrative Director/County Clerk
MEMORANDUM

To: Diann Valenti
From: Councilwoman Rita H. Days, District 1
Date: December 21, 2020
Re: Communication for Add-on Agenda

Please place the enclosed copy of correspondence on the Add-on Agenda for the December 22, 2020 meeting of the St. Louis County Council.
December 21, 2020

(via inter-office and electronic mail  rdays@stlouisco.com)

Honorable Ernest G. Trakas
6th Council District
St. Louis County Council
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment County Investigation

Dear Councilman Trakas:

I am writing to you as Chair of the Revenue and Personnel Committee, and member of the Ethics Committee, concerning your previous sexual harassment settlement you agreed to and signed while serving as the Chair of the Ethics Committee. As you know, Councilmembers received a disturbing 66-page grievance alleging sexual assault, sexual harassment, bullying and racism on Saturday, December 12th. This is on the heels of multiple lawsuits the County is already facing, including racial and sex discrimination. The only two discrimination complaints, that I am aware of at this time, that have been adjudicated is yours, which resulted in a $60k settlement and that of Officer Keith Wildhaber, which resulted in a $10 million settlement. Because allegations of sexual harassment in County government are persistent and costly expenditures, I am requesting documents associated with your signed settlement with your previous employee, for which the two of you were the parties of focus.

As a member of the Council and the Ethics Committee, and a public servant, I am confident you will agree that it is appropriate and necessary that the Council, through any legal means necessary, inquire into the concerns identified in current allegations,
ongoing lawsuits and past settlements concerning discrimination. At the same time, I am certain you understand the need for you to recuse yourself from any investigation regarding sexual assault and harassment in County government. As a party to a St. Louis County taxpayer funded $60,000 settlement regarding sexual harassment, I respectfully request that you encourage all victims of sexual harassment in St. Louis County government to come forward with their truths. In addition, I request that you provide councilmembers with any/all documents in your possession or under your control that details the purpose and necessity for a publicly funded $60,000 settlement for sexual harassment for which you are a party.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Heard Days

Cc: Hon. Beth Orwick, Esq.
    Hon. Kelli Dunaway
    Hon. Tim Fitch
    Hon. Rochelle Walton Gray
    Hon. Lisa Clancy
    Hon. Mark Harder
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor
From: Councilwoman Rita H. Days, District 1
Date: December 21, 2020
Re: Honorary Resolution for Add-on Agenda

Please prepare an honorary resolution for the December 22, 2020 County Council meeting recognizing the distinguished achievements of St. Louis County Councilwoman Rochelle Walton Gray. My office will provide you with the details for the resolution.

Cc: Diann Valenti
To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor

From: Council Vice-Chairwoman Rochelle Walton Gray, District 4

Date: December 21, 2020

RE: Request for Resolution

Please prepare a resolution to correct an error within a previous resolution that passed, in reference to improving the habitat for our native plants.

Would like it to be on the agenda for the December 22, 2020 County Council Meeting

If you have questions please give our office a call.

Thanking you in advance, for your assistance.

Cc: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

On June 9, 2020, I encouraged Chief Barton and the Board of Police Commissioners to reexamine the police department's policies. I believed then — and I remain convinced — that up-to-date policies consistently practiced by all officers are critical both to the department's effective delivery of policing services and to maintaining a strong relationship with the broader community.

On June 29, 2020, I asked outside experts to evaluate the department's policies and practices against industry-leading standards and best practices. I received a copy of a report prepared by the outside experts today. I wanted to share a copy with you at the earliest available opportunity, thus a copy of the report is attached hereto. I want to thank Civic Progress companies and the RBC for underwriting this study at no taxpayer expense.

My initial review of the report indicates that it is serious, candid, and straightforward. Some of the report's findings and recommendations are consistent with perceptions of the department in the community while others will be new to many. I share the outside experts' view that the Police Department is sound in the fundamentals of policing with a strong, ethical foundation and talented personnel. And I also believe the department has significant opportunities to implement new crime-reduction strategies, to bridge the racial divide within the department, to improve hiring and promotion practices, to update its policies, and to improve its relationship with the community. I invite the Council to join me in supporting the department as it pursues these efforts.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 21, 2020

Saint Louis County Executive Sam Page
Office of the County Executive
Saint Louis County, 41 South Central Avenue
Saint Louis, Missouri
63105

Dear County Executive Page,

The Teneo Risk team has concluded its 6-month review of the Saint Louis County Police Department (SLCPD) at the request of the Civic Progress companies and the Saint Louis Regional Business Council. It was a pleasure engaging with the chief and members of the SLCPD during the assessment period and we appreciate their support and partnership in which they provided us their time, attention, and access to relevant department documentation to supplement our assessment process.

At the behest of the Civic Progress companies and the Saint Louis Regional Business Council, we are submitting our completed SLCPD assessment report to you for review. Should you have any questions, comments or feedback regarding the contents of this document, we are happy to meet with you to discuss in more detail.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Commissioner William J. Bratton
Executive Chair, Teneo Risk
St. Louis County Police Department
Administrative Review
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Policing in St. Louis County, the State of Missouri and the entire nation is at a crossroads. Law enforcement can continue down the same path of reactive efforts to ensure equitable, professional and just community safety services that give hope to those most in need and afford peace of mind and security to residents, businesses and visitors to St. Louis County.

In the past, police faced social challenges using the few tools available to them. Arrest seemed the most obvious and easiest way to clear a call for service: arrest vandals, the homeless, those drinking in public, people with mental illness and those addicted to drugs. Success was measured by a reduction in crime, disorder, and fear of victimization; and revitalizing the County and City's neighborhoods, business districts, and reputation. In June of 2020, after agreement on scope, assessment approach and timeline, the Civic Progress companies and the St. Louis Regional Business Council hired Teneo Risk to address the most pressing and systematic public safety initiative. This initiative aims to improve community trust in the public sector, realize a sustainable reduction in crime, disorder, and fear of victimization; and revitalize the County and City's neighborhoods, business districts, and reputation.

In light of the ever-increasing pressures that St. Louis faces as a result of the city's and metropolitan region's persistent levels of crime and disorder, members of the civic sector approached Teneo Risk to develop an innovative, impactful and systematic public safety initiative. This initiative aims to improve community trust in the public sector, realize a sustainable reduction in crime, disorder, and fear of victimization; and revitalize the County and City's neighborhoods, business districts, and reputation. In June of 2020, after agreement on scope, assessment approach and timeline, the Civic Progress companies and the St. Louis Regional Business Council hired Teneo Risk to address the most pressing and systematic public safety initiative. This initiative aims to improve community trust in the public sector, realize a sustainable reduction in crime, disorder, and fear of victimization; and revitalize the County and City's neighborhoods, business districts, and reputation.

Based on the information, Teneo Risk has collected from discussions with St. Louis business, government, law enforcement and community leaders, as well as the perspectives and experience of the law enforcement experts in our team, Teneo Risk developed recommendations tailored to address St. Louis' high crime rates, critical recruitment problems, and complex public safety governance and oversight structure, which continue to draw national public scrutiny and pressure the region's people, businesses and organizations. Our assessment program focuses on understanding the priorities and concerns of key public and private sector stakeholders and identifies areas where re-engineering and improved cooperation between the St. Louis County and St. Louis Metropolitan Police Departments will help realize more responsive and effective delivery of public safety services. This report contains key findings and observations based on six months of detailed study by the Teneo Risk team, as well as recommendations for improvement in the immediate, near, and long-term.

Here and now, there is great opportunity. The County can be a vanguard of national change. By seizing this moment, when political and civic leaders, law enforcement and citizens are examining their collective histories, perceptions, and biases, St. Louis, County, in cooperation with the City of St. Louis, and all its stakeholders, can launch actionable transformation by empowering communities to work with public safety servants to create safer, more equitable St. Louis. While no one can change the past, the lessons learned, and actionable strategies gleaned from those measures, can prompt positive change.

Teneo Risk recommends that this report serve as a guide and foundation for the positive change in so many areas, including a significant reduction in violent crime and improved community relations. The change to come must be rooted in transparency, accountability, and the truest form of justice. Police should always be part of a larger solution that includes collaborating across a wide spectrum of public and private entities to create healthy neighborhoods. The larger change should also address education, job opportunities, social inequity, food insecurity, access to transportation, and health services.

Accountability must apply evenly to both law enforcement and to criminal behavior, and internal policing culture must be rooted in strength of character, dedication to equity, respect for the community and a dedication to uphold the Constitution of the United States fairly and justly for all.

The findings and recommendations included herein would not be possible without the SLCPO personnel and leadership's coordination, commitment, and generosity of time and insight. Their continued cooperation and assistance in facilitating and participating in interviews, as well as providing policy documents and materials, enabled Teneo Risk to develop an understanding of the department's areas of success, challenges, and future opportunities, to identify key findings and recommendations; and, to work collaboratively to spearhead recommendations for operational re-engineering and create a foundation for implementation of recommendations going forward. Further, SLCPO employees' awareness around the current social and political climate, as well as their leadership instincts and commitment to the regions and communities they serve—
evident in our conversations and correspondence—attests to the department's capacity to cultivate and sustain a proactive, strategic, and holistic approach to policing, community engagement and crime control.
The St. Louis County Police Department (SLCPD) is sound in the fundamentals of policing. Its command staff is talented, engaged, well-informed, and service oriented. Its officers are motivated to serve, proud of the agency, and rightfully proud of the work they do.

The agency is unique in that the County itself spans 85 different municipalities with 81 police departments supporting the municipalities. The SLCPD provides full-service police in the unincorporated portions of the County and specialty contracts in 17 municipalities, and various levels of support and service to others. This presents unique challenges for the department's leadership in that each municipality is a politically independent entity. Thus, commanders must report to and satisfy numerous key stakeholders.

The department is governed by the County Board of Police Commissioners. The County Board of Police Commissioners acts independently of the County Executive. In such a system, it is possible that an occasion, the Board may adopt policies, set priorities, or make decisions that are not aligned with those sought or supported by the County Executive.

It is important to note that while hiring, promotion, training, and crime-fighting strategies are part of this report, the work of the department cannot be separated from the societal challenges facing not only St. Louis County, but the country. Questions about race relations, diversity, fairness, equity are being raised across the nation's public and private sectors, including the SLCPD. Tenex Risk has noted a serious racial divide within the organization, best evidenced in the strained relationship between the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP) and the Fraternal Society of Police (FSOP). There is a narrowing window of opportunity for the chief to address this racial divide, exert new leadership and lead positive cultural change, some steps of which are currently underway. Additionally, from feedback across the department members with whom we have spoken, Tenex Risk concludes that hiring, training, promotion and transfer policies lack transparency and are perceived to be unfair, biased and/or too subjective. This perception is contributing to an ongoing division within the department.

With respect to crime fighting, the department does not have a coordinated agency-wide methodology to reduce, crime. While precinct commanders are properly empowered to lead the crime fight, there is no overall planning, coordination, or strategic deployment of resources to assist them. We noted that there are no regular SLCPD crime strategy meetings among leadership, and collaboration with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (SLMPD) in terms of intelligence and resource sharing to combat crime is nowhere near as advanced and as cooperative as it should be. Additionally, community policing as a strategy is left entirely to precinct commanders, with no overarching department-wide strategy to which all precinct commanders adhere, including coordination by the chief or senior command.

These issues present a range of opportunities for the SLCPD to improve operations, inter-personal relationships, and collaboration within the department and with the community to combat crime and resolve the racial divide challenging the department.

**Findings**

1. The department must improve its crime-fighting methods, and it must better coordinate with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department to collaboratively reduce violent crime.
2. There is a racial divide among the department's employees. While deeply troubling, this divide provides the chief with an opportunity to lead positive organizational and cultural change.
3. The department must improve its engagement with the community it serves, the government entities it works with, its own employees, and the media to portray what the department does day-to-day to serve the public.

This report makes many additional findings and outlines numerous recommendations. These findings and recommendations are separated into five domains: cultural diagnostics, crime control strategies, communications, tools and technology, and resource allocation.

Beyond the issuance of this report, the Tenex Risk team stands ready to continue its efforts to assist SLCPD. Every police agency in America is challenged today by events of the last year, by inequities in American society, by ever tighter budgets, etc. Despite these challenges, SLCPD is a strong agency, with extremely talented and dedicated personnel.
The constituents with whom we spoke are excited to employ best-practice crime-fighting strategies and to create fair, equitable, and transparent systems within the agency. These approaches will help ensure the safety and security of the communities they serve.
The purpose of this report is to convey findings and actionable opportunities for the St. Louis County Police Department to reduce crime, improve internal and external relationships and increase community trust in the police.

The structure of this report starts with the methodology Teneo Risk has employed to date, followed by our findings and recommendations based on what we perceive can be best accomplished in the immediate, near, and long-term. It is our hope to continue to work with members of the SLCPD and to focus on equity and inclusion within the department, providing an avenue to improve crime-fighting strategies and to improve the department's communication and accountability to its core constituents.

A deeper analysis of our findings is broken down into five domains as part of our overall assessment. Please note that although there are five main domains, Cultural Diagnostic features two separate sections due to the comparatively high volume of content; section 1 is based upon interviews and section 2 is based upon written policies. The four remaining domains include interviews and guides within one section:

- Cultural Diagnostic
- Crime Control Strategies
- Communications & Public Information
- Tools and Technology
- Resource Allocation
3. METHODOLOGY

The St. Louis County Teneo Risk team utilized qualitative and quantitative methods to review and evaluate policies, processes, systems, practices, and perceptions within the St. CPD. The team, comprised of current and former police leaders, conducted its assessment through interviews, in-person observation, document review, and data analysis.

SUBJECT MATTER EXPERT TEAM

The assessment team is made up of a small group of highly experienced police leaders who have led or been part of comparable-sized jurisdictions. These experts used their experience, knowledge, and skills to make the observations and recommendations contained in this report. The SLCPD assessment team is comprised of:

- Doutney Atlanta, Teneo Risk/Client Lead, President, Teneo Risk
- Daniel J. Oates, Team Lead, Former Chief, Miami Beach, Aurora (CO), Ann Arbor (MI), and Chief of Intelligence, NYPD
- Edmund Hartnett, Team Second; Former Police Commissioner, Yonkers (NY) and former Deputy Chief, NYPD
- Laurette Hill, Team Member, Chief Dallas College Police Department, former Deputy Chief, Miami Beach, Assistant Chief, Arlington (TX)
- Judy Fal, Team Support; Former Assl. Commissioner, NYPD; former Chief of Staff, Baltimore & Milwaukee PD, Communications Lead, Atlanta, Savannah and Halifax (Canada) Police
- David Gann, Team Support; Senior Vice President, Teneo Risk and Former I.F. Commander, NYPD
- Anushka Pinto, Team Support; Consultant, Teneo Risk
- Davis Gonde, Team Support; Consultant, Teneo Risk

County Team Lead: Daniel J. Oates

Daniel J. Oates is a law enforcement and security consultant who recently completed a highly successful 36-year career as a police executive. After serving in varied roles in the senior ranks of the New York Police Department (NYPD), including chief of the Intelligence Division, during the city’s dramatic crime reduction renaissance of the 1990s, Mr. Oates moved on to be a police chief in three cities – Ann Arbor, MI; Aurora, CO; and finally Miami Beach.

With over 15 years as a police chief, Mr. Oates established a reputation as a progressive reformer and successful crime fighter, with major crime dropping in these cities from 24 to 30 percent under his leadership. Mr. Oates’ national reputation was secured when he led the police and city of Aurora through the horrific crisis, investigation and aftermath of the then-largest mass shooting in U.S. history, the Century 16 Theater Shooting on July 20, 2012.

Mr. Oates is a graduate of Bucknell University and holds advanced degrees from New York University and New York Law School. He is an admitted attorney in New York, New Jersey and Colorado. Mr. Oates is a long-time member and served on the executive boards of both the Police Executive Research Forum (PERF) and the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) and is a Past President of the Colorado Association of Chiefs of Police. Mr. Oates also served for 15 years on the Criminal Intelligence Coordinating Council (CICC), the national advisory panel of police chiefs and sheriffs, created in 2004 to advise the U.S. Attorney General and Secretary of Homeland Security on intelligence and security strategies in a post-9/11 world.
The team is also drawing on the vast experience of Commissioner William J. Bratton, Teneo Risk Executive Chair, Commissioner Charles H. Ramsey, former Philadelphia Police Commissioner, and Chief Scott Thomson, former Camden, NJ Chief, for guidance and input to the overall observations and recommendations.

INTERVIEWS

The team conducted approximately 80 individual interviews, either in-person or virtual. In addition, team members traveled to St. Louis County to conduct on-site interviews and make observations. Some interviewees were contacted more than once for clarification and further information. Most individuals interviewed were internal to the agency. The team also spoke with members of the St. Louis County Board of Police Commissioners, the St. Louis County Executive, leadership of police agencies within the County, and other key stakeholders.

DOCUMENT REVIEW

This report includes observations drawn from extensive document reviews of collective bargaining agreements, various policies, statistics, correspondence, documentation relating to the Ethical Society of Police and the Diversity and Inclusion Unit, and crime reports.
4. PRIORITY FINDINGS & IMMEDIATE RECOMMENDATIONS

Employees with whom we spoke are proud to be members of, and enjoy working for, the St. Louis County Police Department (SLCPD). This is a sound agency with an ethical foundation. High clearance rates and generally strong morale indicate the department is doing well in many areas and is well resourced. The department, its Communications Center, and its Police Academy are all accredited by the Commission on Accreditation of Law Enforcement Agencies (CALEA), a rare and outstanding quality accomplishment that shows a true commitment to national best practices in policing.

It has been the experience of the experts conducting this assessment that the best way to combat crime and create safe communities involves timely and accurate intelligence, rapid deployment of resources, effective plans and tactics, and relentless follow-up and accountability. Each of these tenets make up what is known throughout law enforcement as the CompStat process. The SLCPD can make great strides to strengthen its crime-fighting abilities and resolve crime issues by adopting the CompStat process, engaging in improved cross-border communication and collaboration with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (SLMPD), and developing a visionary crime plan to address concerns across its jurisdiction and with its neighbors in law enforcement.

Racial inequity affects all aspects of community living, from economic viability, social services, and public safety. While this report analyzes the workings of the St. Louis County Police Department, many of the issues facing the County are not tactical, training, or crime-fighting related, but rather are policy and process-related issues that require reflection, dialogue, and redesign to resolve inequity.

In addition to crime and racial equity issues, communication also remains a challenge for SLCPD. When addressed strategically and communicated properly, the department’s efforts on the two initial focus areas – equity among its employees and improving the crime fight – will help the St. Louis County Police Department move forward with a bold action plan to improve the safety and security of every person who lives, works, and visits the St. Louis area.

The St. Louis County Police Department is generally well-run and sound in the fundamentals of policing. Its command staff is talented, engaged, well-informed, and service-oriented, as are its rank-and-file police officers, who are rightfully proud to be members of the department. There are distinct opportunities for the agency to enhance its crime-fighting strategies, upgrade its crime analysis capability, coordinate intelligence and crime fighting with the SLMPD, and put in place accountability measures to help create a safer County. The new chief also has a distinct but narrowing window of opportunity to address the internal equity and racial issues that have been present for some time.

What follows is a priority summation of top findings and recommendations. These findings and recommendations are further described in the domain discussions in the full body of this report.

PRIORITY FINDINGS

1. The department does not have a coordinated agency-wide methodology to reduce crime. While precinct commanders are properly empowered to lead the crime fight, there is no overall planning, coordination, or strategic deployment of resources to assist them.

2. The County and City police do not collaborate in fighting crime in a substantive way but only in some joint task force work and occasional ad hoc operations or informal intelligence sharing. The chiefs should establish a meaningful working relationship and commit to regular communication on important issues.

3. There is a serious racial divide within the organization, best evidenced in the competition and open hostility between the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP) and the Ethical Society of Police (ESOP). There is a narrowing window of opportunity for the chief to address this racial divide, enact new leadership, and lead positive cultural change. The FOP and ESOP have many common goals, and these should be the basis for the chief to lead them in a collaborative drive toward positive change.

4. The recently established Diversity & Inclusion Unit, an important facet of moving forward, has not been adequately staffed or utilized. There is confusion among employees about why the unit exists and what it is supposed to achieve. There is a lack of organizational trust in the unit’s current leadership as well.

5. Hiring, training, promotion, and transfer policies lack transparency and are perceived to be excessively subjective. All these areas offer opportunity for the new chief to initiate creative and courageous reform.
6. The chief has many priority issues to manage and would be well-served by the addition of a chief of staff position on her executive leadership team whose job is to help strategize, prioritize, and communicate the chief's vision and ensure prompt, effective follow-up where needed.

7. There is a need for an overall, comprehensive communications strategy, one that addresses both internal messaging to employees and external messaging to all the many and varied constituents and customers of the department.

8. There is a need for consistent policy, training, coordination, and implementation of community outreach and community policing initiatives and techniques.

9. The department's Use of Force policy, last updated in 2010, fails to account for many reforms in the profession in the past 10 years and needs to be thoroughly reviewed and updated.

10. The department's policy that governs how complaints of misconduct against police officers and civilian employees are handled, last updated in 2004, is out of date and substandard by current measures of best practices in policing. It needs to be thoroughly reviewed and updated.

11. The department does not have a clear policy on when and under what circumstances body camera footage will be released to the public or the media. Failure to have a strong, clear policy in place, and one that, earns toward transparency and release reasonably quickly after a controversial incident occurs, could have grave consequences for the department's credibility and perception of transparency with the community.

12. Not all officers who are routinely engaged in enforcement activity have been issued body cameras, which potentially exposes the department to criticism if a controversial incident occurs and no footage is available to document what occurred.

IMMEDIATE RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Institute a CompStat-like crime-fighting process that demands accountability of all department leaders, via regular meetings chaired by the chief and supported by robust, modern crime analysis techniques, and by re-imagining and enhancing the department's crime analysis resources, capabilities, and approach. Focus on 7-day, 28-day and YTD trends of Part I crimes, particularly homicides, non-fatal shootings and robberies and compare these trends to the same time periods from the previous year to gauge the effectiveness of the various crime reduction strategies. Share detailed analysis with all officers and empower, encourage, and reward leaders who make smart deployment decisions and surge resources to quickly address emerging crime trends.

2. Focus crime-reduction efforts on shootings and violence in the most challenged areas. Collaborate in a pilot border-crime initiative in full partnership with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department (SLMPD), community and federal partners. This pilot should focus on the City of Jennings (SLCPD's 6th Precinct) and bordering City of St. Louis neighborhoods (SLMPD's 8th District), as this area offers the best opportunity for early wins and greatest impact. Establish regular, even daily dialogue between the City and County police chiefs and key subordinates about their agencies' joint crime-fighting efforts.

3. Fully share intelligence and crime analysis with the SLMPD and collaborate with, and fully leverage, the combined resources of the SLMPD's Real Time Crime Center (RTCC) and the SLCPD's new Regional Information and Intelligence Center (RIIC), including co-locating personnel in the other's center and arranging for full intelligence, data and video image sharing between these two centers.

4. Conduct a facilitated off-site workshop with the chief and senior leadership, the FOP and ESOP to open constructive dialogue and find a collaborative path forward on the issues of equity and inclusion. The chief must lead and guide the FOP and ESOP to identify and leverage their common interests and begin to resolve their biggest concerns, which include reform issues on hiring, transfer, and promotion. The parties should start by agreeing on at least one shared goal and a plan of action, such as re-designing the promotion exam for sergeants by adding more transparency and more objective scoring. They should build on any early successes with additional collaborations.

5. Identify and select a chief of staff who will serve as a senior aide to coordinate, prioritize, and communicate the chief's mission, vision, objectives, and strategic plan going forward; and ensure prompt, effective follow-up where needed.
6. Create a long-term strategic communications plan that conveys the chief’s vision, mission, and direction for the agency to the four foundational stakeholders: employees, elected officials, the community, and the media.
7. Provide additional support (personnel, resources, and training) and communicate a clear mission for the Diversity & Inclusion Unit and create visible and measurable outputs. Determine whether additional capabilities are needed to enable effective leadership in the unit.
8. In this period of pain and introspection about race and policing, the chief should initiate her own signature program of outreach to communities of color, including faith, civic, education, and business leaders. Despite the pandemic, the chief and her new leadership team must find a way to renew and energize these relationships and to convey that they are readily accessible and open to honest input about the performance of their officers.
9. Because regular, helpful feedback is essential to guiding a police department and navigating the varied demands of the community, the chief should create a Resident Advisory Council. It should be composed of a diverse cross-section of influential civic leaders representing the faith, business, school, and neighborhood communities who advise the chief in confidence about current issues, serve as a sounding board for new ideas, and serve as champions for any new community-policing initiatives the Department seeks to implement.
10. Redesign recruiting, hiring, promotion, and transfer processes to be more transparent. Develop more objective and transparent fair processes to select personnel for training opportunities.
11. Support and enhance the critical work of the precinct-based Neighborhood Policing Officers (NPOs). Create a community officers aide in the rank of lieutenant or captain, reporting directly to the Chief and charged with assisting the Chief on all community-policing initiatives. This aide should be tasked with ensuring consistent messaging, policy, training, implementation, and follow up of the Chief’s community-outreach, problem-solving, and partnership-building initiatives throughout the department in full coordination with the precinct commanders and their NPOs.
12. Undertake a complete review and rewriting of the Use of Force policy, taking into consideration the many positive developments and progress in the profession in last 10 years.
13. Undertake a complete review and rewriting of the policy that governs how complaints of misconduct against police officers are handled. Ensure that all allegations of misconduct, even anonymous complaints, are referred to the Bureau of Professional Responsibility (BPR) to ensure that an appropriate investigation takes place, and a record is made of how every citizen complaint is handled. Implement a new policy to address when and under what circumstances body worn camera footage will be released to the public. The policy should lean toward transparency and toward release within 48 to 96 hours after an incident, following appropriate disclosure and discussion with the relevant prosecutor and done with reasonable sensitivity to the interests of affected family members or persons involved.
14. Every sworn officer should be issued a body camera.

The next section outlines the Teneo Risk team’s findings and recommendations across five domains. We have deemed some of the findings and recommendations as immediately actionable and have also provided a range of findings and recommendations for near and longer periods of time to enact.
During the team’s initial interviews with Chief Barton and members of the County Board of Police Commissioners, it was clear that assistance which Teneo Risk could provide to help the St. Louis County Police Department become a more inclusive, diverse, and accountable agency was welcome. Both the chief and the Board conveyed that resolving internal issues of racism and gender discrimination were foundational to the overall ability of the department to function at its best. The Board clearly stated that there was a racial divide within the department, and that it must be dealt with head-on before the agency could begin to work on trust and legitimacy issues within the community and begin to achieve meaningful crime reduction.

Despite the pains in the department and affinity toward the job references by employees, there is a striking difference in the perception of inclusivity between white and African American officers. As the still relatively new head of the agency, Chief Barton has a window of opportunity to acknowledge these race-relations issues and provide a path forward to healing, trust, and equity. Equitable processes for officers, additional transparency, and strong communication will result in a more unified department, stronger community ties, and a safer, more respected and trusted police agency.

Inequity also extends to gender. The fact that the County still uses the term “patrolman” is indicative of an agency steeped in tradition, which can effectively ostracize employees. These findings should come as no surprise, as similar observations regarding diversity in hiring, promotion, and transfer were made in a Police Foundation report issued in 2015.

A significant challenge is the lack of hard data and tracking of demographics relating to recruiting, training, hiring, transfers, and promotions. Teneo Risk requested certain demographic information about hiring and promotion processes several times but was never provided this useful data. The department’s Human Resources Director noted that between 2015 and 2016, no demographic data was collected on candidates for hire because the computer program in use did not track this information. A significant step towards transparency and trust will take place when the SLCPD has a system in place for appropriate tracking and provides easy access of this data both internally and externally.

The new chief has now been in her role for over six months and still has an opportunity to be the lead agent for reform on racial and gender equity in the department. Teneo Risk urges that she, her leadership team, and the Board of Police Commissioners respond and implement our priority recommendations in this Cultural Diagnostic Domain as quickly as possible.

### Findings

1. There is a window of opportunity for the new chief to take a leadership role in driving needed cultural change within SLCPD, starting with a reorganization of the department and a setting of new priorities.

2. There is no overall strategic plan for reducing crime or resolving the internal racial divide, and there is a need for a new, comprehensive, and fully coordinated community policing strategy. The chief must drive reform in these areas and create internal organizational support and external community support to assist her.

3. Both the FOP and ESOP want their voices heard when it comes to policy creation and revision. Both feel strongly that employee input from their groups would contribute to additional fairness and equity in departmental processes.

4. The FOP and ESOP share a common interest in creating a more transparent and fair system of promotions and the transfer of personnel to coveted squad assignments, which are typically seen as concerns of experienced officers and their elected representatives in any department.

5. Both the FOP and ESOP also believe the department should offer additional training for newly promoted officers and should create a unit committed to employee wellness, which is critical because both sides see officers continuing to deal silently with PTSD and other emotional and physical wellbeing issues.

6. The Diversity and Inclusion Unit is under-resourced, under-tasked, under-utilized, and without a clear mission or purpose. Members of the unit require much more training to fully develop the skills to lead the workforce. Unit members need to fully understand and convey the proper national best practices in this area.

7. SLCPD’s basic capture of data on the demographics of candidates for police officer at each stage of the hiring process, which is essential in the modern era from a recruitment and planning standpoint, as well as to protect against discrimination claims, is lacking.
The Recruiting Unit is understaffed, and while it does conduct outreach to minority communities, it lacks a clear plan of outreach to diversify the department. Because of the deficiency in key data, it also lacks the ability to objectively analyze any potential disparate impact in its recruitment, testing, and background processes, leaving the department unable to smartly adjust its processes and open to criticism.

There are five part-time retired officers and three full-time officers who serve as background investigators. The depth of their training, their sensitivity to issues of race, and their generational gap from the current generation of candidates for police officer are all points of skepticism in the current climate of the organization and fuel a perception of overall unfairness regarding minority hiring.

The department is certain to come under greater scrutiny for its hiring practices in the years ahead from outside advocacy groups demanding more diversity and from within its ranks by entities such as the FOP and ESOP.

The Academy does not capture readily accessible demographic data of recruits who fall out of the Academy, which suggests a lack of critical inquiry by the department into whether its processes have a disparate impact on a particular group.

The Academy does not teach use of force until late in the recruits' training cycle. While this is done for a practical reason, to accommodate for modest differences in policy in classes that have a mix of recruits employed by different agencies, lack of constant training throughout the Academy curriculum serves to de-emphasize the critical importance of compassion, discipline, and judgment as core elements of use-of-force decisions in modern policing.

The Field Training Instructors (FTI) program, which is critical to the development of new police officers and the overall culture of the agency, is not managed consistently across the organization.

The promotion process is ripe for revision and must be more transparent and objective. Employees are to accept it as fundamentally fair and equitable.

The criticisms of the promotion policies cross racial lines in the department, affording the chief an opportunity to close the racial divide by leading collaborative reform in the area.

Leadership training is lacking for newly promoted supervisors.

Executive management does not play an active role in determining what topics should be covered in annual in-service training, which suggests that management may not be doing enough to ensure that all agency training fully aligns with the goals, values, and mission of the organization.

A fair and transparent process is needed to determine who will attend training opportunities to alleviate perceptions that favoritism plays a role in these prime opportunities.

There is no policy in place to require that every citizen complaint be logged and investigated, leaving the agency open to criticism that it is not committed to full transparency and accountability regarding allegations of officer misconduct.

There is no process in place to automatically notify the prosecuting attorney when the department determines through an internal investigation that an officer made a "false official statement."

The act of making a "false official statement" is not an automatic termination offense. This leaves the SLCPD open to valid criticism that it is keeping officers who have been proven untruthful on the payroll and thereby jeopardizing future criminal prosecutions in which such officers' testimony might be needed.

The department does not conduct random reviews of body worn camera footage to ensure that officers are complying with department policy. Random audits of body camera footage are becoming a best practice nationally to ensure appropriate officer behavior.

There is no specialized Equal Employment Opportunity investigative process. Because there is no clear policy in place for investigating these types of complaints, staff has used various methods to seek resolution of complaints of discrimination or harassment.

There is no in-house peer support program overseen by a qualified psychologist or highly trained individual. This means that a troubled officer does not have the option to seek guidance, advice and proper referral for help from a carefully trained peer officer.
25. The recruiting plan is thoughtful but not well organized. Currently the department has Cadet, Explorer and CAPPEL (Career Pathways Through Law Enforcement) programs. These are traditional programs that attract those interested in law enforcement. CAPPEL is a transitional program targeting youth younger than 16 and functions like a summer camp program targeting individuals from multiple underserved school districts. The department currently conducts an open enrollment academy program at two local universities, which provides for obtaining college credit by while simultaneously fast-tracking these students into the SLCPD. The department hopes to and at least two Historically African American Colleges and Universities (HBCUs) into this exemplary open enrollment program and has made outreach to two specific HBCUs, which offers great promise.

26. The chief is well empowered to apply and enforce discipline within the agency, and there are reasonable due process safeguards to ensure fairness, including an appeal process for employees who wish to contest the chief's decision.

27. The Training Unit employs many best practice systems for in-service training.

28. Some feel there is a bias or self-selection process in patrol assignments that tilts the assignments of African American officers to African American communities, and white officers to white areas. If true, this would be a dangerous implication that could ultimately deny officers opportunities because of race. This may be a case of self-segregation because of a lack of trust and perceived (or real) cliques within the organization.

### Recommendations

**IMMEDIATE:**

1. Create a Chief of Staff position within the Office of the Chief to help the chief coordinate, prioritize, and communicate the organization's mission and vision, objectives, and strategic plan and provide strong, consistent follow-up on her behalf.

2. Facilitate an off-site workshop with the chief, FOP and PSOP to open constructive dialogue and find a collaborative path forward on the issue of race. Identify the groups mutual interests and agree on at least one shared goal and a plan of action, such as redesigning the promotion exam for the rank of sergeant by adding more transparency and more objective scoring of candidate performance.

3. Conduct a critical analysis of the recruiting, testing, training, and hiring processes to determine whether there is a disparate impact on any group of candidates and whether the department is moving toward achieving the diversity it seeks. Review and redesign these processes to be more transparent. As a further act of transparency, make data publicly available about the impact on protected classes of these processes.

4. To further promote transparency, make employee data about sworn and civilian staffing publicly available, including by rank, gender, age, race, etc. Implement a process to regularly assess and fully consider the mood on diversity and equity of decisions on promotion and special assignment.

5. Provide additional support (personnel, resources, and training) and communicate a clear mission for the Diversity & Inclusion Unit and create viable and measurable outputs. To emphasize its importance to the agency, this unit should report directly to the chief.

6. Codify policy that prohibits all forms of discrimination.

7. Develop a "diversity score card" to track milestones in department's efforts toward fair and equitable processes.

8. Commit more resources to recruiting full time and conduct more aggressive and creative targeting of minority paths, (e.g., historically African American colleges and universities) and create a "Recruiting for Diversity" plan to target minorities and women.

9. Create an absolute obligation among all employees to receive, record and report every allegation of misconduct and ensure that an appropriate investigation of these complaints occurs.

10. The County's Prosecuting Attorney and the US Attorney should be notified about every officer who is sustained in an internal investigation for "false official statements," regardless of what discipline is imposed for the offense. A review should be promptly conducted to identify any past such findings about any current employee and the details similarly shared with both prosecutors.
11. In the future, any officer who deliberately makes a false statement in an official police document or in an Internal Affairs interview should be terminated. Department policy should be amended to reflect this absolute standard and employees made aware of, and trained on, the policy, accordingly.

NEAR-TERM:

1. Revise and redesign transfer and promotion protocols to remove potential bias. Ensure objective and measurable scales and quantifiable rating systems to increase fairness and transparency. Consider employing professional testing firms to design objective, competitive processes, and consider using evaluators from outside agencies to assess, as is commonly done elsewhere.
2. Conduct quarterly executive leadership training and planning days, perhaps in conjunction with the St. Louis Metropolitan Police for team building, education, and long-term strategic discussions.
3. As noted by former Police Commissioner Dr. LJ Punch in a letter to the chief dated July 28, 2020, the department should consider re-engaging with the Center for Policing Equity or similar professional entity to conduct a secondary audit of the agency and identify areas for improvement, and an action plan to implement strategies to promote equity and inclusion. Teneo agrees with this recommendation.
4. Budget permitting, consider eventually creating a Bureau of Employee Wellness, including the hiring of an in-house psychologist to support this initiative.
5. Develop an Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO) investigative process, with highly trained investigators that conduct their work separate from the internal affairs investigations conducted by the Bureau of Professional Standards. The EEO investigator(s) should report directly to the Chief or the Deputy Chief and manage complaints related to workplace bias and harassment.

LONG-TERM:

1. Create a “Chief’s Advisory Council on Equity” made up of community influencers/stakeholders.
2. Conduct an enhanced level of implicit bias and cultural diversity training for background investigators and academy instructors, including an initial new round and regular refresher training on these topics.
3. Conduct in-person implicit bias training and inclusion training for all officers on a regular basis. Continuously evaluate the ever-evolving and best available national training in this area and keep updating and strengthening this vital training accordingly.
4. Redesign the training curriculum to accommodate the new demand for early and often throughout the Academy and adapt this training to account for any modest differences in use of force policy between participating agencies.
5. Centralize oversight of both the Field Training Instruction (FTI) program and all Community Policing initiatives to ensure continuity and quality control while they continue to operate under the auspices of precinct commanders.
6. Create a Peer Support Program in which highly trained fellow officers volunteer as trusted sounding boards and referral specialists for colleagues in crisis. Model this volunteer unit on successful programs elsewhere in the country.
7. Develop a formal mentorship/professional development process for officers along with a transparent process for attending outside training.
8. Adopt a department-wide policy for random, periodic review of body worn camera footage by supervisory personnel to ensure compliance with policies.
5.4 CULTURAL DIAGNOSTIC Part 2: Additional Findings Based on Review of Department Policies

The policies and guidelines which a police department provides for its employees are particularly important in setting and maintaining an organization’s mission and values. Teneo Risk reviewed documents relating to hiring, recruitment, field training, early warning systems, equal employment, misconduct complaints, employee accountability and a full range of processes and systems that are major factors in setting today’s culture within the St. Louis County Police Department. While many policies that guide the organization are properly aligned and in some cases show them leading practices in modern policing, Teneo Risk found several policies relating to hiring, recruiting, use of force, discipline and other areas of accountability were lagging behind best practices in the industry. This Cultural Diagnostic Section is especially important because of the inherent reputation risks that policies and procedures are not equitable, transparent, free of bias and within the norms of best-practice policing.

Among the positive findings of Teneo Risk, the Performance Evaluation Report Manual 102 includes best-practice application-based categories that are not typically seen on standard employee evaluations. Teneo Risk also noted that General Order 11-71, entitled “Guidance Program - Early Warning System” is aligned with best practices. The Guidance Program portion of the system is a gold standard and could be a model for other law enforcement agencies across the country in terms of identifying and monitoring troubled employees and returning them to a high performance track. General Order 18-86, entitled “Crisis Intervention Team (CIT),” is an exemplary program to deal with persons suffering through a mental health crisis. It integrates highly trained police officers into every such response, provides for sensitive follow up and services referral by the department, and includes a CIT Council comprised of law enforcement officers, court officials, mental health advocates and community behavioral health providers to ensure that policy and training within the Department is maintained at a high level. Teneo Risk found this policy to be a leader in policing.

Among areas of most concern, Teneo Risk found a lack of transparency and troubling indicators in several categories, including recruiting, hiring, special assignment selection and the promotion processes. Internal and external stakeholders generally agreed that the processes surrounding these critically important employment decisions were overly subjective and perceived to be unfair. Despite repeated requests, Teneo Risk was unable to obtain basic data on the hiring process and how protected-class individuals fare in each of the screening stages (i.e., written test, medical exam, psychological exam, polygraph, background check, etc.). Similar data was not made available for how candidates have fared in the promotion process. Teneo Risk recommends that senior leadership undertake a study of this data to determine whether these core processes have a disparate impact on any one group of candidates. Given how prominent SLCPD is within the policing world, and given its very public racial divide, Teneo Risk believes SLCPD would be well served to take a hard look at these processes and consider reform.

Teneo Risk makes the following Findings and Recommendations to bring the SLCPD closer in line with best practices and help achieve greater transparency, diversity, and accountability as cornerstones of an improved internal culture.

5.4.1 Findings

1. SLCPD’s basic capture, use and dissemination of data related to the demographics of candidates (e.g., for hire, promotion) and candidates who fail the Academy is lacking and not in line with law enforcement best practices. Availability of such data is essential from a recruitment and planning standpoint. It is unclear whether the agency tracks such data.

2. The department is using traditional forms of advertising for recruiting. These traditional platforms are relatively successful, but the department should be making more use of digital mediums to cast a much wider and more effective net. The department’s Public Information Officer (PIO) pushes out announcements via Twitter, Facebook and Instagram, but this is not as effective as an integrated outreach program.

3. According to Census data, St. Louis County demographic data is 25% African American and 52% female. Based on our review of the demographic data, the department’s sworn demographic make-up is approximately 10.2% African American and 15.7% female. According to multiple department sources and information publicly available, the percentage of African American employees has not changed in the last 10 years.

4. Because the department is not tracking, nor critically reviewing, who is unsuccessful at each stage of the hiring process, it cannot adequately identify any inadvertent barriers to applicants. Several individuals interviewed by Teneo Risk
described generational gaps between new recruits and background investigators, claiming that those who conduct the background investigations are out of touch.

5. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy does not capture readily accessible biographic data of recruits who fail the Academy. The department provided anecdotal information on those who fail the Academy but did not produce actual data.

6. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy recruits do not receive SLCPD-specific policy training during the academy because its classes combine recruits from multiple agencies. Post-academy field training for SLCPD’s new officers is focused on teaching the new officer the practical application of what was learned during the academy but is not designed as a thorough introduction to the Department’s policies. The net effect of this approach is that a new recruit does not receive sufficient and comprehensive training in SLCPD-specific policies. A fundamental re-thinking of this approach is therefore needed.

7. Teneo Risk reviewed General Order 16-45, entitled “Selection Process,” and found several issues of concern:
   a. The policy stipulates a height and weight requirement that could be a barrier to entry. This is not aligned with best practices for diversifying an applicant pool.
   b. The policy establishes a financial debt requirement, which may be a barrier and disenfranchise people who have struggled because of poverty, socio-economic status, school debt, etc. The term ‘excessive debt’ stated in the policy can be perceived as subjective. The weight standard compliance policy is incompatible with current best practices. In this policy, the performance evaluation supervisor must record employee's height and weight. If an employee is not in the stipulated range, they are to record the amount by which the employee’s weight fails to meet the standard. This policy has the potential to disenfranchise certain groups of individuals.
   c. The policy outlines the “Not Best Qualificato” (NBQ) review process. The department allows this NBQ designation to be used to demote candidates at any stage of the police officer application process without sufficient supervisory oversight. The first level supervisor, who is a sworn officer, makes the final decision about the NBQ designation, without higher-level review. Representatives from the ESOP and FOP both identified issues with the NBQ designation. The ESOP alleges that NBQ process has a disparate and discriminatory impact on minority candidates.
   d. The policy in Section 1, Personal Data asks applicants who they know at SLCPD and to list their names. Several current and former employees, and Teneo Risk’s evaluators, question the validity of this inquiry and the importance placed upon the question.
   e. The policy has questions in Section 14, Military Status that should be examined and reformed.
   f. The policy has questions in Section 14, Financial Status asking about the salary of an applicant’s spouse, which is not considered a best practice.
   g. The policy in Section 14, Personal Data requests a physical description of applicants. This is not a best practice in police recruiting.
   h. The equal employment statement in the policy does not include an affirmative statement prohibiting discrimination based on sexual orientation. This appears to be an oversight that is easily corrected, as other policies affirm the SLCPD’s commitment to this standard.

8. The promotion process is a divisive topic within SLCPD, subject to much criticism from the FOP and ESOP. Without additional safeguards to add transparency and objectivity, General Order 17-44, "Promotional Policy," will continue to feed the ongoing accusations/narrative of colorblindness based on race and gender.

9. Teneo Risk reviewed the Field Training and Evaluation Program Manual - MC04 and found the following:
   a. The process for becoming a Field Training Instructor (FTI), one of the most important positions in setting the culture of any police agency, is inadequate. The minimum requirements to become an FTI is just two years as an officer and one year with SLCPD. Training new officers is a highly consequential area, and the current FTI requirements are insufficient for the level of responsibility they must assume.
   b. Field Training is the responsibility of the Precinct Commanders. There is inconsistency in the FTI program because the Precinct Commanders are making decisions in isolation. Because there is no overall person/infrastructure in place to manage all aspects of the Field Training Program, there is a high likelihood
that there is significant inconsistency in how new officers are trained and evaluated in each of the various precincts.

c. Recruit officers attending the academy have little to no exposure to precincts and captains before graduation and being assigned to a precinct, which inhibits an understanding of the nuances and differences from precinct to precinct and community and community.

d. The patrol task sheets during the phases are typical for learning practical application of policy. Officers are assigned to the same precincts during Phases I, II, and IV. Officers are assigned to a different precinct for Phase III. The department has a Probation Review Board that evaluates performance in Phase V and makes recommendations to the chief regarding the disposition of the probationary officer.

e. There are four phases of a new officer’s total of 15 weeks of training, which is typical for field training. The policy includes a 37-week probationary period called “Phase V.” This is a typical probationary period for a new officer. Overall, this portion of the Field Training policy is aligned to best practices in the industry.

10. The lieutenant in charge of In-Service Training is not receiving substantive direction from senior staff regarding what training topics to cover during annual in-service training and is largely using his own discretion to set the yearly training agenda. There is no budget for in-service or additional training options. These deficiencies constitute potential weaknesses for the department.

11. Teneo Risk reviewed General Order 19-070, entitled “Assignments and Succession Planning,” and found the following:

a. The process of selection for special assignments is a lightning rod issue for employees because of the desirability of these opportunities, the natural need for management to exercise some subjectivity in choosing among candidates, and the heated debate within the department about race and gender equity. Teneo Risk heard feedback from many members that unfairness in selection for special assignment is one of the most significant factors driving the perception that women and people of color are discriminated against within the department. Given these concerns, the policy needs an up-front explicit statement rejecting discrimination or any implicit bias in selection.

b. Teneo Risk found that opportunities for special assignment are not regularly posted.

c. The perception that the process of selection for special assignment is not fair is common, and anecdotal evidence and interviews suggest that the department lacks diversity at the detective and supervisory levels.

d. Specialized positions do not have term limits based on the specialty of the assignment, nor is there a rotation policy. Some units have members who have developed highly specialized skills over time, and it is understandable that the department would be reluctant to arbitrarily relax such personnel. Whether an individual has experience outside of patrol is assessed in promotional decisions. This is seen as unfair to those competing for promotion who have not been given an opportunity to have a specialized position. There is a belief among interviewees — and anecdotal evidence — that certain special assignments (e.g. tactical operations) have traditionally led to fast-tracking for promotion to the disadvantage of protected class individuals who have not had access to those special assignments.

12. General Order 040, entitled “Awards Program,” has not been updated since 2011. The policy states awards are to be bestowed “regularly.” Department stakeholders told Teneo Risk that awards have not been given for some time.

13. General Order 03-61, entitled “Workplace Harassment,” was last issued in 2003 and is significantly outdated. SLCPO has no specialized Equal Employment Opportunity investigative process to address workplace bias, harassment, and discrimination. The absence of highly trained investigators to conduct the work — separate and apart from Bureau of Professional Responsibility (aka Internal Affairs) process and reporting directly to the chief — does not meet best practices and is a critically needed reform. Among its problems, the current policy provides that complainants are directed in the first instance to their chain of command to report victimization, which is not aligned to best practices.

14. The Use of Force policy, General Order 16-29, was last updated in 2010 and falls to account for many reforms in the profession in the past 10 years. Examples of the need to update this policy include that it proposes to but does not actually ban shooting at vehicles — a core best practice. It adds unnecessary language limiting the use of electronic
conductivity weapons (e.g., Tasers) to only those circumstances consistent with current best practices, and it has language on shooting at fleeing felons, banning chokeholds and only allowing "necessary" force that should be made more explicit and consistent with current enlightened best thinking in the industry.

15. General Order 04-005 entitled "Complaint Review Procedure," is the critically important policy that governs how complaints of misconduct against police officers and civilian employees are handled. It was last updated in 2004. It is out of date and substandard by current measures of best practices in policing. Among the concerns Tenex Risk identified:

   a. The policy lacks an absolute rule that all complaints must be investigated. A supervisor can file a complaint from a citizen, deem it unworthy of follow up, and simply filing forward the complaint to the Bureau of Professional Responsibility (i.e., Internal Affairs). The department must make a comprehensive record and investigate every complaint at least to some degree, with a record of steps taken.

   b. Anonymous complaints, which in policing are sometimes the first indicator of a misconduct problem, need to be treated as if they may have merit. They are always worthy of at least some evaluation and follow up. Under existing policy, such complaints can and most likely will be dismissed outright and ignored, without any record preserved of the department's notice of the complaint.

16. General Order 17-18, entitled "Secondary Employment," which is the policy governing the circumstances under which SLOPD officers provide uniformed security for private employers, is not consistent with best practices. Among its deficiencies, are the following:

   a. The policy is missing requirements for the department to investigate and vet private employers for conflicts with departmental interests or criminal records;

   b. There is no wage setting in the policy, implying that officers broker their own pay rates, which could lead to widely disparate wages for the same work and conflict among fellow officers competing for the same work;

   c. There is no electronic tracking of work performed/not performed and no apparent supervisory personnel assigned to monitor whether the behavior of employees is consistent with policy or that employers are valid, appropriate entities for SLOPD employees with which to do business;

   d. There is no mechanism to confirm whether employees pay income tax on income earned from secondary employment, an issue which the IRS has been known to pursue with local municipalities for resolution, despite the claim that only the individual officer is responsible for his/her taxes.

17. The Conduct and Discipline Rules and Procedures Manual is dated from 2007, and while it has many important features and a reasonable process to ensure accountability of employees, it is antiquated and fails to meet the demands of a contemporary police agency. Best practices covered in the policy include:

   a. Conviction of felony or misdemeanor of moral turpitude results in automatic termination;

   b. Suspension without pay is permissible when an employee is charged with a felony or a misdemeanor of moral turpitude;

   c. The chief may suspend someone with pay at his/her discretion when the employee is under investigation;

   d. The chief's decision on formal discipline is appealable to the County Board of Police Commissioners, but it allows such an appeal even for relatively minor penalties imposed by the chief, such as written reprimand, oral reprimand and remedial training. The ability of an employee to appeal relatively minor decisions to Board can serve to undermine the chief's authority unnecessarily.

   a. The policy's failure to make "false official statement" an automatic termination offense is outside the norm, with the potential consequence that an employee sustained for this offense and kept in office will someday be deemed to lack credibility in his/her testimony before a jury. This could have a devastating impact on achieving justice for a crime victim and his/her family, as well as undermining public trust in the department.

18. Tenex Risk evaluated the Collective Bargaining Agreements (CBAs) for the various employee groups, all represented by the Fraternal Order of Police (FOP). Some findings are as follows:

   a. The Collective Bargaining Agreements for civilian personnel, police officers and sergeants provide for reasonable employee rights and protections and are generally strong on management rights.
b. There is grievance procedure language in these contracts that might be interpreted to allow for the FOP to contest policy changes made by the chief and make such changes subject to negotiations in the future. This is counter to the accepted understanding and practice of the agency that the chief sets policy, with the approval of the County Board of Police Commissioners.

- The CBAs have language that creates a deliberate lack of transparency regarding the release of officers' information in response to public records requests or in critical incidents. These are a hindrance to the full transparency expected of police agencies in the current era.

19. General Order 00-91, entitled "Employee Assistance Program (EAP)," provides for a reasonable level of support for employees and their families. Supervisors may make voluntary or mandatory referrals of an employee to the EAP. Mandatory referrals invoke a formal process and proper tracking under the Guidance Program. The department conducts confidentially on employees' discussions with EAP counselors but is entitled to know whether an employee is following any recommended EAP treatment or remedial plan. The EAP Plan could be improved by adding total, cost-free in-patient alcohol, drugs and gambling addiction treatment for cooperating and committed employees and employment protection guarantees for those who seek all elements of the program. The policy dates from 2003 and should be revised and updated to reflect best current thinking and practices.

20. General Order 17-123, Employee Wellness and Peer Support Program, has several notable weaknesses. According to representatives of the FOP, the peer support counseling function exists in name only, with no real, functioning peer support program. To the extent the policy allows function to aid the agency, it is focused on Critical Incident Stress Debriefings, which is very important, given the traumatic experiences that officers encounter in police work. However, the policy does not appear to emphasize or support the role of peer support counselors in helping employees who experience crises from day-to-day stresses of policing, resulting in marital stress, financial stress, alcohol abuse, gambling or other aberrant or addictive behavior. There is no provision for a psychologist/clinical professional to advise the peer support officers who, no matter how well-trained, occasionally require confidential access to professionals to navigate how best to advise a fellow officer.

21. General Order 16-81, entitled "Bias-Free Policing and Traffic Stop Information," is focused on traffic stops alone as a measure of the department's effort to address bias-free policing. This focus is much too narrow and could potentially send a message to officers that the department's concerns for bias in policing are limited to officer behavior during traffic stops. Narrowing the focus of this topic in this way can be harmful and does not meet national best practices.

22. General Order 4-19, entitled "Centralized Personnel Record File," a 2004 policy, allows for the removal of a disciplinary matter from an employee's file after three years. Best practices in contemporary policing require transparency around the discipline of police officers. Allowing removal of a disciplinary matter from an employee's file is inconsistent with best practices, counter to notions of transparency, and could cause mistrust and potential embarrassment for the agency.

23. General Order 13-55, entitled "Drug and Alcohol Testing Program," is a reasonable policy for governing employee behavior through drug testing. It includes:

   - An employee's duty to notify the department when taking and when ending use of prescription narcotics;
   - An affirmative duty for employees to report on any other employee's use or possession of illegal drugs;
   - Penalties up to termination for failing a drug test or refusing to take a drug test;
   - A policy that an employee, only once, may step forward and admit to an addiction, including narcotics, and to rehabilitation treatment;
   - Providing for required drug testing if an employee is involved in a vehicle accident with fatality or critical injury, or where there was a serious off-duty injury to another person;
   - Providing for a reasonable process of random and reasonable suspicion testing with appropriate safeguards for employee rights;
g. The policy is not clear on when testing is by blood or urinalysis, which is problematic in that urinalysis is not accurate for measuring alcohol intoxication or how long in the past the alcohol was consumed by the employees.

h. The policy does not specify what substances are tested for and by what process, which is a departure from what many police departments specify in their written policies.

24. General Order 18-111, entitled "Recording Police Activity," governs conduct when being recorded by members of the public and recognizes the First Amendment right of persons to record police officers. This policy ensures proper best practices by police to prevent blocking or interfering with someone recording officers and respects rights of those videotaping recording. Additionally, the policy allows for legitimate police action when recorders interfere or break the law.

25. The Strategic Plan 2018-2022 does not feature a SWOT analysis to define the department's strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats, and it does not address the political or media environment—which can both have positive and adverse effects on any department's success in attaining the goals outlined in the strategic plan. The plan outlines the overarching goals of reducing crime, increasing accountability and organizational capacity, and leveraging technology. Each goal is supported by several strategies. The strategies are well-defined and specific but lack hard and detailed tactics, including timelines and budget line-items for accountability purposes.

26. Tenceo Risk found that the Missouri Police Officer Standards and Training (POST) requirements for academy entry are objective and typical for new law enforcement officers.

27. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy exceeds the state mandate for required hours for training. The lieutenant who runs the Academy recently changed the learning style of recruits, shifting from boot camp methods to more hands-on, scenario-based learning, a very positive development.

28. Currently, the state determines pass rates on the final exam, as well as on firearms and physical/defensive tactics training.

29. In-service training for experienced officers exceeds the state mandated hours of 24 hours per year. St. Louis requires 60-85 hours per year and is moving to more scenario-based learning versus the classroom lecture style they have in place currently. This approach and commitment to this level of training is consistent with best practices.

30. General Order 18-26, entitled "Crisis Intervention Team (CIT)," is a new policy which was updated on October 14, 2020. It is an exemplary program to deal with persons suffering through mental health crises, providing for highly-trained police officers to respond to such calls, for follow-up and services referrals, and for training and policy oversight by a CIT Council comprised of law enforcement officials, court officials, mental health advocates, and community behavioral health providers.

31. Tenceo Risk reviewed the Performance Evaluation Report Manual 002 and found the following:

a. The policy outlines a typical system for evaluating employee performance. Employees are evaluated every six months based on their hiring anniversary date. Once an employee reaches the top of the pay range, evaluations convert to annually. There are also periodic evaluations for employees whose performance is deemed unsatisfactory.

b. The policy includes application-based categories not typically seen on standard employee evaluations but are best practice for new enforcement. Examples of these categories include "Application of Fair and Impartial Policing," "De-escalation Techniques," and "Community Engagement Effectiveness." The performance ratings are typically "N-Not Satisfactory," "M-Meets Standards," and "E-Exceeds Standards." There are also detailed descriptions for each evaluation category and explanations as to what is required in each category to achieve the rating. This policy is aligned to best practices.

c. Supervisors' evaluations have additional categories that are typical to evaluating their supervisory effectiveness, therefore aligning this policy to best practices.

d. Employees can respond to end appeal evaluations. Employees are permitted to appeal to the Performance Review Board if they have one or more ratings below "Meets Standards." The chief makes the final decision on the appeal of the evaluation. This policy is aligned to best practices.

32. Tenceo Risk reviewed General Order 11-71, entitled "Guidance Program: Early Warning System," and found the department is utilizing IA Pro software for the formalized process of identifying employees with an established pattern
of behavior that is inconsistent with professional police conduct or established police procedures. The guidance program lasts one year and can be initiated by triggers set in the system itself or by a single incident. The program is managed by the Internal Affairs/Bureau of Professional Responsibility (BPR). The watch commander of the employee provides quarterly reports to BPR while the employee is in the program. All information is maintained by BPR. This policy is aligned with best practices.

33. General Order 11-25, "Grievance Procedure," is a reasonable procedure for an employee grievance to be heard: regarding workplace issues and how resolutions are attained, with the employee entitled to a hearing before a committee of fellow employees. Internal investigator processes reasonably protect employee rights, including representation by the FOP and an attorney during professional standards interviews, with the employee also having reasonable access to investigative materials. There is no significant relinquishment of management rights to hold officers accountable, and this is a leading policy that tends to follow best practices.

### 5.6. Recommendations

**IMMEDIATE:**

1. Provide additional transparency both internally and externally regarding recruiting, hiring and promotional demographics. The department should have readily available, and publicly accessible data on all aspects of these processes. This will allow the department to create a strategic plan to better address recruiting and hiring to reflect the St. Louis County community.

2. Engage the FOP and ESOP as partners in a full re-envisioning of the promotion process for sergeant and lieutenant. Hire a professional testing company skilled in test design and in ensuring objectivity in scoring the performance of candidates, including using unbiased outside assessors. Create ranked scoring for written and oral portions of exams. 

   **Note:** The chief advised Teneo Risk that the department has used outside test designers in the past – and even panels of outsiders to evaluate candidate performance – but that the process was not satisfactory in her opinion. Despite this view, a radical change is needed in how the department conducts tests for promotion. Validated, objective tests designed and conducted by outside professionals is a commonly accepted best practice in police promotional processes. Teneo Risk strongly recommends that this approach be given a second look by the department and that the FOP, ESOP, and other stakeholders be invited to provide input on how these tests are designed.

3. Require the chief to relinquish his/her total discretion to choose who gets promoted from an eligibility list and instead apply some reasonable advantage to a candidate’s rank on the test (i.e., by adding a “one in three” or “one in five” rule that requires the chief to select from among the top persons on a ranked list of candidates who have passed the test).

4. Require a college degree as a condition for advancement to the rank of captain or above. Consider requiring certain levels of college credits for appointment to sergeant and lieutenant. Adopt an aggressive program to encourage continuing education for those members who have not yet achieved a degree. Efforts should include finding colleges and universities willing to provide online and live classes (including in SLOPD facilities) that are scheduled in a manner sensitive to the special demands and shift work of police officers.

5. Remove height and weight requirements in General Order 16-48, "Selection Process." This could be a barrier for entry and hinders being able to diversify the applicant pool.

6. In lieu of height, weight and other purported fitness requirements for hire, create a validated “job function test.” Such a test, used by other agencies, measures a candidate’s ability to perform what are deemed to be typical and “essential” job physical tasks which a typical police officer may have to perform, such as carrying a human dummy a certain distance, climbing a fence, crawling through a confined space, etc. Hire a professional consulting firm familiar with such testing to help design a fully validated and defensible test of this nature.
7. Modify the financial/debt requirement in General Order 16-45, "Selection Process," to make clear that the debt of a spouse, significant school debt and other considerations of this nature are not a barrier to being hired as a police officer.

8. Modify General Order 16-45 to require that any NIB designation be approved by a senior commander at the bureau level.

9. Modify General Order 16-45 by deleting Question G on the Personal History Questionnaire (PHQ), which asks applicants who they know at SLCPD and to list their names.

10. Modify General Order 16-45 in Section "VII. Military Status" by revising questions pertaining to military commitment.

11. Modify General Order 16-45 in Section "VII. Financial Status" by deleting the question regarding spouse's salary.

12. Modify General Order 16-45 in Section "V. Personal Data" by removing the request for a physical description of the applicant, as it is not best practices.

13. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy should capture demographic information on applicants who fall in the academy and the areas where they are falling out. Further, the SLCPD should undertake a comprehensive effort to analyze why these failures are occurring and take appropriate steps to address potential disparate impacts and make appropriate adjustments to its training and evaluation processes.

14. The Academy should adjust its schedule to ensure that SLCPD recruits are afforded an opportunity to do a modest number of ride-alongs with patrol officers during their academy training so that they can be exposed to the vital diversity of St. Louis County and the nature of basic patrol work. This early introduction will give valuable context to the recruit trainee's classroom training.

15. Modify General Order 16-45 to add sexual orientation to the equal employment statement of prohibited considerations.

16. Institute the appropriate safeguards to ensure that Final Review Boards guard against bias in hiring. Consider instituting a scoring and ranking system to evaluate relative worthiness of candidates in a bias-free manner.

17. Seek legal counsel to review the legality and appropriateness of General Order 54, "Weight Standards."

18. Create an Equal Employment Opportunity investigative process that is separate and apart from traditional internal affairs investigation procedures. This new investigative entity, which could be modeled in size (e.g., perhaps one or two investigators), should report directly to the chief and have access to County's legal and human resources experts. The investigators should be extensively trained and be continually updated on how best to conduct these special kinds of investigations. These investigators should be highly accessible within the agency and should, as a matter of their regular duties, conduct department-wide training on EEO issues.

19. Undertake a complete review and rewriting of the Use of Force policy, taking into consideration the many positive developments and progress in the profession in the last 10 years. Among the issues that should be addressed are:
   a. The felony level language should be narrowed.
   b. The language on banning chokeholds must be rewritten to be more specific.
   c. SLCPD should reach agreement with another qualified agency to investigate officer-involved shootings, in-custody deaths, and other critical incidents, consistent with the enlightened national trend toward police transparency and accountability.
   d. The language prohibiting shooting at moving vehicles should be revised to make explicitly clear that the only justification permissible is when a person in the offending vehicle is using deadly force against the officer or another by means either the vehicle (e.g., officer is shooting from the vehicle).
   e. The confusing examples in the policy that direct when use of force is justified and not justified should be removed.
   f. A new section should be added that explicitly discusses the limitations on use of electronic conductivity weapons (e.g., Tasers) to only those circumstances that are consistent with current best practices.

20. Undertake a complete review and rewriting of General Order 04-4, "Process for Investigating Complaints Against Members," as it is outdated. Codify an obligation to refer all allegations of misconduct of department employees to the Bureau of Professional Responsibility (BPR). Ensure that a supervisor, either at the command level or within the UPR, investigates and creates a record of how every citizen complaint is handled. If the evidence shows the complaint is without merit, this can be documented quickly and with minimal effort, but there must be a record preserved of such.
21. Conduct a complete review of General Order 16-81, "Bias-Free Policing and Traffic Stop Information," and rewrite this policy to correct its current limited focus on traffic stop activity. The policy should be modified to include and emphasize broader policy direction to officers about the need and commitment of the department to bias-free policing in all its operations and to countering inherent bias. Engage and take input from the public in the process of rewriting this policy.

22. Eliminate language that allows for removal of a record of a disciplinary event after three years in General Order 1-19, "Centralized Personnel Record File."

NEAR-TERM:

1. Develop a one- to three-year strategic plan for recruiting for diversity.

2. Establish a wider and more intentional digital footprint when it comes to recruiting. To attract a more diverse pool of officers, the department should expand its reach to target new audiences with their recruiting message. A nominal investment could go a long way in targeting a new generation of officers.

3. The department should set recruiting goals that reflect the community. This is an industry standard to ensure the department is as diverse as the community it serves. The strategic plan should include an emphasis on engaging the diversity of leadership in communities of color to assist in achieving full diversity.

4. Provide more transparency both internally and externally regarding hiring demographics. The department should convene a committee of senior ranking members to conduct a critical analysis of what has occurred to protected classes individuals at each stage of the hiring process in the past several years and then make recommendations accordingly to improve the process. The panel should consider taking input from outside experts in human resources, professional testing and diversity recruiting during the process.

5. Create a quarterly disparate impact report to be placed on the agency’s website for better transparency, accountability, and actionable information for internal use about the process.

6. In General Order 16-45, "Selection Process," interview questions should be added to include behavioral-based questions to ensure the right fit for the department. The County’s best human resources experts should be consulted — and a professional outside consultant as well if needed — to design this element of screening to be as fair, reasonable, and perceptive as possible.

7. Per General Order 19-070, "Assignments and Succession Planning," create an objective selection process for all specialized units, inclusive of posting the announcement of vacancies to the entire department, to include the qualifications sought and the process to apply. Additionally, conduct interview panels consisting of a supervisor from the specialized unit and other mid-level supervisors from other units in the police department. Ensure the selection panel is diverse and develop objective criteria for evaluating candidates for the positions. While the chief and senior command may relay a discretion to make these selections, there should be a strong presumption by leadership that the candidates who fare best in the overall selection process are regularly chosen for special assignment.

8. Consider an annual "career development" assessment process for every employee in a specialized assignment and add such a process to General Order 19-70, "Assignments and Succession Planning." This process should work as follows. The chief and senior leadership should conduct an annual review of the performance of every member in the ranks of officer, detective, sergeant, and lieutenant in every assignment other than regular road patrol. A panel of senior commanders, perhaps including even the chief and/or deputy chief, should be convened once a year to hear presentations by supervisors of every special assignment unit in which each employee's past year's performance and career arc are fully discussed. This should be done to: 1) ensure regular movement into and out of these special assignments 2) create ample opportunity for patrol personnel to diversify their careers by moving into open slots in specialized assignments 3) require supervisors to justify to senior command how their units are performing and how well each individual subordinate is performing in the unit and 4) have the chief and senior commanders evaluate whether each individual in a special assignment is worthy of another year in the position. Given that assignment to a special unit is a privilege and not a right, employees who are deemed by the panel to have failed to perform at an acceptable level should be rotated out of the assignment and others given the chance to fill the newly created vacancy.
0. Re-evaluate the Field Training and Evaluation Program Manual - 2004 and the selection process to become a Field Training Instructor (FTI). Create a selection process to include interview panels.

10. Re-establish the role of senior command in setting the in-service training curriculum each year. The Executive Command Training Committee should fulfill its role of setting the in-service training curriculum each year based on management’s most important priorities and mandates for the organization.

11. Expand the umbrella in General Order 18-56, “Crisis Intervention” to include people with developmental disabilities as part of the policy. Developmental disabilities such as Autism, ADHD, ID (Intellectual Disabilities) can mimic the characteristics of mental illness when the person is in crisis.


13. Clarify when urinalysis versus blood testing is used in General Order 13-56, “Drug and Alcohol Testing Program.” Consider adding specificity to testing, for example for which substances and by what processes.

14. Rewrite General Order 17-16, “Secondary Employment,” to create true administrative oversight and to protect the agency from potential embarrassment and maintenance. Obtain software (now free from some vendors, with cost pushed to the secondary employer) to track the entire program activity.

LONG-TERM:

1. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy should implement a Phase II training segment, taught at the Academy for SLCPD recruit officers, that focuses on covering all of the essential “need to know” department policies for new recruits.

2. Field Training should be managed by the Training Division and not made the responsibility of the Precinct Commanders. Precinct commanders, supervisors and assigned Field Training Instructors must still perform their training, mentoring and supervisory roles but will need to carefully coordinate their evaluation processes with the Training Division to ensure conformity of the FTI program across the entire department.

3. Create a multi-year strategic plan for in-service training.

4. Add cost-free, in-patient alcohol/gambling addiction treatment for cooperating and committed employees and employment protection guarantees for those who seek to all elements of the program in General Order 06-01, “Employee Assistance Program.” The policy dates from 2006 and should be revisited and updated to reflect current best practices.

5. Revise General Order 17-112, “Employee Wellness and Peer Support Program,” and revise the peer support program by fully supporting a broader role for peer support counselors to be on-boarding boards and trained in referrals to help relieve stress of the everyday elements of policing. Support a new vision of peer support by employing a police psychologist to serve as the trainer and on-call advisor to peer support volunteers when they have a need to consult a clinical professional.

6. Update the Strategic Plan 2018-2022 with tangible tactics, timelines, and budget line-items included, along with measurable output performance metrics, and put in place a method to conduct a true internal and external satisfaction survey and index to measure outcomes.
6. CRIME CONTROL STRATEGIES

The County has unique challenges in the management of its crime control strategies. County police are balancing relationships, politics, and myriad boundary issues with the various municipal law enforcement agencies that make up the County. At the same time, it is providing full-service public safety in 17 municipalities and the unincorporated portions of the County, as well as various other public safety services, from School Resource Officers to Communications and Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) services to more than 35 others.

The policing model in the County is complex. The SLCPD serves large swaths of unincorporated areas of the County. As for these municipalities policed by the SLCPD, they are technically always "fully staffed" at the level of officers required by each contract, but Tenac Risk found that there is a typically greater need for police services. Our initial finding is that the contracts are very lean on basic patrol services and that SLCPD must surge extra resources to those areas with some frequency. There are also a number of municipalities with their own police departments, some of which are large, sophisticated, and capable of handling nearly all police functions independent of SLCPD. The smaller agencies rely on the County for nearly all services beyond routine patrol work. A universal theme expressed by leadership of these agencies is that SLCPD is a strong partner delivering quality service in support of these agencies when asked to do so. Tenac Risk found that the SLCPD is well-respected by its partner law enforcement agencies throughout the County.

6.1 Findings

1. The department does not have a coordinated agency-wide met hodology to reduce crime. While precinct commanders are properly empowered to lead the crime fight, there is no overall planning, coordination, or strategic deployment of resources to assist them.

2. There is no CompStat-like process or structured dialogue about strategically addressing crime. The department is not proximally addressing crime by taking full advantage of the four principles of CompStat: accurate and timely intelligence, effective tactics, rapid deployment, and relentless follow-up.

3. The SLCPD does not study specific crime-trend information in useful, immediate time blocks (e.g., 7-day, 28-day and Year-to-Date [YTD] periods) and comparing them to the same time periods from the previous year in an effort to gauge the effectiveness of crime reduction strategies. By not tracking specific crimes (e.g., robberies, burglaries, shootings, etc.) in these short and intermediate time frames, commanders are left without sufficient information to assess whether a particular strategy or initiative is actually effective to reduce crime.

4. There is no existing structure for the SLCPD and the SLMPD to formally and regularly collaborate in reducing crime. While individual relationships between command and rank-and-file members in the County and City are positive, there is no concerted formal effort to strategically combat spillover crime.

5. The sharing of information and intelligence between SLCPD and SLMPD is nearly always carried out informally and is based on strong relationships that individual officers, detectives, supervisors and commanders have with their counterparts in the other agency.

6. SLMPD's Real Time Crime Center (RTCC) is admired for its capabilities. Most believe the RTCC should be a better-shared resource between the two agencies but that political and community influences have prevented such collaboration in the past.

7. Weekly crime analysis reports can be improved to provide more actionable intelligence to precinct commanders.

8. There is no proactive strategy to remove from the streets firearms that are illegally possessed.

9. There is no coordinated holistic gang strategy, combining enforcement, investigations, intelligence gathering, and intervention. There is no collaborative effort with broader law enforcement, including the Division of Probation and Parole, to gather and maintain intelligence on known gang members.

10. There is no commander or unit in the department who is specifically charged with arresting and disrupting the most prolific and predatory criminals, including those wanted on warrants. Efforts in this regard are diffused among several units, leading to a fragmented approach and to some immeasurably arrestable criminals remaining on the street and capable of recidivism.
11. Self-initiated anti-crime efforts by the Special Response Unit are not always communicated to precinct commanders in a timely manner.

12. The County’s RMS system is an in-house developed system shared by many agencies in Missouri, but not by the City of St. Louis. As a proprietary system, it is reliant on people, not process. "Off-the-shelf" systems have no such parameters, and although the SLCPD’s system is well-loved by the user agencies, the Teneo Risk team believes it important to "red flag" this issue.

13. There is no central repository for crime intelligence. Siloed information inevitably leads to information "falling between the cracks," an overall lack of coordination for what could be case-solving leads, and duplication of effort.

14. If the nationally mandated change in crime reporting from UCR to NIBRS is not well communicated before it occurs at the end of 2020, it will result in significant confusion for elected officials, the media, and the community. This also may result in accusations of statistics tempering, since the NIBRS method counts victims of crime as exposed to the UCR method, which values incidents.

15. The overall staffing model for utilities that contract for joining from SLCPD requires further study.

### B.2 Recommendations

**IMMEDIATE:**

1. Implement regular crime meetings using a CompStat or similar methodology, chaired by the chief, that include crime-reduction accountability goals and measures for commanders.

2. While the St. Louis region continues to struggle with high rates of violent crime, data analysis indicates that there are particularly high concentrations of crime in certain areas in both the City and the County, and most specifically along a corridor defined by a 3-mile border between the 6th Precinct in St. Louis County mostly within the City of Jennings and the 6th District in the City of St. Louis, which includes the Walnut Park West and North Pointe neighborhoods. This border area of the City and County suffers from some of the most significant violent crime in the St. Louis region. It therefore offers an ideal opportunity for a new collaborative crime-fighting effort for SLCPD and SLMPD, local prosecutors, and their federal law enforcement partners. The border crime is shared by both SLMPD and SLCPD, and so, too, must be their response. A smart, targeted policing initiative, developed and implemented jointly by SLMPD and SLCPD, will come down crime and demonstrate to the region’s stakeholders that good policing matters. It is our recommendation to pilot a 120-day crime reduction and community engagement initiative along the 3-mile border area noted above. The purpose for a 120-day initiative would be to launch, assess outcomes and successes during the period, and build a model of partnership that can be used in other neighborhoods in the region for the benefit of the community.

3. To better aid commanders in their deployment decisions to address immediate and emerging crime trends, redesign crime reporting to provide up-to-date information on all Part 1 crimes in 7-day, 28-day, and Year-to-date formats. Include a trend analysis of additional specific measures of extreme violence (e.g., non-fatal shootings, stabbings, etc.) Develop pin-mapping and heat-map visual analyses as further aid decision makers, as well as any other actionable intelligence useful to precinct commanders and senior command staff.

4. Fully share intelligence and crime analysis with the SLMPD and collaborate with, and fully leverage, the combined resources of the SLMPD’s Real Time Crime Center (RTCC) and the SLCPD’s new Regional Information and Intelligence Center (RIIC), including colocating personnel in the other’s center and arranging for full intelligence, data, and video integration between these two centers.

5. Create a Fugitive Apprehension Unit charged with locating and arresting the most prolific and predatory offenders, including those wanted on active warrants and those immediately assessable for violent crimes based on investigative findings and probable cause.

6. Precinct with higher violence should constantly focus efforts on the top 10 most violent offenders known to frequent the area, including those who are currently not incarcerated and those "soon to get out" of jail or prison. This precision policing tactic can be aligned to the most serious issues within a precinct, be it known robbers, car thieves, burglars, organized retail theft crews, etc.
7. Assign crime analysts directly to the two Homicide/Robbery/Sexual Assault squads as part of a pilot program. Determine whether these added resources have an utilized, positive impact on these squads’ efficiency.

NEAR-TERM:

1. Officially assign all shootings (fatal and non-fatal) to the Crimes Against Persons Unit. A detective should be assigned to each shooting. Precinct personnel who handle shooting incidents involving identified suspects who are still at large should not be tasked with finding and apprehending them.

2. Patrol and investigative units should share intelligence regarding fraud indicators such as fake credit cards, bank account numbers, debit cards, ATM receipts, equipment for credit card production or check production obtained from arrestees or search warrants. They should request fraud detectives’ assistance whenever possible when encountering this kind of evidence.

3. Detectives involved in gang and drug cases should formally coordinate with the financial crimes detectives to investigate suspects for potential financial crimes. These investigators can leverage the full resources of the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FINCEN) and the financial fraud expertise and resources of partner federal agencies.

4. Redefine the mission of the Special Response Unit (SRU), which is the department’s most flexible and immediately responsive unit for fighting violent crime. SRU should be tasked solely with assisting precincts with crime spikes and addressing crime patterns and trends.

5. Significantly increase staffing for SRU and create a fourth SRU team to ensure seven-day-a-week coverage

6. In cooperation with the SLMPD, track recovered stolen autos. Identify locations where the most cars are being dumped. Use technology (LPR’s, PTZ cameras, etc.) in this effort. Make arrests of thieves as they dump stolen autos. Dust all recovered autos that were stolen forcibly for prints.

7. Develop a proactive comprehensive strategy with state and federal partners to remove illegally possessed firearms from the streets

8. Ensure that the transition to NIBRS is well-communicated across all stakeholders to prevent invalid accusations of statistics manipulation.

LONG-TERM:

1. Create a long-term crime-fighting plan with measurable objectives and strategies to set the mission and vision for the agency, as well as to hold members accountable for the safety and security of the County.

2. Establish a formal arrestee debriefing program. All arrested persons willing to talk should be interviewed regarding current crime conditions in the area with a special emphasis on the identification and whereabouts of violent crime suspects. There should be an emphasis on gathering criminal intelligence to solve crimes, dismantle violent gangs, and better identify motives behind shootings and homicides.

3. Document and ensure appropriate personnel and units receive pertinent inmate and arrestee debriefing details.

4. Normalize operations with the Division of Probation and Parole in violent crime hot spots. If approved, accompany them at meetings and inmate visits.

5. Develop a comprehensive gang strategy that combines enforcement, investigations, intelligence-gathering, and intervention in a newly formed Gang Unit, whose mission is to disrupt and dismantle street gangs and discontinue young people from gang life.

6. Work with car dealerships and corporate head offices to employ strategies to help prevent autos being stolen off lots by securing key fobs when the dealership is closed.

7. Precinct commanders must create and manage meaningful community policing strategies that meet their constituents’ needs and promote the overall mission and vision of the SLCPD.

8. All confidential informants registered by the SLCPD should be regularly interviewed by their handlers specifically regarding violent crime hot spots.
In the long-term, the agency may want to reconsider implementing proven diversion programs such as Operation Ceasefire, which offers alternatives to jail for those convicted of gun crimes including education, job training, placement, and drug and alcohol rehabilitation.

9. Incorporate existing and emerging technologies into crime reduction plans.

10. Consider tapping into resources such as the Loss Prevention Research Council (LPRC) and the National Retail Federation (NRF) for information on emerging trends in retail theft including tactics being used by Organized Retail Theft (ORT) groups. The LPRC is a highly regarded retail theft "think tank" based at the University of Florida. Most large retail outlets are members of the NRF.
With the chief being new to her heightened profile, additional communications support may be helpful.

The Teneo Risk team believes additional two-way engagement between the chief and her command staff would be helpful in better conveying her mission, vision, and direction for the department. During our interviews, Teneo heard staff say that they would like to see better communication both internally and externally from top to bottom. Some expressed that they felt left out of important decisions. The chief noted that she is a strong proponent of public communication and engagement and acknowledges the agency must dedicate more resources to public information efforts. We see an opportunity for the chief to take a stronger role as the face of the agency both internally and externally, which is a critical function for a chief, and paramount for a chief executive in policing today.

In general, the department appears to be looked upon favorably by the community. Coordination of community engagement and public information could be improved from an overall strategic perspective. Chief Barton noted she intended to combine community engagement efforts under one bureau to coordinate outreach programs, alleviate duplication across the agency, and bring continuity to the department's brand. She acknowledges the agency must dedicate more resources to public information efforts.

Teneo Risk also reviewed documentation relating to how the SLCPD communicates internally and externally, including its complaint review process, and policies and approach on dealing with social media, public information and the news media. Overall, Teneo Risk found that some of those policies are outdated or lack information and clarity.

The main finding is that the SLCPD Bureau Guidelines have not been updated to reflect current Sunshine Law provisions. Additionally, several policies should be reviewed by the County's legal team.

Teneo Risk recommends updating outdated policies as well as filling in information gaps to ensure that policies are up to date with current best practices.

By implementing the recommendations provided by Teneo Risk, the SLCPD will be in a better position to communicate efficiently internally and externally.

## Findings

1. There is a need for an overall executive, internal, and external communication strategy, including direct messaging from the chief regarding her re-environment of the department.
2. There is a lack of executive communication flowing through all levels of the organization.
3. The chief has an opportunity to work even more closely with the Public Information Officer (PIO) in development of an executive messaging strategy.
4. The PIO is well positioned to provide communications counsel to the chief.
5. The department does not have a detailed communication strategy or crisis manual.
6. The Public Information Unit is somewhat well-resourced, but with additional personnel and equipment, could create additional outreach products and services.
7. Support for officers of the SLCPD could be enhanced through additional internal communications tactics and the chief attending more incident scenes, roll calls, and internal town hall meetings with staff.
8. There is no coordinated engagement strategy or tool kit for precinct commanders to enhance their community engagement efforts.
9. There is well-founded confusion about the myriad agencies serving people of the County, and the action of one officer can affect the reputation of the SLCPD, even when the officer involved is not a member of the SLCPD.
10. Self initiated surveys do not provide the quality statistical analysis required to establish a quantifiable baseline for measuring police satisfaction.
11. Teneo Risk did not discover instances of the department proactively releasing negative information about an officer or the department. Best practices in modern policing dictate that bad news should be released immediately, because to
do otherwise will diminish a police department's credibility and will be counter to the public's demand for transparency and accountability, which are essential to building and maintaining community trust.

12 General Order 68, entitled "Public Info & News Media," was issued in 2018 and does not include sections referring to citizen journalists and who can release statistical information. Some parts of the policy are lagging.

13 General Order 111, entitled "Recording Police Activity," was issued in 2016 and covers all aspects of media/pubic recording of police activity, including the limited circumstances when they can record a recording device. This policy is a best practice.

14 There are a number of provisions in the current Sunshine laws that are not in the SLCPD policies. Section 610.010 has added sections dealing with mobile recording devices. Section 610.0205 details the law regarding crime-scene photos and video. Section 610.0205 details the law regarding the keeping of criminal records, including those that have been expunged. Section 610.021(18), which lists all of the exceptions to open records, now has a section specific to terrorism stating that operational guidelines, policies and specific response plans developed, adopted, or maintained by any public agency responsible for law enforcement, public safety, first response, or public health for use in responding to or preventing any critical incident which is or appears to be terrorist in nature and which has the potential to endanger individual or public safety or health.

15 The PIO is not informed of Sunshine Law requests made by members of the media and the general public, which does not allow the unit to proactively prepare to respond to potential issues arising from the release of information.

16 The PIO is employing best-practice communication methods during critical incidents.

17 The PIO manages the department's website and uses good social media monitoring tools. The SLCPD website is a source of up-to-date information including crime mapping capabilities, department procedural documents, and annual reports.

18 The department is currently using the following digital medium: Twitter, Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, NextDoor, and Ring Neighbors. Each precinct has an independent Facebook page in addition to the official departmental page. The PIO has a rough social media calendar with important holidays but has been planning to further flesh out a calendar to help with content creation.

19 The agency's social media sites are up-to-date, and staff engage with up to more than 99,000 followers on Twitter and more than 100,000 Facebook followers and comments are overwhelmingly positive. There is an excellent balance of stories online, and information is posted to Twitter in a timely manner. The agency makes good use of short storytelling videos. Engagement is overall positive. The department's YouTube page is new, and the agency has not populated it with many videos. Their recruitment video is four years old, but it is still relevant, albeit long.

**Recommendations**

**IMMEDIATE:**

1. Assist Chief Barton to create an executive messaging strategy, including messaging her vision for the department and the importance of community engagement across the County.

2. Provide the chief with executive media training and messaging strategy.

3. Sunshine Law requests from the public should be flagged to the PIO or should initially route through the PIO to the Custodian of Records to allow the department to proactively prepare to respond.

4. Implement a new policy to address when and under what circumstances body worn camera footage will be released to the public. Failure to have a strong, clear policy in place, and one that leads toward transparency and release reasonably quickly after a controversial incident occurs, could have grave consequences for the department's credibility and perception of transparency with the community.

5. Conduct both an internal employee satisfaction survey and an external police satisfaction survey to set benchmarks to measure not just outputs, but outcomes of improved communication and community engagement strategies.

6. Update General Order 68 Public Info & News Media to include citizen journalists and update definitions. Update who can release statistical information. An explanation of the legality of releasing mugshot photos and information regarding
media use of drones at incidents should also be included. The policy should include direction for the Custodian of Record to advise the Public Information officer (PIO) when media requests are received.

7. In General Order 98, "Social Media & Networking," sections F and G should be revised to include policies on endorsing (i.e., "liking/thumbs up") in addition to transmitting (re-posting/re-tweeting).

**NEAR-TERM:**

1. Create an internal communications plan to include various technological methods of communication (blogs, SharePoint site, emails, etc.).

2. Develop an external communications plan to include actionable tactics with meaningful outcome-based metrics.

3. Support and enhance the critical work of the precinct-based Neighborhood Policing Officers (NPOs) by creating a community affairs aide in the rank of lieutenant or captain, reporting directly to the chief and charged with assisting the chief on all community-policing initiatives. This aide should be tasked with ensuring consistent messaging, policy, training, implementation and follow up of the chief's community-outreach, problem-solving, and partnership-building initiatives throughout the department in full coordination with the precinct commanders and their NPOs.

4. Each precinct should have Neighborhood or Community Engagement Officers whose sole responsibility is to build partnerships and relationships with the myriad unique neighborhoods in each precinct area.

5. Consider the addition of an FTE to the PIO to allow the lead PIO additional time to strategize, plan, and serve as communications counsel to the chief.

6. Provide spaces for a media room for news conferences, interviews, and video generation.

7. An update and review by legal is needed for Bureau Procedure 11-15, "Open Records."

**LONG-TERM:**

1. Implement a shadowing program where lieutenants can shadow the chief for a week to both learn more about management and better understand her vision for the agency. Consider offering the same opportunity to sergeants in the organization once the cycle of lieutenants is completed.

2. Develop a true brand image for the agency that can be carried through all programs, including recruiting, community engagement, departmental graphics, and social media.

3. Consider training one or two people at each precinct to serve as their precinct's contact with the PIO and tasked these individuals to provide the PIO with social media content, help with precinct Facebook content, and be available to assist the PIO at incident scenes within their jurisdictional area.

4. Create a style guide to ensure consistent and cohesive brand identification across all communication platforms.

5. Constantly review new updates to the Sunshine Law and incorporate into the Bureau Guidelines.
8. TOOLS AND TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE & POLICY REVIEW

Tools and technology are the backbone which supports the operations of any police department. The functionality and alignment with best practices regarding this infrastructure is essential to ensuring that an agency is running efficiently. Department technologies change rapidly based on the demands of the communities they serve. The advent of social media, cloud-based software, and the explosion of digital content have left many departments racing to modernize. Decades-old technological practices in departments across the country, including CAD systems and evidence storage practices, have been quickly surpassed by methods better suited to the digital age.

A robust, modern tools and technology infrastructure will improve dispatch efficiency, save department funds, safeguard evidence, increase department transparency, and mitigate risk both internally and externally. Teneo Risk's analysis of the SLCPD's tools and technology systems found a series of opportunities for the department to modernize to keep up with fast-paced advancements.

Teneo Risk conducted the tools and technology review in two parts. The first part consisted of interviewing internal stakeholders to understand the different tools and technology implemented and used by the department. The second part involved reviewing policies relating to tools and technology to understand how the department regulates the use of its technology infrastructure.

In conducting interviews to better understand the technology landscape, Teneo Risk found that while certain technology is state-of-the-art and adequately funded (such as the use of body data tracking in Blue Team), several areas are lacking in funding and do not serve the needs of the department. In contemporary American policing, this is not unusual. Most larger departments at any given time are straining in certain areas, with current systems in place or in the pipeline to be upgraded and integrated into everyday operations, and some weaknesses in need of timely, even urgent attention and improvement.

Teneo Risk found that SLCPD's use of advanced facial recognition technology for mug shot analysis, which has been approved by the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) and Intelligence Procedures General Order 2419, entitled “Unmanned Aircraft Systems” (UAS) are two examples of policies aligned with best practices.

However, the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system is 20 years old, property and evidence handling is inadequate, and the handling of detectives’ interview room camera footage is not aligned to current best practices.

To remedy these issues, Teneo Risk has provided findings and recommendations below that include specific actions to solve or mitigate emerging problems within the department's technology infrastructure.

8.1 Findings

1. The Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system, partnered with the Regional Justice Information System (REJIS), is 20 years old and according to department stakeholders is beginning to fail. The department is looking into purchasing Motorola Solutions' CAD. Currently, the CAD does not meet the needs of the department. The initial cost to implement a new CAD is estimated at $1.5 million. There are also several agencies in the County who rely on SLCPD's CAD system. CAD is the linchpin that operates a police department's most critical function, which is responding to calls for service. It is vitally important that this CAD upgrade occur as reasonably soon as possible and that the police department, the County and the participating colleague agencies invest the resources and commitment collaboratively to make the new CAD a success.

2. SLCPD apparently has no publicly available department-wide policy on intelligence gathering, with appropriate civil rights safeguards on data collection, when and under what circumstances to investigate individuals or groups that may be engaged in constitutionally protected activity, acting rules on what constitutes a proper criminal predicate, etc. The best practice in policing is to have a publicly available policy and to typically provide the ACLU and other privacy groups an opportunity to weigh in and comment.

3. The Records Management System (RMS) — the basic data system that records and compiles all written reports of the agency — has been in place since 1984 and is maintained and supported by the County Police Information Technology Department. This is a system, known as “Computer Assisted Report Entry” (CARE), was built in-house by the County, and the County continues to provide internal personnel and resources to properly maintain and upgrade it. This system
Teneo Strategy LLC

- Teneo Strategyl currently meets the needs of the department. Nearly every agency in the County relies on it, as well as several out-of-state users. Around 20 to 30 micro locations will use it starting next month.

Note: This is a highly unusual arrangement in modern policing, having a government agency (St. Louis County) that has built and continues to maintain and update an RMS for so many regional law enforcement agencies. Teneo Risk questions whether this is sustainable long term, when so many state-of-the-art and ever-improving RMS systems with vast analytical capacity are available in the marketplaces.

- A George company called Utilixy is the provider of body-worn camera (BWC) technology, including capture, storage, retrieval, and analysis. The BWC program was introduced into the department in 2020. Every patrol officer, SRSU and tactical officer has been issued and expected to use a camera to record interactions with the public, but supervisors and detectives have not. The department uses cloud storage for BWC footage, and internal stakeholders noted that this is a current and up-to-date system. There is an audit trail that shows when another officer looks at camera footage. There is also an audit trail for looking at data. In the RMS system, officers can procure which day's footage was viewed. While BWC footage is stored in the cloud, detectives' interview room footage is not, but there is a plan underway to resolve this problem.

Note: The department's new BWC program includes a policy that generally consistent with best practices in the industry, with two important exceptions: 1) Not enough officers who might be involved in a critical incident have been issued body cameras; and 2) There is a lack of policy guidance on what footage will be released to the public in a critical incident.

- The SLCPO's detective interview room video technology is antiquated. Investigators use hard drive server storage, "burning" copy discs for hard delivery to prosecutors and physically storing copies in detective files. Modern investigative standards and best practices involve the storing of interviews from the outset of the case to its conclusion in a cloud-based system. The current process involving the copying and transport of discs can lead to critical errors and failed prosecutions.

- In handling property and evidence, the SLCPO is underserved in both technology and storage capacity, leaving it vulnerable to failed prosecutions, misplaced or mishandled evidence, and internal theft. A modern inventory system is needed with tools to record, store, track, and retrieve property placed in its custody, with full audit trail capability and the most modern security and accountability measures, including capital investment in modern storage facility upgrades.

- The department uses Genetec for its Automated License Plate Reader (ALPR) technology. Data is collected in Crime Matrix and stored for 90 days, a relatively short period by law enforcement standards. Such brief storage can hinder an investigation if a detective develops a lead regarding a vehicle's presence at a crime scene. If more than 30 days have elapsed, the detective would not be able to confirm the lead through ALPR.

- The SLCPO does not track secondary employment, a significant potential liability. Without a sound policy and specific rules and prohibitions regarding secondary employment, the department is left vulnerable and potentially liable, given that this type of work typically involves uniformed assignments and use of marked department vehicles. Although free products exist on the market - with costs shifted to the secondary employer - the department has no software that manages off-duty work. Each supervisor is expected to verify how many hours an officer under his/her command worked in secondary employment during the pay period. Officers are required to turn in a time slip every two weeks. Each off-duty job is independently brokered arrangement between the officer and the employer, with the officer paid directly by the secondary employer. The net effect is that SLCPO's secondary employment system is largely run on an "honors system", which lacks real accountability. Similar programs, when run without appropriate safeguards and accountability, have resulted in malfeasance and corruption issues at departments across the country.

- The department uses Power DMS, which is a leading software designed to maintain policies and procedures, track their amendments over time, and track each officer's participation in training to maintain higher access to and proficiency and understanding of current policy. While Power DMS is an excellent tool, the SLCPO's policies are poorly structured, divided between General Orders, Special Orders, Bulletins, and Manuals, with the bulk of guidance coming from General Orders but no sense of cohesion. This format makes it difficult for members in the field to find necessary information when needed while on duty or to study for promotional exams.
10. **General Order 11-02**, entitled "Gang Investigations," is almost 10 years old. This policy relies on a point system to identify gang members. This process could lead to some gang members going unidentified with others being wrongly included as gang members.

11. During several interviews with SLCPD enforcement personnel, it was evident that vehicles driven by violent offenders are routinely stopped by using so-called "Spike Strips." These devices are basically metal pointed spikes attached to a long strip and strung across the road as the offender vehicle approaches in an effort to flatten the tires and apprehend the occupants. In addition, it is unclear if the SLCPD requires use of these tools to be documented in any way. Routine use of these tools is not in keeping with best practices regarding traffic stops because of the physical danger to officers who deploy them and the potential unforeseen consequences of a moving vehicle with flattened tires.

12. **General Order 15-43**, entitled "Police Canine," when compared to the IACP Model Policy, is lacking in several key areas. It does not set the proper tone for this critical use of force policy. While the SLCPD covers the procurement and training of a canine, there is little mention of the selection and training of the handler. The SLCPD policy makes no mention of team qualifications and training, ensuring that the handler and the dog work efficiently as a cohesive unit. The SLCPD policy is also lacking specific guidelines for the care, treatment, and safety of the canine. The supervision and post-deployment segments of the SLCPD policy are somewhat general.

13. **General Order 18-029**, entitled "Evaluation - Priority Assignment of Call," covers the basics of 911 call prioritization. The more serious calls (murder, robberies, rapes, bombings, serious assaults, etc.) are Priority One. Priority Two calls include non-serious crimes, nuisance offenses, etc. Priority Three are those in which a delayed response does not have a negative impact. The CAD Alert Files allow for information on specific addresses to be entered into the system in order to help officers in their response, it also includes information on officer safety (e.g., violent mental health patient at location), and persons with physical disabilities/communicable disease, etc. There is no mention of 911 calls of 911-type calls (noise complaints, disorderly persons, etc.) in this policy.

14. **General Order 20-037**, entitled "Case Management and Warrant Application," covers the basics of how cases are assigned to various investigative units. This order also provides guidance on accurate completion of Arrest Reports (F-287). In addition, the policy includes rules to be followed during custodial interrogations. Page 3/17 III B 4 lists one of several types of incidents that are handled by the Homicide, Robbery, Sexual Assault Unit. In this case, it states that this unit will handle First Degree assaults (most likely shootings) where the participants are unknown to each other and where one of the participants is admitted. The investigations of non-fatal shootings with identified suspects are routinely assigned to Precinct personnel. This is not in keeping with best investigative practices.

15. In **General Order 17-53**, entitled "Search and Seizure," pages 4-5 in Section VII Warrantless Searches lists several instances where the police do not need a search warrant e.g., inventory search, vehicle searches, exigent circumstances, etc. However, point 11, "Aerial surveillance" is likely too broad. The Fourth Amendment allows police to conduct aerial surveillance without a search warrant, but "persistent" aerial surveillance is unlawful without a search warrant. Pages 6-7 in Section IX Strip and Body Cavity Searches, Section B, subdivision 2 states that a body cavity search may be performed without a search warrant on any person arrested for a felony charge. While there are provisions and controls later in the policy, such as requests for approval through the chain of command and the requirement that the body cavity search be conducted by medical personnel in a medical facility, this policy is too broad. As per this policy, a body cavity search can be done on any person charged with any felony.

16. The department has eight (8) square miles of valuable coverage with ShotSpotter technology and uses it well, with effective and timely patrol response occurring and the system aiding in subsequent criminal investigations. **General Order 18-072**, entitled "Gunshot Detection System," is two years old and aligned to best practices.

17. SLCPD is ahead of most agencies in terms of its use of available cameras and ALPRs to assist crime prevention and in criminal investigations. It continues to grow its capacity to place these devices in higher-crime areas where they will be most effective. The department also has some access to cameras placed by other government entities (e.g., schools, traffic agencies, etc.).

18. The department has adopted a new computer program so that each patrol officer, sergeant, and lieutenant receive a laptop. Detectives get their own laptops as well. This is a best practice that allows officers to be anywhere when writing a report or accessing critical information systems. The department uses Panasonic 54 and 55 laptops and replaces them every five years. Currently, they are at the end of the first five-year period. Next year, the department will...
start with the First Precinct and replace the laptops. Officers dock laptops in their patrol cars. A five-year replacement policy is adequate, according to internal stakeholders.

Note. The falling of the CAD system translates into laptop failures to access CAD, another reason the CAD upgrade must be addressed.

19 In-car Mobile Data Computers (MDCs) and mobile data is web-based and internal stakeholders noted that there are no notable issues. The department uses AT&T and its bandwidth and connectivity meet the needs of the department.

20 The Motorola Solutions radio system is relatively new and includes encryption capability. Coverage across the County is good, and the expected life of this new system is 15 years.

21 The Department utilizes a data collection tool known as the "Crime Matrix," which is a program written 20 years ago. It receives arrest data dumps from across the region. It is a one-stop location for getting information about an individual contacted by the various departments using the RMS system known as CARE. Recently, the department launched an updated version of the Crime Matrix.

22 General Order 20-087, General Order 20-097, General Order 20-094, and Special Order 19-310 are all related to arrests and prisoner processing and are aligned to best practices.

23 General Order 14-117, entitled Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS), is aligned to best practices. FAA section 117 provides the rules and regulations for the use of UAS for the public and the private sector. The department has an acceptable UAS fleet and good safeguards on proper use.

24 FirstNet is used for department android cell phones. Detectives along with a select few others are issued department-owned cell phones. Everyone in computer services receives a phone as well. Patrol officers do not receive cell phones.

25 iPads have been issued to a select group of senior ranking officers, but the use of this technology is not widespread.

26 The department is currently utilizing Microsoft Office 365.

27 Officers' locations are tracked through the SMC software. The department tracks the GPS location of officers, providing the camera is on or the car is running. This is an important and innovative safety feature.

28 The department's IT processes and systems are CJIS compliant and contain appropriate security and audit trail accountability.

29 The department uses Power DMS software to track policy changes, sequence dating, and to track when officers read and receive new policies or policy changes. This is a best practice.

30 The department uses IA Pro software to document and track internal affairs cases, and it is adequately funded and state of the art. The department's Early Warning System is tracked through IA Pro as well. These are best practices.

31 Use of Force data tracking is done via a software called Blue Team, and is adequately funded and is state of the art. This is a best practice.

32 The department has Rapid ID fingerprint scanners. All individuals charged with felonies are processed through the County jail, which also uses Rapid scanners. At precinct booking stations there are cameras and rapid-driver fingerprint scanners. Some precincts do not have booking stations because they do not have booking cells. When required by law, the department collects DNA swabs through ID-Evidence Crime Scene. These are all standard processes.

33 An electronic system provided by VDO DOT called LETS is used for tracking and filing traffic incidents and wrecks.

34 Electronic ticketing is done through the Regional Justice Information System (RJIS). All tickets are e-tickets, but officers have one book in case the ticketing system goes down. If officers do issue a paper ticket, they must enter it online when the system is restored.

35 Training records are maintained by the police academy staff. The department implemented a new software system a few years ago.

36 The department uses facial recognition technology provided by Amazon Web Services. The department uses the technology for the limited purpose of comparing unidentifed images obtained during an investigation only to its suspect profiles, with no editing of images. The department engaged with the ACLU in adopting its policy and received approval - a commendable action.
8.2 Recommendations

IMMEDIATE:

1. Replace the out-of-date CAD system
2. Modernize property and evidence storage, retrieval and destruction systems. (This is a big task that will likely require the formation of a strategic working group and the full effort and assistance of multiple divisions within the department and multiple County government offices, as well as a significant capital expenditure.
3. A similar cloud-based system for body camera footage storage should be adopted for camera footage taken from detectives’ interview rooms.
4. Every sworn officer should be issued a body camera.
5. The body camera policy should be amended to make clear that any officer, of any rank, involved in any potential enforcement action must turn on his/her body camera. This includes officers working under federal law enforcement authority (e.g., cross designated as U.S. Marshals).
6. Reach an agreement with federal partners to allow SLCPD members to utilize BWCs on joint operations. In the absence of such an agreement, remove certain units from the ambit of federal marshal status, such as the Street Enforcement Unit (SEU), because of their extraordinarily high exposure to potential officer-involved shootings.
7. Revise the format of the department’s Standard Operating Procedures manual and divide it into related topics such as Duties and Responsibilities, General Regulations, Personnel, Arrests, Complaints, Discipline, etc. and make it searchable. Each policy should be cross-referenced to related policies by title and policy number.
8. Adapt a new best-practices model policy on intelligence gathering and all its elements, including when persons or groups are investigated, how files are maintained and shared, etc. Seek public approval from privacy groups. Train every member of the department periodically to avoid inadvertent violations.
9. General Order 18-028, entitled Evaluation - Priority Assignment of Call Point #1 should be changed from “Shoots free, unless they use alien hunters” to “Shoots fired.” Responding officers can then determine if the shots are fired by hunters or not.
10. Separate General Order 20-037 Case Management and Warrant Application into two separate policies. In addition, custodial interrogations should also be covered in a separate policy.
11. Trained detectives should be assigned to handle the investigation of all shooting victims. Parental kidnappings should initially be investigated by the Homicide, Robbery, Sexual Assault Unit.
13. Consider conducting modest, random audits of BWC use to ensure policy compliance.
14. Create a policy on when and under what circumstances BWC footage will be released to the public. And a policy on redaction before release in certain instances (e.g., to avoid disclosure of juveniles’ identity, to protect privacy interests in the home, etc.).

NEAR-TERM:

1. Change the ALPR storage capacity and policy so footage is stored for at least a year, in order to aid detectives in their investigations.
2. Following best practices, create a new policy setting reasonable rules for secondary employment, acquire the appropriate software, and implement a comprehensive tracking and accountability system for all off-duty police work. Assign a member in the rank of Lieutenant or above to supervise all elements of secondary employment to ensure organization-wide accountability.
4. Replace General Order 75-43, "Police Center," with new one that follows the best practices laid out in the IACP policy.
5. Sensitive locations (e.g., scene of a past police-involved shooting, residence of a controversial local figure, etc.) should be added to the CAD Alert File as well as the homes of prominent elected officials and judges and houses of worship. Section VII is entitled Confidential Codes and does not mention how or if these files are ever reviewed or purged. While this segment may enhance officer safety, it also creates a potential liability situation for the department. The County Attorney should review this segment of the policy, and a review and possible purging of the files should be done annually.
6. A policy outlining the proper use of Spike Strips should be created or updated. Strict guidelines for use of these tools should be included with appropriate mandatory supervisory involvement. In addition, the policy should include the requirement of a formal after action report each time Spike Strips are deployed in vehicle stop situations.

LONG-TERM:

1. Form a multi-agency working group to make a critical assessment of continued viability of the self-maintained RMS system, especially considering the outstanding, competitive commercial systems being used by most large police agencies. The study should include a long-term cost-benefit analysis.

2. Evaluate the policy that stipulates that some individuals in the organization receive a department-issued phone while others do not.

3. The applicable rules for law enforcement operational UAS limitations in FAA Part 107 should be listed in General Order 18-17 Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS). An After-Action Report by the UAS pilot should be submitted immediately after each drone deployment. The policy should be reviewed annually with input from the UAS pilots and revised as needed.

4. Change Files Systems—"Intelligence Unit Procedure" 16-5 to include an annual review versus a periodic review.

5. In General Order 17-53 “Search and Seizure,” point #11, “Aerial surveillance” is too broad and should be amended accordingly.
9 RESOURCE ALLOCATION

Resource allocation in policing reflects the needs of the community, which is a cornerstone of SLCPD operations. Adequate allocation allows departments to turn their attention to deployment to meet the character and demands of its residents, which are often as diverse as the community itself. Departments can clarify community needs for additional officers and resources through objective standards.

Effective study of resource allocation requires intimate community expertise, data fluency, and community-specific solutions. This review may prove intensive, but efficient resource allocation improves the SLCPD's ability to maximize both fiscal and human resources.

Teneo Risk analyzed materials relevant to SLCPD staffing levels, Calls for Service (CFS), and overall resource allocation to provide some overarching findings and recommendations. The need to more deeply assess patrol operations in critical, as patrol officers are the most visible representatives of the department, must handle initial calls for service, act as crime-fighters, and handle most social issues. Teneo Risk found existing studies and department plans have determined that current staffing levels are adequate and recommends further action to build on these improvements.

Teneo Risk recommends the completion of a rigorous staffing allocation review as a next phase activity, that considers CFS, call volume, response times, community engagement time, patrol time, administrative time, and other key staffing variables. A new and improved CAD system will be crucial to the successful completion of this analysis.

Teneo Risk has also made a number of personnel recommendations in this report. These recommendations are summarized below. We recognize that these new positions would need to be staffed either through reallocation of personnel from other areas or through adding of new positions.

9.1 Findings

1. The average emergency response time for the eight precincts in 2019 was 5.33 minutes. The 1st and 8th precincts rank as the busiest precincts but had response times of 4.93 minutes and 4.43 minutes, respectively. Calls with response times more than 15 minutes were not included.
2. The average non-emergency response time on average was 8.15 minutes in 2019. Calls that took longer than 20 minutes were not included.
3. A CAD emergency call processing time report found the SLCPD average stands at 81 seconds from the time a call was received by the 9-1-1 call-taker to being dispatched.
4. The Division of Criminal Investigation (DCI) 2019 Review in the SLCPD Strategic Plan recommended one detective be added to each DCI unit.
5. The Division of Patrol 2019 Review in the SLCPD Strategic Plan found precincts are adequately staffed at present, but the study indicated that leadership should consider the deployment of two-officer units and the possibility of additional contracts for new locations. The report further urged consideration of additional staffing for northern precincts to improve officer availability for follow-up investigations and to provide the opportunity for collaboration between officers.

9.2 Recommendations

IMMEDIATE:

1. Conduct a more thorough and comprehensive workload and staffing analysis. Variables to consider for a future review include crime rates, minimum staffing levels, per capita officer-to-population ratios, budget constraints, and an actual workload analysis. Analysis of an officer's actual workload is the most critical variable when it comes to staffing decisions. Three benchmarks could be identified to evaluate staffing allocation and deployment. How many officers are assigned to patrol? What is the workload level of those officers on patrol? How much time is expended handling a Call for Service (CFS)? Looking at these three measures will shed important light on how many officers a community needs and whether they are being deployed efficiently.
2. General staffing guidelines should be based on the "Rule of 60," which features three variables: 60% of the total number of sworn officers in a police department should be assigned to uniformed patrol duty; the average workload for patrol personnel should not exceed 60%, meaning officers on patrol should be "free" 40% of the time to conduct proactive community engagement and follow-up; and the Total Service Time (officer-minutes) should not exceed a factor of 60, i.e., the mean service times for police-initiated CFS and for CFS received from the public via 911 should not exceed 50 minutes.

3. Conduct an extensive analysis of the 10-hour shift model with special attention paid to the overlap periods between shifts. This model has numerous disadvantages, and if not developed and implemented properly and with careful management, the 10-hour shift plan can result in an inefficient and costly work schedule.

4. Priorities for additional staffing should center on providing officers with more time for activities such as community engagement, precision policing activities, and routine patrol. The need for more time for "follow up investigations" by precinct personnel is not sufficient justification to increase patrol staffing. This justification would present difficulties in both internal logistics and external public relations.

Summary of Recommended Staff Positions Identified Throughout the Report
For ease of consolidation and identification, all recommended roles or units noted in this report are summarized below:

1. Identify and select a chief of staff, who will serve as a senior aide to coordinate, prioritize, and communicate the chief’s mission, vision, objectives, and strategic plan going forward; and ensure prompt, effective follow-up where needed.

2. Commit more recruiters to recruiting full-time and conduct more aggressive and creative targeting of minority paths. (e.g., historically African American colleges and universities) and create a "Recruiting for Diversity" plan to target minorities and women.

3. Create an Equal Employment Opportunity investigative process that is separate and apart from traditional internal affairs investigation procedures. This new investigative entity, which could be modest in size (e.g., perhaps one or two investigators), should report directly to the chief and have access to County’s legal and human resources experts.

4. Create a Fugitive Apprehension Unit charged with locating and arresting the most prolific and predatory criminals, including those wanted on active warrants and those immediately arrestable for violent crimes based on investigative findings and probable cause.

5. Assign crime analysis directly to the Two Homicide/Robbery/Sexual Assault squads as part of a pilot program. Determine whether those added resources have an outsized positive impact on these squads' efficiency, as Tunco Risk expects.

6. Officially assign all shootings (fatal and non-fatal) to the Crimes Against Persons Unit. A detective should be assigned to each shooting. Precinct personnel who handle shooting incidents involving identified suspects who are still at large should not be tasked with finding and apprehending them.

7. Significantly increase staffing for SRU and create a fourth SRU team to ensure seven-day-a-week coverage.

8. Develop a comprehensive gang strategy that combines enforcement, investigations, intelligence-gathering, and intervention in a newly formed Gang Unit, whose missions are to disrupt and dismantle street gangs and cultivate young people from gang life.

9. Support and enhance the critical work of the precinct-based Neighborhood Policing Officers (NPOs) by creating a community affairs aide in the rank of lieutenant or captain, reporting directly to the Chief and charged with assisting the Chief on all community-policing initiatives. This aide should be tasked with ensuring consistent messaging, policy, training, implementation and follow up of the Chief's community outreach, problem solving and partnership-building initiatives throughout the department in full coordination with the precinct commanders and their NPOs.

10. Consider the addition of an FTL to the PIO to allow the lead PIO additional time to strategize, plan and serve as communications counsel to the chief.

11. Following best practices, create a new policy setting reasonable rules for secondary employment, acquire the appropriate software, and implement a comprehensive tracking and accountability system for all off-duty police work. Assign a member in the rank of Lieutenant or above to supervise all elements of secondary employment to ensure organization-wide accountability.
Throughout 2020, the SLCPD and wider St. Louis County community have battled the twin crises of COVID-19 and a continued rise in violent crime. The nationwide spike in cases due in part to holiday travel, colder weather, flu season, and the return of children to classrooms has acutely hit St. Louis County, including police officers. Meanwhile, violent crime has continued to rise in St. Louis County despite well-intentioned anti-crime initiatives. Children remain notably and disturbingly vulnerable to shootings, carjackings, and other violent crime in the County.

During the month of November, the St. Louis County health system neared a breaking point, while department-wide outbreaks hindered policing. County Executive Sam Page in late November sounded the alarm that sky-high positivity and hospitalization rates were pushing the community into "crisis mode," which might soon require National Guard intervention to boost ICU capacity. Police officers have encountered unique risks, weathering repeated outbreaks culminating in roughly 100 officer infections since the pandemic began, according to data from early December. The department has been forced to adapt as certain divisions have been hobbled by outbreaks. The Central Patrol Division, for instance, reportedly saw 10% of its manpower depleted during a period in November due to positive tests. The sudden and unpredictable nature of outbreaks in the SLCPD has been a microcosm of the wider community, which has similarly faced swings in cases and virus spread. Officials have expressed optimism at recent vaccine development, but widespread vaccinations will likely not occur until well into 2021.

While police officers and other first responders are designated as priority groups in the state's vaccine distribution plan, they are still behind healthcare workers in the state's plan. Experts forecast that the pandemic will worsen through the New Year, presenting obstacles to officers as they battle the persistent crisis of violent crime.

In addition, internal controversies and lawsuits have complicated crime prevention and building community. African American officers have filed suits claiming retaliation for voicing racial discrimination concerns, while others have alleged the use of racial slurs in officer training. Lawsuits and internal racial controversies are not new challenges. However, the County has reached a critical moment in its hiring and promotion policy reviews which have been inhibited by lawsuits and race-related controversies. Addressing these disputes from the top down will not only improve hiring and promotion of officers, but bolster community relations in the context of the pandemic and a violent crime surge.

The current law enforcement landscape in St. Louis County is being negatively affected by internal and external crises, particularly the continued racial divide, the COVID-19 pandemic and violent crime. Improvements to procedures, tactics, and technologies will help the department build on its progress in an exceedingly difficult operating environment.
Teneo Risk is pleased to provide these preliminary findings and recommendations which outline a prioritized list of immediate tactics the department could begin to put in place to enhance SLCPD's crime-fighting abilities and to prioritize equity and inclusion in the department.

The chief's window of opportunity to make these meaningful changes is still open, and by implementing the recommendations outlined in this report, the department can make some visible and important strides in both the crime fight and equity and inclusion.

During our assessment, the team has been impressed by the dedication, professionalism, and pride which many members of the department have exhibited. While Teneo Risk has not had the opportunity to conduct community surveys or spend time with community influencers, people within the organization concede that there is currently no comprehensive plan and long-term strategy for community engagement and community policing. Teneo Risk would suggest a professional police satisfaction survey be considered for the community, and an internal employee satisfaction survey be conducted internally. Both will serve as baselines as the department moves forward.

When Teneo Risk began this engagement with the SLCPD, the chief expressed her support and cooperation in our efforts to foster greater collaboration, morale and cohesion within the department. Our team has been impressed by the dedication, professionalism, and pride that members of the department have exhibited, particularly in the face of the current climate's physical and psychological burdens, as well as the resource and crime challenges unique to the department and the County.
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR THE SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021;

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The budget for the Special Road and Bridge Fund for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Special Road and Bridge Fund the sum of $54,839,330 to be placed to the credit of the Department of Transportation and Public Works as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The budget for the Health Fund for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Health Fund the sum of $74,028,532 to be placed to the credit of the Department of Public Health as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE BUDGET FOR THE PARK MAINTENANCE FUND FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021;

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The budget for the Park Maintenance Fund for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Park Maintenance Fund the sum of $30,922,120 to be placed to the credit of the Department of Parks and Recreation as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the St. Louis County and Municipal Police Academy Fund the sum of $717,997 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Assessment Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Assessment Fund the sum of $15,799,779 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADMITTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Sewer Lateral Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Sewer Lateral Fund the sum of $3,778,869 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020  LISA CLANCY  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020  SAM PAGE  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK  COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Lambert East Perimeter TIF Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Lambert East Perimeter TIF Fund the sum of $3,914,348 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Record Preservation Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Record Preservation Fund the sum of $1,152,481 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020 LISA CLANCY CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020 SAM PAGE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Police Air Support Program Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Police Air Support Program Fund the sum of $722,992 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
BILL NO. 284, 2020
ORDINANCE NO. 27,943, 2020

Introduced by Councilmember Clancy

AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Emergency Communications Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Emergency Communications Fund the amount of $18,443,077 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Sheriff Revolving Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the County Sheriff Revolving Fund the amount of $215,013 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020  LISA CLANCY  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020  SAM PAGE  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:  DIANN L. VALENTI  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK  COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Northpointe Forest Subdivision NID Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Northpointe Forest Subdivision NID Fund the sum of $33,660 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020          LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020           SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:   DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

        BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Residential Energy Loan Program Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Residential Energy Loan Program Fund the sum of $195,648 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Children’s Service Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Children’s Service Fund the amount of $55,538,646 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE GLENCULLEN SUBDIVISION NID FUND BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021;

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Glencullen Subdivision NID Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Glencullen Subdivision NID Fund the sum of $42,711 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Cable TV-Government Access Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Cable TV-Government Access Fund the sum of $15,000 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Convention and Recreation Trust Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Convention and Recreation Trust Fund the sum of $25,637,513 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Water Service Line Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Water Service Line Fund the sum of $5,243,725 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020 LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020 SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Solid Waste Management Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Solid Waste Management Fund the sum of $2,426,250 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Debt Service Fund Budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Debt Service Fund the sum of $7,422,983 for the payment of interest, fees and principal for maturity retirement of the Public Improvement Bonds of St. Louis County for the fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Spirit of St. Louis Airport Enterprise Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Spirit of St. Louis Airport Enterprise Fund the sum of $17,442,006 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020  LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020  SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The St. Louis County Transportation Trust Fund budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the Transportation Trust Fund the amount of $42,589,550 to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020  LISA CLANCY  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020  SAM PAGE  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK  COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE PUBLIC MASS
TRANSIT FUND BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021;
APPROPRIATING AND SETTING APART THE SUM OF
$130,617,300 FROM THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE
OF THE PUBLIC MASS TRANSIT FUND TO BE
CREDITED TO THE ACCOUNTS AS PROVIDED IN THE
BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY,
MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Public Mass Transit Fund budget for fiscal
year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County
Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out
of the unappropriated balance of the Public Mass Transit Fund
the sum of $130,617,300 to be placed to the credit of the
accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Highway Capital Construction Program budget for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. The sum of $7,814,500 is hereby appropriated and set apart from the unappropriated balance of the Transportation Highway Fund, to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.

SECTION 3. The sum of $17,996,121 is hereby appropriated and set apart from the unappropriated balance of the Federal Aid Urban Trust Fund to be placed to the credit of the accounts as provided in the budget for fiscal year 2021.
ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

ESTABLISHING TAX RATES TO BE LEVIED ON ALL REAL AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL PROPERTY IN ST. LOUIS COUNTY AND ON ALL OTHER TAXABLE PROPERTY IN ST. LOUIS COUNTY FOR THE GENERAL FUND, SPECIAL ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND, HEALTH FUND, PARK MAINTENANCE FUND AND THE DEBT SERVICE FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 2021; THE TAX RATES HEREBY ESTABLISHED SHALL BE PERMANENT AND SHALL CONTINUE IN EFFECT UNTIL SUPERSEDED BY A TAX LEVY ORDINANCE PASSED AT SUCH TIME AS PROVIDED BY LAW, OR REDUCED AS NECESSARY TO COMPLY WITH STATE LAW.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. There are hereby established the maximum tax rates to be levied on all real and tangible personal property in St. Louis County and on all other taxable property in St. Louis County for the General Fund, Special Road and Bridge Fund, Health Fund, Park Maintenance Fund and the Debt Service Fund for the fiscal year 2021 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNDS</th>
<th>CENTS ON EACH $100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Revenue Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Real Estate</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Real Estate</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Real Estate</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Property</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Road &amp; Bridge Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Real Estate</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Real Estate</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Real Estate</td>
<td>0.093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Property</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Real Estate</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 2. The County formally reverts to the tax rate ceilings based on the prior year ceilings pursuant to Section 137.073 R.S.Mo. This shall apply to all tax levies included in Section 1. The County Council declares the policy of the County to revert to the prior year ceilings in order to preserve the County’s ability to access additional operating funds.

SECTION 3. The tax rates hereby established shall continue in effect until superseded by a tax levy ordinance passed at such time as provided by law, or reduced as necessary to comply with state law.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020
LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020
SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
BILL NO. _______300_____, 2020
ORDINANCE NO. _______27,959_____, 2020

Introduced by Councilmember _______Clancy______

AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 27,894 BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 3 PERTAINING TO AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH VERTIBA, LLC D/B/A PUBLICIS SAPIENT FOR SOFTWARE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPREHENSIVE GRANTS MANAGEMENT AND DATA COLLECTION SYSTEMS FOR THE ST LOUIS COUNTY CHILDREN’S SERVICE FUND.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 27,894 is hereby amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 3 as follows:

SECTION 3. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Vertiba, LLC [Publicis Sapient AI Labs, Inc.] d/b/a Publicis Sapient (“Publicis”).

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020  LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020  SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
BILL NO. 301, 2020
ORDINANCE NO. 27,960, 2020

Introduced by Councilmember Clancy

AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH GLOBAL VISION TECHNOLOGIES, INC. FOR THE PROVISION OF CASE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE AND OTHER RELATED SERVICES TO SUPPORT VARIOUS PROGRAMS AND OPERATIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Global Vision Technologies, Inc. (“GVT”).

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for GVT to provide case management software and other related services for support of various Department of Human Services (“DHS”) Office of Family and Child Services programs, specifically the County Youth Program, the County Older Residents Program, and the County Veterans Program; for an initial term of one year, with the option to renew for up to four additional one-year terms; for payment to GVT in an amount not-to-exceed $33,735 for the initial term and for each subsequent renewal term; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FOR LEASE OF TOWER SPACE ON COUNTY PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4045 SEVEN HILLS DRIVE; AND REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 27,425.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”).

SECTION 2. The contract authorized by Section 1 shall provide for lease by FBI of tower space located on County property at 4045 Seven Hills Drive; that the FBI shall be responsible for all installation, maintenance and utility requirements; for an initial term of one year, with the option to renew for up to nine additional one-year terms; for payment by the FBI to County in the amount of $500 per term; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

SECTION 3. Ordinance No. 27,425 is hereby repealed.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS, FOR LEASE OF ANTENNA SPACE ON THE TOWER LOCATED AT 14847 LADUE BLUFFS CROSSING DRIVE; AND REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 27,413.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Verizon").

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for Verizon to lease from St. Louis County antenna space on the tower located at 14847 Ladue Bluffs Crossing Drive; for Verizon to be responsible for installation, maintenance and utility requirements; for an initial term of five years, followed by one automatic five-year renewal term; for the option for up to three additional five-year renewal terms upon mutual agreement following the automatic renewal term; for the rent payment by Verizon to be $30,000 annually for each year of the initial five-year term, with the annual payment then increasing by 20% for each subsequent five-year renewal term; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

SECTION 3. Ordinance No. 27,413 is hereby repealed.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020 LISA CLANCY CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020 SAM PAGE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
BILL NO. 305, 2020

ORDINANCE NO. 27,963, 2020

Introduced by Councilmember Clancy

AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CRESA ST. LOUIS, INC. FOR THE PREPARATION OF A REAL ESTATE MASTER FACILITY PLAN FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Cresa St. Louis, Inc. ("Cresa").

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for Cresa to prepare a Real Estate Master Facility Plan for the Department of Transportation and Public Works which will address aging and outdated facilities, review the utilization of facilities, and assess changing operations models and maintenance plans; for a contract term of one year; for payment to Cresa in an amount not-to-exceed $300,000; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

SECTION 3. The Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works is authorized to execute change orders and other documents necessary to effectuate the intent of this ordinance and is further authorized to revise the schedule of work activities from time-to-time as may be deemed necessary and prudent.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020   LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: December 18, 2020   SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH THE REJIS COMMISSION FOR THE PROVISION OF CASE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE AND RELATED SUPPORT SERVICES FOR THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSELOR RELATED TO THE ST. LOUIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL COURT’S IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SHOW ME COURTS CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with the REJIS Commission ("REJIS").

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for REJIS to provide IT software services and technical support to the Office of the County Counselor related to the implementation and use of the Show Me Courts case management system; for an initial term of two years with the option to renew for one subsequent two-year term upon the same terms and conditions; for payment to REJIS in an amount not-to-exceed $48,564 for the first year of the first two-year term, and annually thereafter in an estimated amount not-to-exceed $36,684; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

LISA CLANCY CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SAM PAGE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

DIANN L. VALENTI ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

BETH ORWICK COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 26,911 BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 1 PERTAINING TO A LEASE WITH CLARKSON-WILSON INVESTORS, L.L.C. FOR SPACE AT 70-82 CLARKSON-WILSON CENTRE FOR USE AS A WEST COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Ordinance No. 26,911 is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 1 as follows:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a lease with Clarkson-Wilson Investors, L.L.C. for lease of 9,855 sq. ft. of space at 70-82 Clarkson Centre for use by various departments for the West County Government Center. The lease shall provide for a term of three years beginning January 1, 2018; for the option to renew for an additional one-year term to run through December 31, 2021 upon the same terms and conditions; for base rent in an amount not to exceed $91,158.75 per year ($7,596.56/month), with total compensation not to exceed $180,000.00 for the base rent, insurance, real estate taxes and common area maintenance; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: December 15, 2020

SIGNED: December 18, 2020

ATTEST: DIANN L. VALENTI
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR
To: Peter Krane, County Counselor  
From: Council Member Rochelle Walton Gray  
Date: August 17, 2018  
Re: Bill — Amending Boards and Commissions Code

I am requesting that your office prepare the above referenced legislation to be introduced by Councilwoman Rochelle Walton Gray. We will provide the bill language, at a later date.

I wish to introduce this bill at the meeting on August 28, 2018.

Thanking you, in advance, for your consideration.

cc: Genevieve Frank, Administration Director
MEMORANDUM

To: Mike Shuman, Associate County Counselor
From: Councilmember Lisa Clancy, 5th District
Date: October 11, 2019
Re: Request for legislation

Please draft legislation banning the use of self-deleting applications by County officials and employees conducting public business. My office will communicate further details.

CC: Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Acting Administrative Director
May 1, 2020

(via electronic mail – gmfrank@stlouisco.com)

Genevieve M. Frank, Esq.
Assistant St. Louis County Counselor
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Re: Request for legislation

Dear Ms. Frank:

I am writing to request that your office prepare legislation to authorize the placement of a proposed amendment to the St. Louis County Charter on the election ballot of November 2020. Specifically, the requested legislation should propose the amendment of Article II by creating and adding a new Section 2.300 titled “Council Attorney”. Once passed by the Council, the Ordinance should authorize the placement on the aforementioned ballot a proposal that the Charter be amended to create and provide for the Office of Council Attorney. The proposed amendment should include provision for one (1) Assistant Council Attorney position, and one (1) administrative/clerical staff member.

If any of the foregoing is unclear please advise immediately.

Sincerely,

Ernie Trakas
Ernest G. Trakas

cc: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrator St. Louis County Council (dvalenti@stlouisco.com)
Beth Orwick, Esq., St. Louis County Counselor (borwick@stlouisco.com)
Memorandum

To: Beth Orwick, St. Louis County Counselor  
From: Rita Days, First District Councilwoman  
Date: May 15, 2020  
Subject: Request for legislation

Provided the focus on the impact of covid, and to ensure that policies are in place to assist the most vulnerable please have your prepare an update to the ordinance 27,043. Additional guidance will be provided for the meeting on May 19, 2020.

Cordially,

Rita Heard Days

Rita Heard Days, 1st District Councilwoman  
Cc: Diann Valenti Administrative Director
MEMORANDUM

To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor

From: Chairwoman Lisa Clancy, Councilwoman, 5th District

Date: October 1, 2020

RE: Drafting Legislation for County Auditor Qualifications

Please draft legislation which will add to the required experience of the St. Louis County Auditor position. My office will communicate further details. Councilmembers Harder and Dunaway will co-sponsor the legislation.

Sincerely,

Lisa Clancy
Chairwoman and Councilwoman, District 5
St. Louis County Council

CC: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director; Council members and assistants; Chris Grahn-Howard, Budget and Policy Coordinator; Mark Tucker, Auditor
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
41 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

Sam Page
County Executive

November 20, 2020

Honorable Roselle Walton Gray, Vice-Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative
action to authorize a contract with ShotSpotter, Inc. ("ShotSpotter") for implementation of the
ShotSpotter program in the Glasgow Village area of St. Louis County in the 4th Council District.
The term of the proposed contract is for two years to commence on January 1, 2021 and continue
through December 31, 2022. The cost of the proposed contract is for an amount not to exceed
$198,900 which will be paid by the St. Louis Police Foundation on behalf of the Police
Department. I also respectfully request that the Council authorize acceptance and receipt of these
funds, and execution of documents necessary to implement this program.

The ShotSpotter program is an advanced gunshot-detection system which provides
precision policing solutions to help save lives, deter crime, and make communities safer. Similar
contracts with ShotSpotter, Inc. were authorized by Ordinance No. 28,668 (2017) and Ordinance
No. 27,315 (2019) which authorized the implementation of the ShotSpotter program in the Castle
Point and Spanish Lake neighborhoods, respectively. Ordinance No. 28,668 (2017) was later
amended by Ordinance No. 27,324 (2019) to modify the coverage boundary by relocating 0.25
square miles of coverage to an area contiguous with the southeastern border of the original
coverage boundary.

Mary T. Barton, Chief of Police, states that the ShotSpotter program has been successful
by alerting police officers to gunfire and instances of gun-related violence in the communities
covered by the program. The expansion of the program through this proposed contract will
increase community and officer safety and enhance emergency response services by expanding
the coverage boundary to include an area where higher numbers of gun-related instances have
occurred. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kem
Attachment
cc: Rita Reid Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Finch, Lisa Clarey, Ernie Tinkas, Mark Harder
The Honorable Sam Page
County Executive
St. Louis County Government Center
41 S. Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Dear Mr. Page:

Your approval and assistance are requested to introduce an ordinance authorizing the contracts necessary to expand the ShotSpotter gunshot detection system. The purpose of the agreements is to expand the ShotSpotter program into the Glasgow Village area of St. Louis County. The ShotSpotter system that has demonstrated success in alerting officers to gunfire in the community in real-time within the two other coverage areas in St. Louis County. Expanding the system will provide more accurate and timely responses to gunfire.

The contracts' term is two years, to be dated January 1, 2021, through December 31, 2022. Funds to be paid to ShotSpotter are not to exceed $318,000.00.

Your assistance in requesting this legislation is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Colonel Mary T. Barton
Chief of Police

"Committed to Our Citizens Through Neighborhood Policing"
November 20, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to amend Chapter 107 SLCRO, as amended, "Purchasing Code," by adding there to new sections to establish the County’s Prevailing Wage Compliance Program, clarify the position and responsibilities of the Prevailing Wage Enforcement Coordinator, and establish the appropriate rules, regulations, and penalties pertaining to compliance with the program. In addition to formally codifying the program, the amendments are further intended to reaffirm the County’s long-standing policy that no less than the hourly Prevailing Wage shall be paid to workers performing construction of public works on behalf of the County. The proposed amendments are additionally aligned with the applicable terms of the Missouri Prevailing Wage Law (Sections 290.210-290.340 and 290.550-290.580 RSMo) and Missouri Prevailing Wage Regulations (8 CSR 30-3.010 - 30-3.060 and 8 CSR 30-5.010 - 30-5.030), as well as relevant St. Louis County Ordinances 107.520 SLCRO, 1112.670 SLCRO and 107.120 SLCRO.

On September 28, 2019, I requested legislation to require that, in the case of any economic development project which is incentivized by the guarantee of tax or other benefits conferred by St. Louis County, particularly to the project, including but not limited to tax increment financing (TIF) projects and Chapter 100 RSMo, tax abatement projects, the developer shall ensure that the contractors and subcontractors performing labor for the project shall pay no less than prevailing wages, as defined in Section 290.310(7) RSMo, to any laborers or workers who perform labor on such project. The requested legislation was subsequently introduced on October 1, 2019 and was sponsored by six of the Council’s seven members. The bill, Substitute Bill No. 1 for Bill No. 281, 2019, was finally passed on October 15, 2019, and became Ordinance No. 27,575 (2019).

On October 24, 2019, I signed Executive Order No. 8 which established the position of Prevailing Wage Enforcement Coordinator (“PWEC”) to assist in enforcing the County’s prevailing wage requirements established by the above ordinances. To ensure that the PWEC is able to effectively assist those seeking to do business with the County, on August 21, 2020, I requested that the PWEC be added to the list of County employees in Ordinance No. 27,561 (2019) who are authorized to communicate with vendors or vendors’ representatives during the Code of Silence period. The legislation was eventually introduced as Bill No. 228, 2020 and unanimously passed by the Council on October 19, 2020 to become Ordinance No. 27,889 (2020).

The amendments proposed here serve the purpose of formally codifying the Prevailing Wage program in St. Louis County, including formal codification of the PWEC position and the PWEC’s duties and responsibilities. The proposed Sections 107.550 and 107.580 describe the PWEC’s functions which include:

...
- collecting and reviewing payroll records and documents;
- interviewing workers and contractors;
- investigating complaints of violations of Missouri Prevailing Wage Law and provisions of Chapter 107, SLCRO, and contract language;
- notifying the Director of Purchasing and/or the Director of Transportation and Public Works of noncompliant contractors;
- assisting contractors, workers, and public entities and agencies in resolving questions, requests for assistance, complaints, and violations;
- determining wages owed to workers; assessing penalties due, and collecting wages and penalties;
- providing the Director of Administration with recommendations for debarment including documentation and assistance during the process;
- maintaining a list of contractors found in violation of Section 107.650.

The amendments further require compliance with the County’s prevailing wage program by contractors and describe the information required to be provided to the PWEC to ensure compliance, including the number of its employees and the work being completed by those individuals or entities on a project. The Director of Purchasing and the Director of Transportation and Public Works will also provide the PWEC a list of the three lowest responsible bidders which will allow the PWEC to determine whether the individual or entity is a contractor or subcontractor and the contractor’s or subcontractor’s eligibility pursuant to Chapter 107. The PWEC will coordinate with the Director of Procurement to ensure appropriate communication between the County and those bidding on County projects.

This action will further ensure that Prevailing Wage laws and regulations, annual wage orders, and wage increases are incorporated into all public works construction contracts executed by the County, and require compliance with the State and Federal Prevailing Wage Laws with an exception for contracts or projects less than $75,000.00, registered apprentices, and Federally-financed projects. These provisions are aligned with Prevailing Wage laws enacted in the State of Missouri and incorporate Prevailing Wage language from the University of Missouri including a requirement that registered apprentices be enrolled in a federally accredited program.

A draft of the proposed amendments has been developed by subject matter experts in the Department of Administration and the Division of Procurement, in conjunction with the Prevailing Wage Enforcement Coordinator. A summary of the proposed new language is attached hereto for your consideration.

In the interest of effectuating the Prevailing Wage Compliance program and ensuring its proper administration for County projects, I respectfully request that the Council take this requested action. Doing so will ensure that workers on County projects are highly skilled, highly qualified and, most importantly, will ensure that those workers are compensated with fair and just wages for their work. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/com
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trukas, Mark Harder
Section 107.500 and Section 107.510 provide the Prevailing Wage Compliance Program ordinance title, "Prevailing Wage Compliance Program of St. Louis County, Missouri", in alignment with existing MWBE sections in the Procurement chapter.

Section 107.520 reaffirms St. Louis County’s long-standing prevailing wage policy that no less than the hourly prevailing wage must be paid to workers on public works construction projects.

Section 107.530 provides that this ordinance does not require a contractor to hire unqualified or incompetent personnel or to discharge qualified or competent personnel.

Section 107.540 provides for definitions and incorporates terms defined in Missouri's Prevailing Wage Law, Sections 290.210-290.340 and 290.550-290.580, RSMo, federal law including Prevailing Wage Regulations & CSR 30-3.010 through & CSR 30-3.050 and & CSR 30-5.010 through & CSR 30-5.030, and applicable St. Louis County ordinances including but not limited to 107.320 SLCRO, 112.070 SLCRO and 107.130 SLCRO.

Section 107.550 describes the Prevailing Wage Enforcement Coordinator (PWEC)'s functions which include: collecting and reviewing payroll records and documents; interviewing workers and contractors; investigating complaints of violations of the Missouri Prevailing Wage Law, Chapter 167, and contract language; notifying the Director of Purchasing and/or Director of Transportation and Public Works of noncompliant contractors; assisting contractors, workers, and public entities and agencies in resolving questions, requests for assistance, complaints, and violations; determining wages owed workers, assessing penalties due, and collecting wages and penalties, providing the Director of Purchasing with recommendations for debarment including documentation and assistance during the process; maintaining a list contractors found in violation of Chapter 107.550; filing a corresponding complaint with the Missouri Department of Labor and Industrial Relations.

Section 107.560 provides for compliance by contractors and describes the information required to be provided to the PWEC to ensure prevailing wage compliance which includes the number of its employees, and the work being completed by those individuals or entities on a project.

Section 107.570 provides for the internal review of bidders by requiring the Director of Purchasing and the Director of Transportation and Public Works, to provide the PWEC a list of the three lowest responsive bidders. The PWEC will find whether the individual or entity is a contractor or subcontractor, determine the contractor’s eligibility pursuant to Chapter 107, and notify the Director of Purchasing who will communicate with the responsive bidders.

Section 107.580 describes the PWEC’s responsibilities which include: acting to ensure prevailing wage compliance by bidders and contractors; to actively assist bidders and contractors to achieve or maintain compliance; recommend to the County Council any revisions to Chapter 107; design documents, report forms, manuals, and other papers needed to perform the PWEC
functions; disseminate and accumulate information relevant for enforcement; verify information from bidders and contractors with onsite visits and request relevant employment and other related data; submit to the County Council by March 15 of each year an annual report of the progress of the County in implementing the prevailing wage policy; and to monitor construction companies awarded County Contracts to ensure compliance with the State of Missouri's Prevailing Wage Law.

Section 107.600 provides for compliance with the State and Federal Prevailing Wage Laws with an exception for contracts or projects less than $75,000.00 and registered apprentices. This section ensures alignment with the State's Prevailing Wage laws and incorporates the University of Missouri language that requires apprentices to be enrolled in a federally accredited registered program. This section provides for an exception for federally financed and assisted construction projects.

Section 107.605 requires that Prevailing Wage law, regulations, annual wage order, and wage increases to be incorporated into all public works construction contracts executed by the County.

Section 107.615 requires displaying the contractor's name, logo, and contractor's city and state on motor vehicles, for any contract that exceeds $250,000, with an exemption for motor vehicles required to have information affixed on them pursuant to state or federal requirements.

Section 107.620 requires accurate records by providing a contractor correct any errors within fifteen business days after receipt of notice from the County.

Section 107.625 requires that a contractor cooperate with local, State and Federal officials and ensures workers are allowed to be interviewed by officials during working hours on any project.

Section 107.630 provides for a statutory penalty of one hundred dollars for each worker employed, for each calendar day that a worker is paid less than the prevailing wage for any work done under a County Contract.

Section 107.635 provides for restitution to workers.

Section 107.640 provides for whistleblower protection for workers.

Section 107.645 requires certified payroll forms and that these payrolls be open to inspection by local, State and Federal officials within three business days. This section also requires that wages be posted, that records be made available, and the PWEC have access to the public and private work construction sites, and relevant offsite private offices and locations to ensure compliance. The section also requires an affidavit of compliance to be filed upon completion of the contract and prior to final payment by the County.

Section 107.650 provides for penalties in addition to those penalties provided for in State law for violation of the prevailing wage law (Missouri statutes and Federal regulations, sections 290.210-290.340 and 290.550-290.580, RSMo, and 8 CSR 30-3.010 through 8 CSR 30-3.050 and 8 CSR 30-5.010 through 8 CSR 30-5.030). The first offense is one hundred dollars per day.
per worker paid less than the prevailing wage or for each violation of any other section of sections 290.210-340 or 290.550-580 RSMo. For the second offense is one hundred fifty dollars per day per worker paid less than the prevailing wage or for each violation of any other section of sections 290.210-340 or 290.550-580 RSMo, referral for debarment under Section 107.660, and referral to the County Prosecuting Attorney for possible filing of criminal charges. For the third and subsequent offenses, two hundred dollars per day per worker paid less than the prevailing wage or for each violation of any other section of sections 290.210-340 or 290.550-580, RSMo, referral for debarment under Section 107.660, and referral to the County Prosecuting Attorney for possible filing of criminal charges. The section requires notice to the contractor and that the contractor will have thirty business days to dispute the notice to the Director of Purchasing.

Section 107.655 addresses willful violations of the law and provides for a five hundred dollar fine. Each day a willful violation or willful omission continues is a separate offense.

Section 107.660 provides a debarment process. The process aligns with existing MWBE sections in the Procurement chapter and further develops the County's debarment process, specific to prevailing wage.

Section 107.665 describes the procedure for debarment, initiated by the Director of Administration, including providing notice, a review hearing by the Director of Administration and provides that a contractor may appeal any decision of the Director of Administration.

Section 107.670 provides that payment will be provided to a contractor unless the PWEC determines prevailing wage non-compliance. Determination includes review of contract, records provided by 107.645, and if applicable, any signage required by 107.615.

Section 107.680 provides for a contractor's right of appeal to the Circuit Court of St. Louis County and describes the appeal procedure.
December 7, 2020

The Honorable County Council
County Government Center
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Re: Communication dated November 20, 2020 from Jennifer Lunsford representing "That's Sip" and Douglas Draper, representing Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC requesting amendments to C-8 Ordinance 21,919

Petition: P.C. 36-04 Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC (Tori Pines Commons)
Zoning: C-8 Planned Commercial District
Location: East side of Telegraph Road, approximately 150 feet north of Erb Road
Council District: Sixth

Council Members:

This is in response to the above referenced communications from Jennifer Lunsford and Douglas Draper, requesting amendments to C-8 Ordinance 21,919. Specifically, Ms. Lunsford is requesting amendments to allow a small coffee shop in the eastern building of this C-8 District development. In response, the Planning Commission has reviewed the files relative to this request and by a vote of 6 to 0, at their Executive Meeting of December 7, 2020, submits the following report recommending approval of the request.

For purposes of review, P.C. 36-04 Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC was a request for an Amended C-8 Planned Commercial District for a 2.89 acre tract of land located on the east side of Telegraph Road approximately 150 feet north of Erb Road. The request was to allow the development of two one-story office/retail buildings for a total of 25,803 square feet. C-8 District zoning had previously been established at this location via P.C. 69-02 R. J. DeRouin Homes, Inc. Although that request had been modified from what was originally requested, 2 two-story 18,000 square feet office/retail buildings, for a total of 36,000 square feet, were authorized on the subject site. As part of their review of P.C. 36-04 Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC, the Commission noted that the request was within an area designated by the Oakville Study Update as appropriate for neighborhood commercial uses. In their recommendation of approval, the Commission included conditions of development requiring a 30 foot landscape buffer and sight-proof fence on the eastern property line where this property abutted the Monastery of St. Clare. Also included in the conditions of development was a requirement limiting restaurants to those less than 1,500 square feet in size, and prohibiting restaurants in the easternmost building.
The County Council concurred, adopting C-8 Ordinance 21,919 on July 7, 2004. A Site Development Plan was approved, and the buildings were developed, including the landscape buffer and fencing.

The current request is to allow a 960 square foot coffee shop in the easternmost building. "That's Sip" will serve coffee, and other (non-alcoholic) beverages. The shop will have two employees, and no drive through or outdoor seating is proposed. Proposed hours of operation are 6 a.m. to 2 p.m. The Commission would note that the prohibition on restaurants over 1,500 square feet was due to the limited amount of parking. As the parking calculations for retail uses have been modified from 5.5 spaces per 1,000 square feet required in 2004 to the current requirement of 4 spaces per 1,000 square feet, the parking issue is no longer critical. The requirement restricting the location of small restaurants to the southern building likely arose out of the concern that restaurants might generate sound and light that would impact the adjacent Monastery of St. Clare.

Upon review, the Planning Commission is of the opinion that allowing a small restaurant in the easternmost building is reasonable. The landscape buffer and sight-proof fence will remain. No activity will be introduced to the east of the building by approval of this restaurant. Parking is adequate to accommodate this use. The Commission would note that the governing C-8 Ordinance limits hours of operation from 7 A.M. to 10 P.M. Sunday through Thursday, and 7 A.M. to 11 P.M. Friday and Saturday. The Commission is of the opinion allowing the coffee shop to open at 6 A.M. is reasonable. The Commission has also included a clarification that prohibits drive-through service or pick-up windows within the center, noting that the development was not designed to accommodate such uses.

Therefore, the Planning Commission recommends that C-8 Ordinance 21,919 be amended as follows:

1. PERMITTED USES

The uses permitted in this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be all C-2 District uses, except no advertising signs or telecommunication towers shall be permitted. No restaurants of more than 1,500 square feet shall be permitted. No drive-through service or pick-up windows shall be permitted. [No restaurants shall be permitted in the eastern building.]

4. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN DESIGN CRITERIA

Miscellaneous Conditions
aa. Hours of operation shall be from 6:00 [7] a.m. to 10 p.m., Sunday through Thursday, and from 6:00 [7] a.m. until 11:00 p.m. Friday and Saturday.

All other conditions shall remain the same.

Respectfully submitted,

ST. LOUIS COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Wayne Hilzinger, Chairman

ATTEST:

Gail Choate | Acting Director of Planning

Cc: Jennifer Lunsford
Douglas Draper
Ordinance Amendment Request

11.20.2020

Jennifer Lunsford
That's Sip
6060 Telegraph Road Suite B
St. Louis, MO 63129

Planning Commission:

I would like to request an amendment for the zoning ordinance at 6060 Telegraph Rd Suite B. I am looking to open a coffee shop. This coffee shop will serve the residents of Oakville during their commute to work. As a current essential employee I have found mornings are challenging in this environment and at times the highlight of my morning is the treat of a great coffee drink. I do understand the current environment issues I am looking to open this business in. Online ordering and curbside pick up has been integrated into our business plan.

- We will have a staff of two employees, limited indoor seating (once indoor service is allowed), and no outdoor seating.
- Menu items would include hot and iced drip coffee, cold brew, and espresso options. In addition to coffee we will offer italian soda and frozen shakes.
- Our hours of operation would be Monday through Saturday 6 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Please let me know if there is anything additional I can provide to help this process.
November 20, 2020

St. Louis County Department of Planning
41 S. Central
St. Louis, MO 63105

Re: That's Sip Buildout, Permit no. 20BLD-04074

Dear Planning Commission,

I authorize my Tenant, Jennifer and Blake Lunsford/ "That's Sip" to seek an ordinance amendment to the governing C-8 ordinance, regarding restaurants.

This is in reference to the lease they have entered into at my property located at 6060 Telegraph Rd., in the Tori Pines Commons, St. Louis, MO 63129

Thank you kindly,

Douglas Draper
Telegraph Property Holdings, LLC
December 11, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair

and Members of the St. Louis County Council

Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract with Central Bank of St. Louis ("Central Bank") for the provision of purchase card and accounts payable card services. The term of the proposed contract is for two years to commence on March 1, 2021, or as soon thereafter as all documents are executed, and continue through February 28, 2023. The proposed contract further provides the option to renew for two additional, two-year renewals upon mutual agreement. There is no cost to the County associated with this contract; rather, the contract presents potential yield of annual revenue rebate worth approximately $113,000.

This contract is being recommended as the result of a Request for Proposals ("RFP") issued in accordance with Chapter 107 SLCRO which generated seven responses. An evaluation committee comprised of three subject matter experts from the Division of Fiscal Management and one non-voting staff member from the division of Procurement, convened to evaluate the proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP including qualification of the proposer, proposer's credit worthiness, capacity to provide the required scope of services, M/WBE certification, and expertise and experience. Based on the above criteria, the committee determined that Central Bank could successfully provide the requested scope of services. A letter from the Acting Director of Procurement certifying that the RFP process was properly administered is attached hereto.

In addition to meeting the above requirements, Tod Martin, Director of Administration, states that the proposal from Central Bank presents unique advantages as Central Bank has provided services to the County for the past five years, including services that support the Accounts Payable team in Fiscal Management. Mr. Martin states that the Fiscal Management division ranks Central Bank's performance as outstanding and that there have been no reported issues with Central Bank. The selection of Central Bank further ensures no disruption in service and will require no additional costs or resources for transition or implementation as County systems are already integrated with Central Bank.

If the Council determines to approve the proposed contract, I further request that the Council approve the acceptance of rebate monies from Central Bank to the General Fund (1010). Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 8, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Request for legislation authorizing the County to enter into a contract with Central Bank for providing Purchasing Card and Accounts Payable Card services (RFP 2020-55-PR)

Dear County Executive Page:

I respectfully request your assistance in obtaining the necessary legislation from the County Council to authorize a contract with Central Bank to provide Purchasing Card and Accounts Payable Card (PCAPC) services. These services are critical to allow the County to function effectively. The PCAPC services allow the County to take advantage of the existing credit card infrastructure to make electronic payments for a variety of County business expenses.

A Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued in October 2020 which generated seven responses. An evaluation committee was formed to review and score the proposals, consisting of three staff from Fiscal Management. A staff member from Procurement participated as a non-voting member to ensure the proper processes were followed. The team members independently scored the candidates based on qualifications of financial benefit to the County, credit worthiness, services provided, M/WBE certification, and expertise and experience. The winning proposal came from Central Bank.

The evaluation committee selected Central Bank for several reasons. First, Central Bank proposed the highest rebate to the County. The annual revenue rebate from Central Bank will total approximately $113,000 annually. Second, Central Bank has served the County in this capacity for five years and the Accounts Payable team in Fiscal Management ranks Central Bank’s services as outstanding, with no issues. Finally, a contract awarded to Central Bank will require no transition or implementation resources as County systems have been integrated with Central Bank and will remain unchanged for the County.
The requested contract is an initial term of two years commencing on March 1, 2021 and expiring on February 28, 2023. It also provides for two optional two-year renewals. This will be a revenue generating contract for the entire contract period.

Sincerely,

Tod A. Martin
Director of Administration

Attachment

CC: Vickie Fredrick, Chief Accounting Officer
December 7, 2020

The Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman
Members of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Government Center
St. Louis, MO 63105

Dear Chairwoman Clancy and Council Members:

Per Section 107.132 SLCRO, the purchasing agent shall review the evaluation committee’s recommendation to determine if the Request for Proposals (RFP) process was followed. If the purchasing agent determines that the RFP process was followed, he shall complete a written certification.

I have reviewed the documentation presented by the Department Administration for RFP 2020-55-PR and certify the process was followed according to Section 107.132 SLCRO.

Sincerely,

Tod A. Martin
Director of Administration
Acting Procurement Director
Title: Purchasing Card and Accounts Payable Card services – RFP # 2020-55-PR

Date: 06/28/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>RFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts</td>
<td>Purchasing Card and Accounts Payable Card services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.132 (5) (d)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
December 11, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize contracts with Staff Today Incorporated ("Staff Today") and Premier Medical Staffing Services, LLC ("Premiere") for the provision of clinical services for the Department of Public Health ("DPH"). The terms of the proposed contracts are for two years with each entity to commence upon contract execution with the option to renew with each entity for two additional, one-year terms upon mutual agreement. The cost of the contract with each entity is $300,000 for the initial term, for a combined total of $600,000 for the initial terms of each contract. The cost of each one-year renewal is $150,000, if executed. The cost of the initial terms is available in and will be paid from the budget allocation for the DPH Division of Primary Health & Primary Care Integration ("PHPCI").

These proposed contracts result from a Request for Proposals ("RFP") issued in accordance with Chapter 107 SLCRO which generated three proposals. An evaluation committee comprised of five subject matter experts from DPH convened to evaluate the proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP. The criteria included qualifications and record of performance in the past five years, proposed approach to perform the requested scope of work, quality of client management process including communications, M/WBE certification, and cost. Based on the above criteria, the committee determined that Staff Today and Premiere could successfully fulfill the requested scope of services at a fair and reasonable cost. A letter from the Acting Director of Procurement certifying that the RFP process was properly administered is attached hereto.

If the Council determines to approve these contracts, Staff Today and Premiere will be responsible for providing clinician staffing services for PHPCI which include operating outpatient clinics and correctional healthcare provided at the Buzz Westfall Justice Center and Juvenile Detention Center and services across DPH Health Clinics related to primary care, infirmary care, dental care, care for sexually transmitted infections, nutrition, public health nursing and other critical public health services provided through DPH. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Buzz Westfall, Welton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Horder
Dr. Emily Doucette  
Acting Director  
Spring Schmidt  
Acting Director

December 10, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, MO 63105

RFP: Clinical Services  
RFP # 2020-42-PR

Dear County Executive Page

The Department of Public Health (DPH), in conjunction with the Division of Procurement, solicited a Request for Proposals (RFP) to provide clinical services for the Department of Public Health.

The RFP outlined the services required of the contractor and the evaluation criteria to be used in determining the best value to the county.

Proposals were evaluated on the following criteria:

a. Qualifications including ability and record of performance in the past five (5) years for services of a similar nature, specifically experience in public health or correctional healthcare and type and scope of staff hired. This includes references.

b. Proposed approach to perform the Scope of Services for this RFP including specifics of size of the pool, recruiting process, prescreening process, success/conversion rate, turnaround time to successful placement, etc.

c. Quality of client management process including communications; management of poor placements; response time for urgent staffing needs, etc.

d. M/WBE certification of Proposer

e. Cost

In response to our RFP advertisement, we received three proposals. An evaluation committee consisting of five members, representing subject matter experts from DPH, was convened to review the proposals, with a representative from Procurement present for certification. Using the evaluation criteria stated above and in the RFP, the evaluation committee members independently reviewed and scored the proposal. The committee determined that Staff Today, Inc. and Premiere Medical Staffing could successfully fulfill all requests in the RFP and at a reasonable price.
We are requesting an initial term of two years commencing upon contract execution with the option to renew for two additional one-year terms upon mutual agreement and appropriation of sufficient funding for the contract year.

Attached is the information required by Chapter 107.132. I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority from the County Council to enter into a contract with Staff Today, Inc. and Premiere Medical Staffing for an amount not to exceed $600,000 ($300,000 each) for the initial two-year contracts and $300,000 ($150,000 each) for each one-year renewal (maximum two per vendor). No additional financial burden will be experienced by St. Louis County taxpayers.

Sincerely,

Spring Schmidt
Acting Co-Director

SS/mh

cc: Procurement
**Title:** STAFF TODAY INC AND PREMIERE MEDICAL STAFFING  
**CLINICAL SERVICES**  
**RFP # 2020-42-PR**

**Date:** 12/10/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Procurement method used</strong></th>
<th><strong>RFP</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The total amount requested for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (a) | $300,000 for Staff Today Inc for the initial 2 year contract  
$300,000 for Premiere Medical Staffing for the initial 2 year contract  
$150,000 for Staff Today Inc for each 1 year renewal (max 2)  
$150,000 for Premiere Medical Staffing for each 1 year renewal (max 2) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (a)</strong></th>
<th><strong>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County 107.132 (5) (b)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| $300,000 for Staff Today Inc for the initial 2 year contract  
$300,000 for Premiere Medical Staffing for the initial 2 year contract  
$150,000 for Staff Today Inc for each 1 year renewal (max 2)  
$150,000 for Premiere Medical Staffing for each 1 year renewal (max 2) | The source of the funds for this contract will be from the DPH budget: Primary Health & Primary Care Integration Division. This contract is for an initial two-year term with the option to renew for two additional one-year terms upon mutual agreement. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (c)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Clinical Services</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| **If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level 107.132 (5) (c)** | **N/A** |

| **Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders) 107.132 (5) (d)** | **N/A** |

P310 — RFP Fiscal Note  
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November 30, 2020

The Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
Members of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Government Center
St. Louis, MO 63105

Dear Chairwoman Clancy and Council Members:

Per Section 107.132 SLCRO, the purchasing agent shall review the evaluation committee’s recommendation to determine if the Request for Proposals (RFP) process was followed. If the purchasing agent determines that the RFP process was followed, he shall complete a written certification.

I have reviewed the documentation presented by the Department of Health for RFP 2020-42-PR and certify the process was followed according to Section 107.132 SLCRO.

Sincerely,

Tod Martin
Director of Administration
Acting Director of Procurement
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair  
and Members of the St. Louis County Council  
Lawrence K. Roos Government Building  
Clayton, Missouri 63105  

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize an amendment to Ordinance No. 27,733 (2020) in order to amend the terms of a contract with Unique Security, Inc. ("USI") by increasing the not to exceed amount of the contract by $5,608, for a total, not to exceed amount of $801,488. The increase is requested in order to allow USI to complete upgrades to security cameras at the Buzz Westfall Justice Center. The cost of the increased contract amount is available in and will be paid from the 2020 budget allocation for the Department of Justice Services ("DJS").

The above ordinance originally authorized the contract with USI to install upgrades to various security electronics including the installation of 40 new cameras at the Justice Center. The requested increase reflects the cost of upgrades - $140.20 per unit - for each of the 40 cameras installed by USI. If approved, Doug Burris, Director of DJS, states that USI will upgrade each camera to a new, more secure version which will be enclosed in metal framing as opposed to plastic framing and will be more durable and less prone to damage. Mr. Burris states that these upgrades will be more cost effective as they will prevent the need for regular repairs and replacement of damaged cameras over time.

Mr. Burris further states that these upgrades are in the best interest of the safety and security of inmates in custody at the Justice Center as well as DJS and Justice Center staff and personnel. If the Council determines to approve this requested increase, all other terms of the original contract will remain the same. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page  
County Executive
January 2, 2020

Sam Page
County Executive
41 S. Central Ave.
Clayton, MO 63105

Subject: Request for amended legislation

Dear Dr. Page:

Justice Services is in the process of upgrading our security electronics and cameras at the Jail via ordinance 27,733 and a contract with Unique Security. The contractor has recommended new, vandal-proof cameras and the Department agrees. The number of cameras will remain at 40 and the cost will increase by $5,608. The Department requests that the original not to exceed amount of $795,880 be increased to $801,488 to accommodate the upgraded cameras.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for this amended legislation.

Sincerely,

Doug Burris
Director
Justice Services
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH UNIQUE SECURITY INC. FOR UPGRADES TO VARIOUS SECURITY ELECTRONICS AT THE COUNTY JAIL.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Unique Security Inc., an Alabama domestic corporation ("USI"). The contract shall provide for USI to provide security electronic upgrades to the Human Machine Interface (touchscreen) and audio upgrade in housing units, transportation, and intake, at the County Jail, as well as the addition of 40 security cameras; for compensation to USI in an amount not to exceed $795,880; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: March 10, 2020 ___________________ LISA CLANCY
CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

SIGNED: March 11, 2020 ___________________ SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________
DIANN L. VALENTI
ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

___________________________
BETH ORWICK
COUNTY COUNSELOR

40-106

1-75
December 11, 2020

Honorable Tim Fitch
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize acceptance of $22,739 in donated funds from the St. Louis County Parks Foundation to benefit the Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks & Rec") and improvements made at Laumeier Park in the 3rd Council District.

Tom Ott, Director of Parks & Rec, states that the donated funds were provided to allow the department to make trail improvements at Laumeier Park and that the funds were specifically used to cover costs of labor and materials required to complete the improvements.

If the Council determines to accept this donation, monies will be appropriated to account 1050, grant PB200. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 4, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
County Government Center
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Request to Accept a Donation for the Benefit of Laumeier Park

Dear Dr. Page:

I respectfully request that St. Louis County ("County") enact the necessary legislation to accept a donation of $22,739.00 from the St. Louis County Parks Foundation for use by the Department of Parks and Recreation for the benefit of Laumeier Park.

The donated funds were used towards trail improvements in the park to cover expense costs for labor and materials for the repairs. The funds will be received as a donation for reimbursement and appropriated in Account No. 1050-0650-PB200.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas Ott
Thomas Ott
Director

TO/bjd
Honorable Ernie Trakas
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW") to open, widen and establish Bayless Avenue in the 6th Council District for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. AR-1693.

The authorization of this establishment will enable the department to revise right-of-way plans and easements as deemed necessary and will further allow the department to acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project. The project is intended to make improvements and complete resurfacing along Bayless Avenue.

Stephanie Leon-Streeter, Acting Director of T&PW, states that right-of-way plans and legal descriptions for this project were approved on September 25, 2020 and that a proposed Ordinance has been prepared by the department and forwarded to the County Counselor for further review. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Duraway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Mark Harder
December 4, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

6th Council District

RE: Establishment of Bayless Avenue
St. Louis County Project No. AR-1693
Federal Project No. STP-4900(635)

Dear County Executive Page:

Right-of-way plans and legal descriptions are prepared for the improvement of Bayless Avenue. This project is part of our Bayless Avenue Resurfacing project.

I approved the right-of-way plans for this project on September 25, 2020.

The establishment of Bayless Avenue will enable this Department to acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project. The establishment will also authorize the Director of Transportation to revise the Right-of-Way plans and easements as deemed necessary to address engineering considerations and negotiated settlements with property owners.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for the above referenced contract. I have forwarded a proposed Ordinance to the County Counselor to assist in drafting this legislation.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

cc: Beth Orwicke, County Counselor (with Draft of Ordinance)
Honorable Mark Hadler
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW") to open, widen and establish Baxter Road South in the 7th Council District for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. AR-1555.

The authorization of this establishment will enable the department to revise right-of-way plans and easements as deemed necessary and will further allow the department to acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project. The project is intended to make improvements along Baxter Road from Clayton Road to Manchester Road including pavement repairs, asphalt resurfacing, curb replacement, storm sewer improvements, and upgrades to ADA curb ramps, guardrails, and traffic signals. The project also includes installation of striped bike lanes from Manchester Road to Andersohn Drive, a 10-feet wide shared use path on the Northern side from Andersohn Drive to Holloway Road, and lane modifications at St. Louis County Bridge No. 3-127 to accommodate the shared use path.

Stephanie Leon-Strecter, Acting Director of T&PW, states that right-of-way plans and legal descriptions for this project were approved on September 30, 2020 and that a proposed Ordinance has been prepared by the department and forwarded to the County Counselor for further review. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas
December 3, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

7th Council District

RE: Establishment of Baxter Road (South) ARS Resurfacing
St. Louis County Project No. AR-1555
Federal Project No. STP-5548(606)

Dear County Executive Page:

Right-of-way plans and legal descriptions are prepared for the improvement of Baxter Road from Clayton Road to Manchester Road (MO Route 100). The proposed improvements include pavement repairs, asphalt pavement resurfacing, ADA curb ramp upgrades, curb replacement, guardrail upgrades, storm sewer improvements, traffic signal upgrades, striped bike lanes from Manchester Road to Andersohn Drive, a 10-feet-wide shared use path on the Northern side from Andersohn Drive to Holloway Road, and lane modifications at St. Louis County Bridge No. 3-127 to accommodate the 10-feet-wide shared use path, approximately 175 feet North of Baxter Commons Drive.

I approved the right-of-way plans for this project on September 30, 2020.

The establishment of Baxter Road will enable this Department to acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project. The establishment will also authorize the Director of Transportation to revise the Right-of-Way plans and easements as deemed necessary to address engineering considerations and negotiated settlements with property owners.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for the above referenced contract. I have forwarded a proposed Ordinance to the County Counselor to assist in drafting this legislation.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

SLS:JWK:jlh

cc: Beth Orwick, County Counselor (with Draft of Ordinance)
December 11, 2020

Honorable Rita Heard Days
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

On behalf of the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership (“SLEDP”), I respectfully request the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to approve a plan for an industrial development project involving The Boeing Company (“Boeing”) as described in the attachment (the “Project”), and to authorize the issuance of Chapter 100 bonds in an amount up to $45 million to finance the Project. Boeing is Missouri’s largest manufacturer and has long been a national leader in aerospace manufacturing including production of critical military aircrafts. Rodney Crim, CEO and President of SLEDP, states that Boeing intends to spend approximately $45 million to construct and equip a 30,000 square foot operations facility to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr. in an unincorporated area of St. Louis County’s 1st Council District. Mr. Crim states that the Project will support and service Boeing’s aerospace programs and is critical to the long-term viability of its operations at the St. Louis location.

The requested legislation will provide for real and personal property tax abatement equal to fifty percent (50%) of the estimated real and personal property taxes on the Project that would otherwise be due over a ten-year term. In addition to the abatement, Boeing will receive a sales tax exemption on construction materials purchased for the Project. In return, Boeing agrees to maintain its facilities in the County, retain 12,100 full-time jobs in the County, and, in addition, create 30 new, full-time jobs by 2024 with an average annual salary of approximately $50,000. The Project is estimated to generate approximately $4,414,735 for the taxing jurisdictions over the life of the abatement.

I respectfully request that the Council take the necessary action to instruct the Administrative Director to send notice of the Council’s intent to consider the industrial development plan to the appropriate taxing districts, and after such notice period is completed, take the necessary legislative action to authorize the issuance of up to $45 million of Chapter 100 Bonds and approve the plan for industrial development.

Since 2015, Boeing has increased employment from 14,700 to nearly 16,000 jobs in St. Louis. Funding this Project presents an opportunity for the County to demonstrate its commitment to retaining and supporting the growth of local companies and the creation of new, diverse work options. Doing so provides incentives for other companies to bring their work, jobs, and economic support to St. Louis County. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive
December 1, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central, 9th Floor
St. Louis, MO 63105

Dear County Executive Page,

The purpose of this letter is to respectfully request the appropriate legislative actions to complete a Chapter 100 Bond issuance in an amount up to $45 million for The Boeing Company that will add 30,000 square feet to an existing 65,724 square foot hangar built in 1963 at 6161 Aviation Dr. in unincorporated St. Louis County. The addition will support and service aerospace programs. This project is important for the long term viability of operations at the St. Louis location. The company plans to spend approximately $22,500,000 on the new construction and an estimated $22,500,000 on machinery at the location.

The County Council is requested to adopt an Order instructing the Administrative Director to send Notice of the Council’s intent to consider a “plan for industrial development,” which will include a cost benefit analysis and other project information, to the appropriate taxing districts. The County Council is also requested to consider and pass an Ordinance approving the plan for industrial development and authorizing the issuance of the Bonds totaling up to $45 million. The Ordinance will provide a real and personal property tax abatement at a rate of fifty percent (50%) over a 10-year term in return for the jobs and investment. The Company intends to retain 12,100 existing full-time jobs in the County and to create an additional 30 new full-time jobs by 2024.

Thank you for your consideration in this manner,

Rodney Crim
CEO and President
St. Louis Economic Development Partnership

cc: Winston Calvert
    Kevin McKenna
Project Soar

Project Soar is a construction project sponsored by The Boeing Company that will add 30,000 square feet to an existing 65,724 square foot hangar built in 1963 at 6161 Aviation Dr. in unincorporated St. Louis County. The addition will support and service aerospace programs. This project is important for the long term viability of operations at the St. Louis location. The company plans to spend approximately $22,500,000 on the new construction and an estimated $22,500,000 on machinery at the location. This project is expected to generate 30 new jobs, and Boeing has agreed to extend the current site commitment of 12,100 overall employment at the St. Louis Boeing facility through the 10-year abatement for Project Soar (expected to be through 2031). This Ch. 100 tax abatement is for net new investment and no existing taxes are to be reduced to the taxing districts.

Investment: $22,500,000 real property
$22,500,000 personal property

Jobs: 30 new full-time permanent jobs by 2024, and commit to 12,100 total in St. Louis operations for the life of this abatement.

Location: 6161 Aviation Dr., St. Louis MO 63134 (Unincorporated)

Incentives:
- Chapter 100 Tax Abatement – 50% over a 10 year period
  - Real Property Tax Abatement – new investment only at 6161 Aviation Dr.
  - Personal Property Tax Abatement – new equipment to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr.
- Sales Tax exemption on construction materials
- This is for net new investment only - no existing taxes are abated

Key Points:
- Since 2015, employment has grown from 14,700 to nearly 16,000 in St. Louis.
- This project insures additional and diverse work opportunities for St. Louis operations.
- This project will support the continued use of St. Louis Lambert International Airport.

Supporting point:
- The Project is expected to be exempt from real and personal property taxes commencing on January 1, 2022 through 2031.
- The company has committed to extend the current job site commitment of 12,100 employees for the overall St. Louis operations with this agreement.
- The average annual salary for the new jobs is approximately $90,000.
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTER 104, TITLE I SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "OFFICES AND OFFICERS," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 104.020, 104.030, 104.040 AND 104.050, EACH PERTAINING TO FILLING VACANCIES IN VARIOUS OFFICES.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 104, Title I SLCRO 1974 as amended, "Offices and Officers," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Sections 104.020, 104.030, 104.040 and 104.050, thus:

104.020 - Vacancies in Office—How Filled. - Whenever there is a vacancy in the office of Council [Councilman], County Auditor, or any other position or office subject to appointment, selection or election by the Council, the vacancy shall be filled by a two-thirds majority vote of the then current members [or remaining members] of the Council.

104.030 - Vacancies in Office—Procedure. - In filling any such vacancy, the Council shall proceed in the following manner: When there is a vacancy that a councilmember desires to fill, the councilmember shall ask the Chairperson of the Council to have the matter considered at a Committee of the Whole meeting. The Chair shall schedule such a meeting. The meeting and any subsequent meetings related to filling a vacancy, and any records related thereto or that result therefrom, may be closed if and as authorized by law. The Chair shall allow nominations of individuals to fill the vacancy. All nominations shall be submitted to the Committee of the Whole at either the initial meeting or a subsequent meeting that shall take place no sooner than seven days and no later than fourteen days of the initial Committee of the Whole meeting unless a majority of the members of Council determine that different time limitations shall apply. Nothing herein shall prohibit the Committee of the Whole

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
The Committee of the Whole shall meet as frequently as necessary until a selection is made as set forth in Section 104.020 SLCRO or until a majority vote of the Council determines that the position shall remain vacant if so authorized. [The Chairman of the Council shall notify the Council at the next regular meeting after such vacancy occurs, that the office or position has become vacant. He shall then order the County Clerk to place the matter of the vacancy on the order of business for the next succeeding regular meeting of the Council. At such next regular meeting, the Chairman, upon reaching the item in the order of business, shall call for nominations for such office or position. Any member of the Council may nominate a person to fill the position or office by stating: "I wish to place in nomination for the position (or office) of ____________ the following named person ____________ ." A motion that the nominations are closed shall not be in order at said first regular meeting following the meeting at which the Chairman notifies the Council of the vacancy. The County Clerk shall place the names of the persons nominated on the order of business for the next succeeding regular meeting, which shall not be less than two (2) weeks following the meeting at which the Chairman notified the Council of the vacancy. At said next regular meeting, two (2) weeks following the meeting at which the Chairman notifies the Council of the vacancy, the Chairman shall ask if there are further nominations or additional nominations, which shall be made in the same manner as set forth hereinabove. After a reasonable time has elapsed and it appears to the Chairman that there are no further nominations, the Council shall proceed with the election to fill the vacant position or office or may, by majority vote of those present, postpone the election to some later date, which date shall be specified in the motion to postpone the election; provided, however, that said election shall not be postponed for more than two (2) weeks.]

104.040 - Vacancies in Office—Conduct of Election. - The election shall be conducted by the Clerk calling out the names of the councilmembers [Councilmen] in the usual order, and each councilmember [councilman] shall state the name of the person for whom that councilmember votes [he wishes to vote]. If any two (2) councilmembers [councilmen] shall ask for a written vote, the County Clerk shall thereupon prepare ballots containing the names of the persons nominated with a box opposite each name, and shall proceed to furnish one (1) of such ballots to each member of the Council; each councilmember
[Councilman] shall mark an individual [his] ballot with an X in the box opposite the name of the person for whom the [desires to] vote is cast. The [and return the ballot to the] County Clerk shall collect the ballots from each councilmember who wishes to cast a vote. When the vote has been completed, the Clerk shall proceed to count the votes in the presence of the Committee of the Whole [Council] and shall announce the result of the votes cast to the Committee [Council].

104.050 - Vacancies in Office-Majority Vote. - [In the case of a vacancy in the Council, if no person shall receive the votes of a majority of the remaining members of the Council, additional ballots shall be taken until one (1) of the persons nominated has received a majority vote of the remaining members of the Council; in the case of an election to fill any other office, including that of the Auditor, if] If no person nominated receives a two-thirds majority vote of the then current membership of the Council, additional ballots shall be taken until one (1) of the persons nominated shall have received a two-thirds majority vote of the then current members of the Council. The nominee who receives a two-thirds majority vote of the then current [those present, or in the event of a vacancy in the Council, a majority vote of the remaining] members of the Council, shall be declared elected to the vacant position or office and provided the person so elected accepts the position under the terms and conditions authorized, the person elected shall be appointed and shall fill the vacancy.

ADOPTED: ____________________________

PRESIDING OFFICER,
COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________________

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________________________

ACTING COUNTY COUNSELOR
Memorandum

To: Peter Krane, St. Louis County Counselor
From: Council Member Mark Harder, District 7
Date: January 8, 2019
RE: Request for Legislation – County Council Executive Staff

Pursuant to Chapter 104 “Office and Officers” to repeal and replace this section with language more in keeping with in both public and private modern hiring practices for executive staff positions.

This will be a repeal and replace of the current Chapter 104.020 through 104.040. To include the description of what offices applicable, the procedure of nomination and voting, and office holder vacancies.

Thank you in advance for your diligence and assistance in this matter.

cc: Gen Frank, Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 716 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “PETTY OFFENSES CODE” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 716.080 AND 716.090, PERTAINING TO PROHIBITION OF CERTAIN ACTS OF SOLICITATION.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VII, Chapter 716 SLCRO 1974 as amended, “Petty Offenses Code” is amended by repealing and re-enacting Sections 716.080 and 716.090, which shall read as follows:

716.080 Aggressive Solicitation Prohibited. - Definitions

- 1. For purposes of Section 716.090, “aggressive manner” shall mean:

a. Approaching, speaking to, or following a person in a manner as would cause a reasonable person to fear bodily harm to oneself or to another, or damage to or loss of property, or otherwise be intimidated into giving money or other thing of value; or

b. Continuing to solicit from a person after the person has given a negative response to such soliciting; or

c. Intentionally touching or causing physical contact with another person without that person’s consent in the course of soliciting; or

d. Intentionally blocking or interfering with the safe or free passage of a pedestrian or vehicle by any means, including unreasonably causing a pedestrian or vehicle operator to take

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
evasive action to avoid physical contact in the course of soliciting; or

e. Using violent or threatening gestures toward a person solicited.

2. For the purpose of Section 716.090, “solicit” or “soliciting” shall include using the spoken, written, or printed word, bodily gestures, signs, or other means for the purpose of obtaining an immediate donation of money or other thing of value.

[716.080 - Vagrancy—Prohibition. —A person shall not be a vagrant.]

716.090 Aggressive Solicitation - Prohibited Acts - 1. No person shall commit any of the following acts:

   a. solicit in an aggressive manner in any public place.

   b. solicit within 10 feet of any automatic teller machine (ATM).

   c. solicit from any operator or occupant of a motor vehicle that is in traffic on a public street.

   d. obstruct any public street, public highway, public sidewalk or any other public place or building by hindering or impeding or tending to hinder or impede the free and uninterrupted passage of vehicle, traffic or pedestrians in the course of soliciting;

2. Within a reasonable amount of time before any law enforcement officer may cite or arrest a person under this ordinance, the officer must warn the person that his or her conduct is in violation of this ordinance and must give the person an opportunity to immediately cease the offending conduct and comply with the provision of this ordinance.

3. Acts authorized as an exercise of a person’s constitutional right to picket, protest, or speak and acts authorized by a permit or license issued by St. Louis County government shall not constitute unlawful activity under this section.
[716.090 - Vagrancy—Definition.

The following classes of persons shall be deemed vagrant within the meaning of this chapter:

(1) Every person without any visible means of support who may be found loitering around houses of ill-fame, gambling houses or places where liquor is sold or drunk.

(2) Every person who shall attend or operate any gambling device or apparatus.

(3) Every person who shall be engaged in practicing any trick or device to procure money or other thing of value.

(4) Every person who shall be engaged in any unlawful calling.

(5) Every able-bodied man who shall neglect or refuse to provide for the support of his family.

(6) Every person found tramping or wandering around from place to place without any visible means of support.]

ADOPTED: ________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ________________________  ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM: ________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
MEMORANDUM

TO:       Mike Shuman, Associate County Counselor
FROM:     Ernie Trakas, Councilman for the 6th District
RE:       Request for Legislation
DATE:     January 24, 2020

Please prepare legislation for introduction at the January 28, 2020 Council Meeting. I am requesting that this bill mirror Substitute Bill No. 1 for Bill No. 320 I previously presented at the Council Meeting on January 21st.

My office will provide additional information. Thank you.

cc:       Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED “ST. LOUIS COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE,” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS DUE TO A PANDEMIC.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VII, Chapter 703 SLCRO 1974, as amended, “St. Louis County Emergency Management Code,” is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 703.070, which shall read as follows:

703.070 - State of Emergency—Duties.—In the event of actual enemy attack upon the United States or of the occurrence of disaster from fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural causes involving imminent peril to lives and property in St. Louis County, the County Executive, or during the Executive's temporary disability or absence from the County, the Chairman of the Council, as acting Executive, or the designated Executive, may declare that a state of emergency exists in St. Louis County and may exercise during the actual existence of such emergency the power to enforce all rules and regulations relating to emergency management, and acting under the provisions of RSMo Chapter 44, or acting as an agent of the Governor may take control of all means of transportation and supplies, and all facilities including buildings and plants, and exercise all powers necessary to assure the safety and protection of the civilian population. He may in the event of such emergency by enemy attack, waive any requirements of statute or ordinance pertaining to the advertisement for bids for the performance of public work or entering into contracts. In exercising his powers, he shall be guided by regulations and orders issued by the Federal Government and the Governor of Missouri relating to civil defense and shall take no action contrary to orders issued by the Governor under similar emergency powers. A validly issued state of emergency issued by the County Executive shall terminate upon the passage of resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED:__________________________ CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED:__________________________ COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:
TO: Ms. Genevieve Frank, Associate St. Louis County Counselor

FROM: Tim Fitch, Third District Councilman

DATE: November 12, 2020

SUBJECT: Request for Legislation

Please see the attached drafts for two pieces of legislation. If passed, these bills will provide the County Council with oversight of (1) Public Health Orders issued by the Director of the Department of Public Health (DPH); and (2) State of Emergency Orders issued by the County Executive.

These bills would permit orders issued by the Director of the DPH or County Executive to remain in effect, unless they are terminated by those authorities or by a resolution passed by the County Council.

Councilman Mark Harder, District 7 will be a co-sponsor of both bills. We would like them available for introduction at the regular meeting on Tuesday, November 17, 2020.

cc: Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "ST. LOUIS COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VII, Chapter 703 SLCRO 1974, as amended, "St. Louis County Emergency Management Code," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 703.070, which shall read as follows:

703.070 - State of Emergency—Duties.—In the event of actual enemy attack upon the United States or of the occurrence of disaster from fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural causes involving imminent peril to lives and property in St. Louis County, the County Executive, or during the Executive's temporary disability or absence from the County, the Chairman of the Council, as acting Executive, or the designated Executive, may declare that a state of emergency exists in St. Louis County and may exercise during the actual existence of such emergency the power to enforce all rules and regulations relating to emergency management, and acting under the provisions of RSMo Chapter 44, or acting as an agent of the Governor may take control of all means of transportation and supplies, and all facilities including buildings and plants, and exercise all powers necessary to assure the safety and protection of the civilian population. He may in the event of such emergency by enemy attack, waive any requirements of statute or ordinance pertaining to the advertisement for bids for the performance of public work or entering into contracts. In exercising his powers, he shall be guided by regulations and orders issued by the Federal Government and the Governor of Missouri relating to civil defense and shall take no action contrary to
orders issued by the Governor under similar emergency powers. A state of emergency issued by the County Executive shall terminate upon the passage of a resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED: ____________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________________  ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM: ____________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VI, CHAPTER 600 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 600.030 PERTAINING TO THE DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR AND PUBLIC HEALTH ORDERS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VI, Chapter 600 SLCRO 1974, as amended, "Department of Public Health," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 600.030, which shall read as follows:

600.030 - Director-Duties. - The Director of the Department of Public Health shall have general supervision over the public health and shall possess those powers and duties enjoined upon the Director of the Department of Health or Director of Community Health and Medical Care under Article IV Sections 4.130 and 4.140 of the County Charter and such other duties as may be established by ordinance. A public health order issued by the Director of the Department of Public Health pertaining thereto, shall terminate upon the passage of a resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED: ___________________________      CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ___________________________      COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ___________________________

       ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VI, CHAPTER 600 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 600.030 PERTAINING TO THE DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR AND PUBLIC HEALTH ORDERS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR RELATED TO A PANDEMIC.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VI, Chapter 600 SLCRO 1974, as amended, “Department of Public Health,” is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 600.030, which shall read as follows:

600.030 - Director—Duties.—The Director of the Department of Public Health shall have general supervision over the public health and shall possess those powers and duties enjoined upon the Director of the Department of Health or Director of Community Health and Medical Care under Article IV Sections 4.130 and 4.140 of the County Charter and such other duties as may be established by ordinance. A validly issued public health order issued by the Director of the Department of Public Health pertaining thereto, shall terminate upon the passage of a resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED: ____________________________ CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________ COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________________ ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

___________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
TO: Ms. Genevieve Frank, Associate St. Louis County Counselor

FROM: Tim Fitch, Third District Councilman

DATE: November 12, 2020

SUBJECT: Request for Legislation

Please see the attached drafts for two pieces of legislation. If passed, these bills will provide the County Council with oversight of (1) Public Health Orders issued by the Director of the Department of Public Health (DPH); and (2) State of Emergency Orders issued by the County Executive.

These bills would permit orders issued by the Director of the DPH or County Executive to remain in effect, unless they are terminated by those authorities or by a resolution passed by the County Council.

Councilman Mark Harder, District 7 will be a co-sponsor of both bills. We would like them available for introduction at the regular meeting on Tuesday, November 17, 2020.

cc: Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "ST. LOUIS COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VII, Chapter 703 SLCRO 1974, as amended, "St. Louis County Emergency Management Code," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 703.070, which shall read as follows:

703.070 - State of Emergency—Duties.—In the event of actual enemy attack upon the United States or of the occurrence of disaster from fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural causes involving imminent peril to lives and property in St. Louis County, the County Executive, or during the Executive's temporary disability or absence from the County, the Chairman of the Council, as acting Executive, or the designated Executive, may declare that a state of emergency exists in St. Louis County and may exercise during the actual existence of such emergency the power to enforce all rules and regulations relating to emergency management, and acting under the provisions of RSMo Chapter 44, or acting as an agent of the Governor may take control of all means of transportation and supplies, and all facilities including buildings and plants, and exercise all powers necessary to assure the safety and protection of the civilian population. He may in the event of such emergency by enemy attack, waive any requirements of statute or ordinance pertaining to the advertisement for bids for the performance of public work or entering into contracts. In exercising his powers, he shall be guided by regulations and orders issued by the Federal Government and the Governor of Missouri relating to civil defense and shall take no action contrary to
orders issued by the Governor under similar emergency powers. A state of emergency issued by the County Executive shall terminate upon the passage of a resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED: ____________________________________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: _______________________________________________

ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VI, CHAPTER 600 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 600.030 PERTAINING TO THE DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR AND PUBLIC HEALTH ORDERS ISSUED BY THE DIRECTOR.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VI, Chapter 600 SLCRO 1974, as amended, "Department of Public Health," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 600.030, which shall read as follows:

600.030 - Director-Duties.—The Director of the Department of Public Health shall have general supervision over the public health and shall possess those powers and duties enjoined upon the Director of the Department of Health or Director of Community Health and Medical Care under Article IV Sections 4.130 and 4.140 of the County Charter and such other duties as may be established by ordinance. A public health order issued by the Director of the Department of Public Health pertaining thereto, shall terminate upon the passage of a resolution by the County Council.

ADOPTED: ________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:

ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR
APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

___________________________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE XII SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, "TRAFFIC CODE," BY DELETING SIX PROVISIONS FROM SCHEDULE III, AND BY ENACTING AND ADDING TWO PROVISIONS TO SCHEDULE III.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Pursuant to Section 1204.050 SLCRO 1974 as amended, Schedule III, Title XII SLCRO 1974, as amended, "Special Speed Limits on County Highways, Roads or Streets," is hereby amended by deleting therefrom the following six provisions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Speed Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Howderson Rd., between Brown Rd. - Knollwood Parkway Dr. and Utz Ln.</td>
<td>40 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howderson Rd., between Utz Ln. and Avion Way</td>
<td>30 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howderson Rd., from Avion Way to Charbonier Rd.</td>
<td>35 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackelford Rd., between Charbonier Rd. and 1,000 feet north of Lindsay Ln.</td>
<td>35 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackelford Rd., between Humes Ln. and 1,000 feet north of Lindsay Ln.</td>
<td>30 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackelford Rd., from Humes Ln. to New Halls Ferry Rd.</td>
<td>40 MPH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION 2. Pursuant to Section 1204.050 SLCRO 1974 as amended, Schedule III, Title XII SLCRO 1974, as amended, “Special Speed Limits on County Highways, Roads or Streets,” is hereby amended by enacting and adding thereto two new provisions as follows:

SCHEDULE III
SPECIAL SPEED LIMITS ON COUNTY HIGHWAYS, ROADS OR STREETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Speed Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Howdershell Rd., from Brown Rd. - Knollwood Parkway Dr. to Charbonier Rd.</td>
<td>40 MPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shackelford Rd., from Charbonier Rd. to New Halls Ferry Rd.</td>
<td>40 MPH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION 3. The Director of Highways and Traffic is hereby authorized and directed to remove or post and install the necessary signs in accordance with Sections 1 and 2 of this ordinance.

SECTION 4. Every person, firm or corporation found guilty of violating Section 2 of this ordinance shall be punished as provided in Sections 1214.020 and 1215.010 SLCRO 1974 as amended.

ADOPTED: ____________________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________________

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

____________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
41 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE
SAINT LOUIS, MISSOURI 63105

SAM PACE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

November 20, 2020

Honorable Rollie Dunaway and Rachelle Walton Gray
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to amend Schedule III of the St. Louis County Traffic Code in order to enact a special speed limit of 30 miles per hour and establish three special school speed zones along certain sections of Howardhill Road and Shackelford Road, located within unincorporated St. Louis County and in the cities of Hazelwood and Florissant in the 2nd and 4th Council districts.

This requested action results from the Department of Transportation and Public Works' ("T&PW") ongoing, routine process of reviewing and posting the proper speed limits throughout the County. Stephanie Leon-Stricker, Acting Director of T&PW, states that there are five different speed zones along the roadways in question and that the frequent changes in the speed limits make for uncertain motorist expectations. The department evaluated the roadways in question using nationally accredited evaluation criteria in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices as published by the Federal Highway Administration.

Of primary concern for the department when studying these roadways was the presence of several schools along the routes. Thus, the proposed amendments and adjusted special speed limits take into consideration the safety of children walking to and from those schools. Ms. Stricker states that the change in speed limit along these roadways will incorporate three reduced school speed zones and that the department will install the proper signage and flashing beacons to alert motorists to the school speed zones along the roadways.

To implement the findings of this study, the department proposes deleting the current sections under Schedule III and adding a 30 miles per hour limit along Howardhill from Brown Road - Knollwood and Shackelford Drive to Charlestown Road, and a 25 miles per hour limit along Shackelford from Charlestown Road to New Hall's Ferry Road. The full description of the proposed amendments is included in the attachment. If the Council determines to approve this request, the department will arrange for the installation of appropriate "Speed Limit" signage along the roadways, including the establishment of three-school speed zones and appropriate signage. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Pace
County Executive

Anita Doe
Office of the County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Tim Fitch, Lisa Clancy, Erin Teikes, Mark Hamler

Attachment
November 9, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
Saint Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
Saint Louis, Missouri 63105

2nd and 4th Council Districts

RE: Request for County Ordinance for Special Speed Limit along Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road

Dear County Executive Page:

As part of St. Louis County’s ongoing process of reviewing and posting the proper speed limits on its road systems, the County’s Department of Transportation has evaluated a 5.9-mile segment of roadway encompassing Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road. Portions of these roads are located within the city limits of Hazelwood and Florissant, as well as within unincorporated St. Louis County.

There are five different speed zones within the study area. With such frequent changes in the speed limits over such short sections of the roadway, motorists’ expectations are uncertain. Drivers are unsure of the speed limit at any given moment, despite proper signage.

This study was performed to determine if adjustments to the speed limits are warranted, with the ultimate goal of posting an appropriate uniform speed limit. The Department used nationally accepted criteria referred to in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), as published by the Federal Highway Administration, when reviewing posted speed limits on County roadways.

Based on the study results, this Department recommends that the speed limit of Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road from Brown Road-Knellwood Parkway Drive to New Halls Ferry Road be 40 mph. This Department believes this would be a realistic speed limit that drivers would be more likely to obey and that it would enhance traffic flow for all motorists without compromising safety. This action would be a positive approach towards controlling and enforcing a reasonable speed limit on this 5.9-mile section of Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road.

There are also several schools fronting Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road and the safety of children walking to school is a concern. The recommendation of raising the speed limit will allow for the installation of these reduced school speed zones accompanied with flashing beacons to inform motorists when the reduced speed is in effect.

Therefore, we ask that you request appropriate legislation to enact the following amendments to the Saint Louis County Traffic Code:

Transportation: 1050 North Lindbergh Boulevard • Saint Louis, MO 63122 • 314/865-8804 • FAX 314/865-8184
Public Works: 41 South Central Avenue • Saint Louis, MO 63105 • 314/865-8184 • FAX 314/865-7085
Internet: http://www.stlouiscountymo.gov • RelayMO 800-735-2666
SCHEDULE III
SPECIAL SPEED LIMITS ON COUNTY HIGHWAYS, ROADS, OR STREETS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Speed Limit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Howdershell Rd., between Brown Rd.-Knollwood Parkway Dr. and Utz Ln.</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Howdershell Rd., between Utz Ln. and Avion Way</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Howdershell Rd., from Avion Way to Charbonier Rd.</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Shackelford Rd., between Charbonier Rd. and 1,000 feet north of Lindsay Ln.</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Shackelford Rd., between Humes Ln. and 1,000 feet north of Lindsay Ln.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE: Shackelford Rd., from Humes Ln. to New Halls Ferry Rd.</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD: Howdershell Rd., from Brown Rd.-Knollwood Parkway Dr. to Charbonier Rd.</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD: Shackelford Rd., from Charbonier Rd. to New Halls Ferry Rd.</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following enactment of the necessary legislation, we will arrange for the installation of the necessary "Speed Limit" signage along Howdershell Road and Shackelford Road. This signage will include the establishment of three school speed zones.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Leon Streeter, P.E.
Acting Director

SLS; CMT: cdh

cc: Mary Barton, Chief of Police, Saint Louis County Police Department
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH THE VANDIVER GROUP, INC. TO PROVIDE BRANDING AND COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR THE CHILDREN’S SERVICE FUND.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with The Vandiver Group, Inc. ("Vandiver").

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for Vandiver to provide branding and communications services for the Children’s Service Fund; for an initial term of one year, with the option to renew upon mutual agreement for up to three additional one-year terms; for payment to Vandiver in an amount not to exceed $90,150 for the initial term, and thereafter in an amount based on the scope of services rendered but not to annually exceed $90,150 for each renewal term; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: ____________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ________________________________  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:  

______________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
December 4, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract with Vandiver Group, Inc. ("Vandiver") for purposes of providing the Children’s Service Fund ("CSF") with services related to branding and communications. The term of the proposed contract is for one year to commence upon execution with the option to renew for up to three years upon mutual agreement. The cost of the proposed contract is for an amount not to exceed $90,150 in the first year which is less than the amount CSF budgeted for these services. The cost will be paid from the 2020 budget allocation for the CSF General Revenue fund. If renewed, the cost of the contract in subsequent years will depend on the scope of services to be rendered, as determined between CSF and Vandiver, but will not exceed the original year-one cost of $90,150.

This contract results from a Request for Proposals ("RFP") issued in accordance with Chapter 107 SLCEO, which generated five proposals. An evaluation committee comprised of three subject matter experts from CSF convened to evaluate the proposals based on the criteria outlined in the RFP. The criteria included ability to provide the requested scope of services, qualifications of the proposer, and proposed budget. Based on the criteria in the RFP, the committee determined that Vandiver was the best value proposer and could successfully complete the scope of services at a fair and reasonable cost. A letter from the Acting Director of Procurement certifying that the RFP process was properly administered is attached hereto.

Under the terms of the proposed contract, Vandiver will provide CSF with rebranding services including design work, content creation, strategic messaging, and creation and implementation of an external communications strategy. Emily Koenig, Acting Executive Director for CSF, states that CSF engaged in a strategic planning process over the course of the past year through which CSF staff and the CSF Board of Directors prioritized the expansion of CSF’s role in enhancing and promoting the well-being of the community. Ms. Koenig states that the services to be provided by Vandiver are important to CSF’s strategic objectives and priorities and will benefit CSF in expanding their reach throughout the community and enhancing the community’s overall awareness of CSF and understanding of CSF services. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Kelli Dunaway, Rita Heard Days, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
November 25, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, MO 63105  

RE: RFP 2020-14-PR  
Rebranding  

Dear County Executive Page,  

St. Louis County Children's Service Fund (CSF) in conjunction with the Division of Procurement solicited a Request for Proposals (RFP) for rebranding services. Through the development of the strategic plan last year, the staff and board explored CSF's role both in government and in philanthropy in the wider context of the St. Louis community and identified five strategies to guide and inform the Fund's grant making, communications, administrative, and program activities over the next three to five years. As part of this strategic plan, CSF board prioritized enhancing the awareness of services available in the community, as well as elevating the organization's role supporting the well-being of the community. CSF sought to contract with a suitably qualified entity to lead CSF's rebranding initiative to provide design, content, messaging, and an external communications strategy to reach the community.

The RFP outlined the services required of the contractor and the evaluation criteria to be used in determining the best value to the County. The selection of the vendor was based upon the proposed approach to perform the scope of service, bidder qualifications, and proposed budget.

In response to our RFP advertisement we received five proposals. An evaluation committee consisting of three scoring members representing CSF and one non-scoring member from the Division of Procurement was convened to review the proposals. Using the evaluation criteria stated above and in the RFP, the evaluation committee members independently reviewed and scored each proposal. The committee determined that the best value is offered by The Vandiver Group, Inc. in the amount of $90,150.

We are requesting that the contract is for the term of one year from the fully executed contract with the option to renew at the discretion of CSF. CSF allows option to renew contract for up to three (3) years, to be determined by CSF at the conclusion of the first year.

Attached is the information required by Chapter 107.132 (5). I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for this contract.
Sincerely,

[Signature]

Emily Koenig
Acting Executive Director
St. Louis County Children's Service Fund

cc: Division of Procurement
November 23, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
Members of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Government Center
Clayton, MO 63105

Members of the Council:

Per Section 107.132 SLCRO, "the purchasing agent shall review the evaluation committee's recommendation to determine if the RFP (Request for Proposals) process was followed. If the purchasing agent determines that the RFP process was followed, he shall complete a written certification."

I have reviewed the documentation presented by the Children's Services Fund for RFP 2020-14-PR and certify the RFP process was followed according to Section 107.132 SLCRO.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Tod A. Martin
Director of Administration
Acting Director of Procurement
## FISCAL NOTE

### CHAPTER 107.132 (5) REQUESTS FOR PROPOSALS

**Title:** Children's Service Fund  
**RFP 2020-14-PR**

**Date:** November 25, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>Request for Proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (a)</td>
<td>The Vandiver Group $90,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (b)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County 107.132 (5) (b)</td>
<td>St. Louis County Children's Service Fund General Revenue Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td>Provide design, content, messaging, and an external communications strategy pertaining to community outreach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level 107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders) 107.132 (5) (d)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AN ORDINANCE

DECLARING THE PUBLIC NECESSITY OF AND PROVIDING FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF VORHOF DRIVE BRIDGE NO. 106; OPENING, WIDENING, AND ESTABLISHING A SECTION OF PUBLIC ROAD DESIGNATED AS VORHOF DRIVE, LYING WHOLLY WITHIN UNINCORPORATED ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI; DIRECTING THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY THEREFOR; AND AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE CONTRACTS, AGREEMENTS, AND RELATED DOCUMENTS (CR-1775).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. A section of public road designated Vorhof Drive, including the right-of-way comprising Vorhof Drive Bridge No. 106, is hereby opened, widened and established, from approximately 100 feet west of the centerline of Blackjack Creek to approximately 100 feet east of the centerline of Blackjack Creek, lying wholly within the unincorporated area of St. Louis County, Missouri, the various components of which establishment are more particularly described as follows:

A. THREE (3) PERMANENT DRAINAGE EASEMENTS (P.D.E.) ARE HEREBY ESTABLISHED AS FOLLOWS:

P.D.E. – Parcel No. MSD 1

A tract of land being part of Parcel 3 of the tracts of land conveyed to the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (hereinafter MSD Parcel 3) by instrument recorded in Deed Book 9265, Page 1751 of the St. Louis County records, situated in United States Survey 110, Township 46 North, Range 7 East, in St. Louis County, Missouri, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the North Line of Vorhof Drive as established by Hathaway Manor No. 3, a subdivision according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 69, Page 40 of the St. Louis County Records, with the West Line of MSD Parcel 3 as aforementioned; thence along said West Line, North 17 degrees 32 minutes 02 seconds West, 10.02 feet to a point; thence departing said West Line, North 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds East, 80.18 feet to a point on the East Line of MSD Parcel 3, as aforementioned; thence along said East Line, South 17 degrees 32 minutes 02 seconds East, 10.02 feet to a point on the North Line of Vorhof Drive, as aforementioned; thence along said North Line, South 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds West, 80.18 feet to the point of beginning, according to calculations based on right of way plans prepared under St. Louis County Project CR-1775, and containing 802 square feet, more or less.

This property description does not constitute a property boundary survey, but has been written based on a property boundary survey prepared by the St. Louis County Department of Transportation.
P.D.E. – Parcel No. MSD 2

A tract of land being part of Parcel 3 of the tracts of land conveyed to the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (hereinafter MSD Parcel 3) by instrument recorded in Deed Book 9265, Page 1751 of the St. Louis County records, situated in United States Survey 110, Township 46 North, Range 7 East, in St. Louis County, Missouri, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the West Line of MSD Parcel 3 as aforementioned, with the South Line of Vorhof Drive as established by Hathaway Manor No. 3, a subdivision according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 69, Page 40 of the St. Louis County Records; thence along said South Line, North 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds East, 80.18 feet to a point on the East Line of MSD Parcel 3, as aforementioned; thence along said East Line, South 17 degrees 32 minutes 02 seconds East, 11.84 feet to a point; thence South 4 degrees 39 minutes 32 seconds East, 5.25 feet to a point; thence departing said East Line, South 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds West, 81.01 feet to a point on the West Line of MSD Parcel 3, as aforementioned; thence along said West Line, North 4 degrees 39 minutes 32 seconds West, 8.96 feet to a point; thence North 17 degrees 32 minutes 02 seconds West, 8.17 feet to the point of beginning, according to calculations based on right of way plans prepared under St. Louis County Project CR-1775, and containing 1,369 square feet, more or less.

This property description does not constitute a property boundary survey, but has been written based on a property boundary survey prepared by the St. Louis County Department of Transportation.

P.D.E. – Parcel No. 1

A tract of land being part of Lot 47 of Hathaway Manor No. 3, a subdivision according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 69, Page 40 of the St. Louis County records, situated in United States Survey 110, Township 46 North, Range 7 East, in St. Louis County, Missouri, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the intersection of the East Line of Lot 47, as aforementioned, with the North Line of Vorhof Drive as established by the aforementioned Hathaway Manor No. 3; thence along said North Line, South 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds West, 71.70 feet to a point; thence departing said North Line, North 13 degrees 42 minutes 02 seconds West, 10.00 feet to a point; thence North 76 degrees 17 minutes 58 seconds East, 71.03 feet to a point on the East Line of Lot 47, as aforementioned; thence along said East Line, South 17 degrees 32 minutes 02 seconds East, 10.02 feet to the point of beginning, according to calculations based on right of way plans prepared under St. Louis County Project CR-1775, and containing 714 square feet, more or less.

This property description does not constitute a property boundary survey, but has been written based on a property boundary survey prepared by the St. Louis County Department of Transportation.
B. IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING, SUCH TEMPORARY SLOPING, DRAINAGE, DEMOLITION AND CONSTRUCTION LICENSES AS WILL BE NECESSARY TO PROPERLY PROVIDE FOR ALL THE CONSTRUCTION REQUIREMENTS OF THIS PROJECT ARE HEREBY ESTABLISHED.

SECTION 2. It is herewith found, determined, and declared that a public necessity exists for the opening, widening, and establishing of the public road as described in Section 1. Said opening, widening, and establishing is herewith deemed beneficial to the whole of St. Louis County and said road is deemed an indispensable and integral part of the County Highway System.

SECTION 3. The Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works (the “Director”) and the County Counselor are hereby authorized and directed to acquire by negotiation, purchase, gift, condemnation or otherwise all real property or license in property necessary to open, widen, establish, construct, reconstruct, and improve said road including the acquisition of such temporary sloping, drainage, demolition, and construction licenses necessary for construction purposes. The Director is also hereby authorized to revise Right-of-Way plans as deemed necessary to address engineering considerations and negotiated settlements with property owners.

SECTION 4. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is hereby authorized to execute any contract, agreement, or other document necessary to carry out the intent and purpose of this ordinance.

ADOPTED: ________________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ________________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ________________________________  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM: ________________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
Honorable Rochelle Walton Gray, Vice-Chair and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW") to open, widen and establish Vorhof Drive in the 4th Council District for the purposes of completing St. Louis County Project No. CR-1775.

The authorization of this establishment will enable the department to modify right-of-way plans and acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project which is intended to replace the functionally obsolete Vorhof Drive Bridge (Bridge No. 106) over Blackjack Creek.

Stephanie Leon-Streeter, Acting Director of T&PW, states that right-of-way plans and legal descriptions for this project were approved on October 9, 2020 and that a proposed Ordinance has been prepared by the department and forwarded to the County Counselor for further review. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kom
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
November 24, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

4th Council District

RE: Establishment of Vorhof Drive
St. Louis County Project No. CR-1775
Federal Project No. BRO-BO96(006)

Dear County Executive Page:

Right-of-way plans and legal descriptions are prepared for the replacement of Vorhof Drive Bridge No. 106, St. Louis County Project No. CR-1775 over Blackjack Creek. The proposed improvement includes replacing the existing functionally obsolete bridge with a new structure that meets current design standards.

I approved the Right-of-way plans for this project on October 9, 2020.

The establishment of Vorhof Drive will enable this Department to modify Right-of-Way plans and acquire the necessary property rights to complete this project.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for the above referenced establishment. I have forwarded a proposed Ordinance to the County Counselor to assist in drafting this legislation.

Sincerely,

Joseph W. Kulessa, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director

SLS:JWK:jlh

cc: Beth Orwick, St. Louis County Counselor (with Draft of Ordinance)
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH WALTER P. MOORE AND ASSOCIATES, INC. TO PROVIDE ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES RELATED TO ST. LOUIS COUNTY PUBLIC WORKS PROJECT NO. 20-10-OC, WHICH PERTAINS TO REPAIRS OF THE COUNTY COURTS BUILDING AND THE COURTS GARAGE; AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS AND TO REVISE THE SCHEDULE OF WORK ACTIVITIES AS NECESSARY.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Walter P. Moore and Associates, Inc. (“WPM”).

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for WPM to provide engineering design services relating to St. Louis County Public Works Project No. 20-10-OC, which pertains to repairs to the County Courts Building and the Courts Garage; for a contract term to commence upon execution and continue through completion of the required scope of work; for payment to WPM in an amount not to exceed $61,500; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

SECTION 3. The Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works is authorized to execute such documents as are necessary to effectuate the contract authorized by Section 1, and to execute such documents as are necessary to revise the contractual schedule of work activities from time-to-time as the Director deems necessary and prudent.

ADOPTED: ____________________________ CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: __________________________

BIL NO. ____________, 2020
ORDINANCE NO. ____________, 2020
Introduced by Councilmember Clancy
ATTEST: ____________________
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

_____________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair  
and Members of the St. Louis County Council  
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building  
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize a contract for engineering design services with Walter P Moore and Associates, Inc. ("WPM") for the purpose of completing St. Louis County Public Works Project No. 20-10-OC intended to complete repairs to the County Courts Garage and Courts Building. The term of the proposed contract will commence upon execution and continue through completion of the required scope of work. The cost of the contract is for an amount not to exceed $61,500 which is available in and will be paid from the Transportation Garage Repair Fund.

This proposed contract results from a Request for Qualifications ("RFQ") issued in accordance with 8.285-8.291 RSMo which generated 6 responses. An evaluation committee comprised of three subject matter experts from the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW"), Division of Public Works, convened to evaluate the proposals and determined that WPM could successfully complete the scope of work requested in the RFQ at a fair and reasonable cost.

Under the terms of the contract, WPM will be responsible for completing site inspection and review of the garage, review of traffic floor coating, and design of repairs and preparation of competitive bid documents. WPM will additionally provide administration services during the construction phase to answer contractor questions and review the installation and completion of repair work.

If the Council determines to approve this request, I additionally request that the Acting Director of T&PW be authorized to execute documents necessary to carry out the intent of the contract and complete the project, and to revise the schedule of work as may be deemed necessary. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page  
County Executive

SP/kcm  
Attachment  
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Jamie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 2, 2020

Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central Avenue  
St. Louis, Missouri 63105

5th Council District

RE: Request for Legislation  
Professional Services Agreement Between Walter P Moore and St. Louis County  
Engineering Design Services at the County Courts Garage—SLCPW Project #20-10-OC

Dear County Executive Page:

The Department of Transportation and Public Works requests authority to enter into a contract with Walter P Moore for Engineering Design Services necessary for the repairs of the County Courts Garage and Courts Building.

Several garage and building structural elements are in need of repair that include a deteriorated exterior building column at the northeast corner of the building from level two to level three and two beams in the southeast air shaft that exhibit signs of heavy spalling and deterioration.

Walter P Moore’s Scope of Services will include:

- Site inspection of the garage with chains and hammers to identify slab delaminations and issues with embedded post tensioning tendons.
- Site review of previous patches at columns to verify patch bond and continued structural integrity.
- Review of traffic floor coating.
- Design of repairs and preparation of competitive bid documents for one exterior building column, beam repairs at the southeast air shaft and floor coating repairs.
- Construction administration services during repairs to answer contractor questions and review the installation of the work.

The Department of Transportation and Public Works has negotiated a design fee of $61,500 based on the attached scope of services. Funding is available from the Transportation garage repair funds.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority for the above referenced contract.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Acting Director

Enclosure—Proposal and Agreement for Professional Services
SCHEDULE 5D11

SCOPE OF BASIC SERVICES
Parking Structure

Phase IA: Basic Parking Structure Assessment

Basic Services

In general Walter P. Moore's scope of Basic Services will consist of a structural evaluation of the parking structure to make a reasonable assessment of the present condition and to identify potential liabilities. Walter P. Moore will provide the following scope of services:

A. Walter P. Moore will review original construction documents to become familiar with the design concept of the structure. Walter P. Moore will review available assessment reports and previous repair documents to become familiar with historical performance of the structure. A review of the structural design or a comprehensive check of the drawings is not within the scope of the proposal.

B. Walter P. Moore will visit the project site to perform the following:
   a. Observe exposed and readily accessible floor and overhead structural elements without the use of scaffolds, swing stages, selective demolition or the removal of existing finishes. Specifically, the scope is restricted to viewing the structure for evidence of structural distress, areas of deterioration and to identify visible potential or suspected structural problem areas.
   b. Review existing traffic coating condition.
   c. Sound concrete floor surfaces directly above post-tensioned beams below to attempt to identify audible delaminations and issues with embedded post-tensioning tendons.
   d. Sound concrete floor surfaces of Levels P-2, P-3, P-4 using chains and hammers to identify audible slab delaminations and post-tensioning tendon distress.
   e. Visually review columns with extensive previous repairs and perform isolated sounding of repairs to identify their bond to substrate. Isolated sounding will be performed without the use of scaffold or ladders.
   f. Visually review structural framing of the southeast air shaft on all levels. It is assumed that safe access to the structural members can be provided or will be provided by the CLIENT.
   g. The scope does not cover evaluation of the guard rail cables and their anchorages.

C. Walter P. Moore will prepare a brief letter report that contains a summary of significant observations and conceptual recommendations for repairs of the observed distress. This report is not intended to be a construction document for the performance of repairs.
Phase II: Construction Documents Preparation

I. Basic Services

In general, Walter P. Moore’s scope of Basic Services will consist of the following activities: preparation of plans, details, and specifications for competitive bidding and implementation of selected repairs. A "task item" format will be utilized to develop repair approach, method, and to specify appropriate materials for the various types of distress noted within the structures. Upgrades/improvements will also be identified as a task item, if requested. The task items will then form the basis for the development of the plans, details, and specifications and to define the scope of the repair work. Walter P. Moore will provide the following scope of services:

A. Walter P. Moore will prepare construction documents, technical specifications, and bid documents for the following repair items selected by the CLIENT:
   a. Concrete repairs of structural framing of the southeast stair shaft from Level P2 to Level P3.
   b. Provide opening for a new access panel. Strengthening of the existing structure or design of supplemental structure to accommodate the new opening, if required, is not included in the scope of Basic Services.
   c. Concrete repairs of exterior column 16-U between Levels P2 and P3.
   d. Traffic coating repairs.

B. The repair documents will be compiled in a format appropriate for attachment to a bid package which can be used by the Client for obtaining competitive bids for the work. The CLIENT will provide General Conditions, Supplementary Conditions, and Bidder Instructions, as appropriate, for the bid package, and will coordinate bid issue and related activities.

C. Walter P. Moore will provide 50% Construction Document for review by CLIENT

D. Walter P. Moore will participate in one meeting with the CLIENT to review provided documents.

E. Walter P. Moore will incorporate comments and provide final signed and sealed construction documents for bidding.

Phase III: Bidding Services

I. Basic Services

A. Walter P. Moore will participate in a pre-bid meeting at the project site.

B. Walter P. Moore will prepare and issue up to 1 addendums to modify the construction documents if required.

C. Walter P. Moore will assist in bid evaluation and contractor selection.

Phase IV: Construction Administration Services

I. Basic Services

In general, Walter P. Moore’s scope of Basic Services will consist of the following activities:

A. Walter P. Moore will review and take appropriate action on material submittals and material substitutions related to the project. Up to one re-review of project

.
submittals is included in the scope of Basic Services. Additional reviews are not covered in the scope of Basic Services.

B. Walter P. Moore will answer questions the contractor may have regarding construction and will respond to project related RFI's.

C. Walter P. Moore will visit the project site during the repair project and prepare field reports. For the purposes of this project we have estimated a total of 3 site visits.

D. Walter P. Moore will attend periodic progress meetings during construction. It is assumed that the progress meetings will be held as a phone conference only. A total of 6 roll-in progress meetings are included in this proposal.

E. Walter P. Moore will prepare a punchlist upon substantial completion of the project and verify that the work has been satisfactorily executed upon final completion.
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A COST-SHARE AGREEMENT WITH THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (“MODOT”) FOR SUPPORT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY PROJECT NO. AR/CR-1837, WHEREIN ST. LOUIS COUNTY AND MODOT WILL JOINTLY COMPLETE THE STUDY, DESIGN, AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS ALONG VARIOUS COUNTY-MAINTAINED ROADWAYS; AND AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a cost-share agreement with the Missouri Department of Transportation (“MoDOT”).

SECTION 2. The agreement authorized in Section 1 shall provide for County and MoDOT to jointly study, design, and implement safety improvements along various County-maintained roadways as a part of St. Louis County Project No. AR/CR-1837; for County and MoDOT each to contribute an amount not to exceed $2,000,000 towards the project, with the total cost of the project not to exceed $4,000,000; for County to deposit its $2,000,000 contribution with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission (“MHTC”) prior to the start of work; for the MHTC to return the County’s portion of any unexpended contributed funds in the event that project costs are less than $4,000,000; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

SECTION 3. The Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works is authorized to execute any documents necessary to effectuate the agreement authorized by Section 1.
ADOPTED: ____________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

___________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize the execution of a cost sharing agreement with the Missouri Department of Transportation ("MoDOT") for St. Louis County Project No. AR/CR-1837 intended to complete the study, design and implementation of safety improvements along County-maintained roadways. If the Council approves the agreement, County and MoDOT will each contribute 50% of the total project cost - an amount not to exceed $4,000,000. Of the total cost, County will contribute $2,000,000 which was budgeted for in the 2020 budget allocation for the Transportation Capital Construction Program.

If the Council determines to approve this request, funding for the project will be deposited with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission ("MHTC") prior to the start of work. In the event that the project costs are less than the above not to exceed amount, the County will be refunded its 50% portion of any such excess funds.

Joe Kulessa, Acting Deputy Director of the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW"), states that this project is part of MoDOT's statewide effort to reduce crashes and fatalities occurring on non-state roadways. Mr. Kulessa further states that the study, design and implementation will take place along various routes maintained by the County, and that T&PW and MoDOT are working in coordination to determine the specific locations that will be addressed.

If approved, I further request that the Acting Director of T&PW be authorized to execute documents necessary to effectuate the agreement and complete the project. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 1, 2020

Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

All Council Districts

Re: Safety Cost Share Agreement
St. Louis County Project No. AR/CR-1837

Dear County Executive Page:

The Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT) has offered to partner with St. Louis County in a cost share agreement to study, design and implement safety improvements on County maintained roadways. This is part of a MoDOT effort to reduce statewide crashes and fatalities occurring on non-state maintained roadways. MoDOT will lead both the study, design and construction efforts for this project. The precise locations of the safety improvements have not yet been determined, but will be located on and along various routes maintained by St. Louis County.

The total cost of this work will not exceed $4,000,000, with 50% ($2,000,000) of funds provided by St. Louis County, and 50% ($2,000,000) of funds provided by MoDOT. Per MoDOT requirements, this funding will be deposited with the Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission prior to the start of work, and St. Louis County will be refunded any difference should the actual cost be lower. Funding for this work was included in the 2020 Transportation Capital Construction Program.

I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority to enter into a cost-share agreement with MoDOT to include the aforementioned improvements as part of the MoDOT safety improvements project.

Sincerely,

Joseph W. Knlessa, P.E.
Acting Deputy Director

GB:WK3lh
FISCAL NOTE
CHAPTER 1105.010(7)

Title: Safety Cost Share Agreement -- AR/CR-1837
Date: December 1, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Firm:</td>
<td>Missouri Department of Transportation (MoDOT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Firms Submitting Qualifications Statements:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County</td>
<td>5080-3100-7830-7848-527510-0000-0000-00000000-0000-T8300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts</td>
<td>Financial support provided to MoDOT for the construction of safety improvements to major roads that are not part of the State Highway system and are owned and maintained by St. Louis County. The precise locations of the safety improvements have not yet been determined.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level</td>
<td>Not an expansion of a current program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders)</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE I, CHAPTER 110 SLCRO 1974, AS AMENDED, DIVISION OF LAW, BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 110.040 PERTAINING TO EMPLOYMENT OR CONTRACTING FOR SPECIAL COUNSEL.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title I, Chapter 110 SLCRO 1974 as amended, is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 110.040, which shall read as follows:

110.040 Special Counsel. -- The County Counselor may employ or contract with special counsel to represent and advise the County with respect to any bond issue, borrowing of money in anticipation of collection of taxes and revenues, any matter wherein a department, division, office, officer, board, commission or other agency or body of the County government is involved with a dispute with the County or any other matter requiring the services of special counsel. The compensation of any special counsel shall be fixed by the County Counselor and shall be paid out of the appropriation for the Division of Law, the department, division, office, officer, commission, agency or fund concerned. Any counsel so employed shall devote to the service of the County only such portion of his time as shall be necessary for the performance of his duties. Any contract for outside legal counsel shall first be authorized by ordinance by the county council prior to execution. Any other contract over $10,000 relating to the county counselor or for services to be provided to the office of the county counselor shall require council approval. All new contracts relating to the county counselor or for services provided to
the office of the county counselor shall be reported weekly to the county council. Notwithstanding any other provision herein, the County Counselor is expressly authorized to contract for outside legal counsel in employment related matters, civil rights and similar actions, and matters related to COVID; however, the contracts authorized by this provision shall each be limited to an average hourly rate for services provided under each contract not to exceed $400.

ADOPTED: ____________________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

ENACTED: ____________________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ______________________________

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
TO: Beth Orwick, County Counselor  
FROM: Ernie Trakas, Councilman 6th District, and Kelli Dunaway, Councilwoman 2nd District  
Date: December 14, 2020  
RE: Amending Section 110.040 SLCRO  

Please draft the appropriate legislation for introduction during the December 15, 2020 regular meeting of the St. Louis County Council to amend Section 110.040 SLCRO to provide for authority to contract for outside legal counsel in employment related matters, civil rights and similar actions, and matters related to COVID; however, the contracts authorized by the proposed amendment shall each be limited to an average hourly rate for services provided under each contract not to exceed $400.

cc: Diann Valenti, Administrative Director
Honorable Kelli Dunaway
December 14, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to amend Section 110.040 SLCRO.

The purpose of the amendment is to authorize the County Counselor to contract for outside legal counsel in employment related matters, civil rights and similar actions, and matters related to COVID; however, the contracts authorized by the proposed amendment shall each be limited to an average hourly rate for services provided under each contract not to exceed a blended average rate of $400.

Sincerely,

Beth Orwick
County Counselor
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance and the Official Zoning District Maps which are a part thereof, are amended by transferring from the "C-8" Planned Commercial District to the "M-1" Industrial District on the said maps, the following described land:

Lot 2 of Evergreen Place according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 47 Page 51 of the St. Louis County, Missouri Records, and is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the southwest corner of said lot, also said point being on the North line of Dunn Road; thence North 12 degrees 39 minutes 33 seconds East a distance of 415.51 feet to a point; thence South 77 degrees 14 minutes East a distance of 104.88 feet to a point; thence South 12 degrees 38 minutes 39 seconds West a distance of 415.51 feet to a point on the North line of Dunn Road; thence North 77 degrees 14 minutes West a distance of 104.88 feet to the point of beginning and containing 1.00 Acres more or less.

SECTION 2. The St. Louis County Council, pursuant to the recommendation of the St. Louis County Planning Commission that the petition of One Transportation LLC, as amended, be granted, after public hearing held by said Commission on November 9, 2020, does adopt this ordinance pursuant to the St. Louis County Charter authorizing the Council to exercise legislative power pertaining to planning and zoning.
ADOPTED:                   CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED:                   COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:                     ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

COUNTY COUNSELOR
The petition listed below is hereby transmitted for your consideration:

Petition No.: P.C. 18-20
Petitioner: One Transportation LLC
Request: C-8 to M-3
Tract Size: 1.0 acres
Location: North side of Dunn Road, approximately 100 feet east of North Garden Drive.
Hearing Date: November 9, 2020
In Favor: Letters-2; Crowd Count-12
Opposed: Crowd Count-1
Decision Date: December 7, 2020
Recommendation: Approval of M-1 zoning in lieu of M-3
Vote: 5-0
Report: Attachment A
Departmental Comments: Attachment B
Preliminary Development Plan: Attachment C
Fire District: Spanish Lake
School District: Hazelwood
Council District: Fourth

A sketch of the property is also attached. The legal description is on file with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,
ST. LOUIS COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Wayne Hilzinger, Chairman

ATTEST:

Gail Choate
Acting Director of Planning

ST. LOUIS COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
41 South Central Avenue - Clayton, MO 63105-1725 - PH 314/615-2520 - FAX 314/615-3729 - Relay MO 711 or 800-735-2966
Web http://www.stlouisco.com
ATTACHMENT A

AREA LAND USE AND ZONING

The subject 1.0 acre tract of land is located in North St. Louis County on the north side of Dunn Road, east of North Garden Drive. The site is elevated above Dunn Road and the topography descends to the southwest. The site is currently zoned C-8 Planned Commercial District and is occupied by a vacant veterinary office. Surrounding land uses and zoning include the following:

To the north: Abutting the site to the north is a large tract in the M-1 Industrial District occupied by the Harmon Transit trucking company. Further north are single family homes along Larimore Road in the R-4 7,500 square foot Residence District.

To the east: East of the site are a sign company, storage facility, advertising sign and the Harmon Transit trucking company, located in the M-1 Industrial District. Further east is a large manufacturing office/warehouse facility and a shingle storage facility in the M-1 Industrial District as well as the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway right-of-way.

To the south: South across Interstate 270 is the City of Bellefontaine Neighbors, developed with scattered single family residences along the highway frontage.

To the west: Immediately adjacent to the site is a parcel zoned M-1 Industrial District with a Conditional Use Permit that authorizes a vehicle repair facility via P.C. 146-88 Eberhard and Anita Pflitzer. An advertising sign is currently situated on the tract. Further west, across North Garden Drive is a vacant motel and a service station in the C-4 Highway Service Commercial District. North of the motel is the North Garden Apartment complex in the R-6 2,000 square foot Residence District. Single family homes with frontage along Larimore Road extend further north. A conglomeration of commercial uses exist westward toward Bellefontaine Road along Dunn and Larimore Roads and Sierra Vista Parkway in the C-2 Shopping and C-8 Planned Commercial Districts.

DEPARTMENTAL/AGENCY COMMENTS

The St. Louis County Department of Transportation, the Missouri Department of Transportation, and the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District have submitted comments relative to this petition which are included in Attachment B.

SITE AND AREA BACKGROUND

The petitioned site and several adjoining parcels in the northwest quadrant of Dunn Road and the Burlington Northern Railroad were zoned M-1 Industrial District with the adoption of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance in 1965.

The petitioned site was the subject of P.C. 93-89 Dr. John G. Adams, a request for a change in zoning from M-1 Industrial District to C-8 Planned Commercial District to allow the reutilization
of the existing single family residence as a veterinary clinic. The request included five parking spaces added in front of the 1,500 square foot home, and four employee parking spaces to the rear of the house. The Commission noted the site was sandwiched between an office/warehouse use and a vehicle repair facility as well as the commercially zoned and developed parcels to the west primarily along Dunn, Bellefontaine, and Larimore Roads. Frontage along Dunn Road, the outer road to Interstate 270, contributed to the adaptability of commercial zoning at this location. The Commission felt that the requested C-8 District zoning was consistent with the development pattern in the area. The County Council concurred, adopting C-8 Ordinance 14,566. The veterinary clinic has been vacant for at least one year.

Also of note is P.C. 146-88 Eberhard and Anita Pfitzner, a request for a Conditional Use Permit procedure on the tract adjoining the subject site to the west. The C.U.P. was granted for a five bay vehicle repair facility. The Commission found the proposed use was consistent with the commercial/industrial land use pattern in the area. In 1996, the petitioner requested an amendment to the Conditional Use Permit to reduce building and parking setbacks from the northern limits of the site from eighty feet to thirty, noting the current setback created problems relating to excavation, stormwater extension, and parking dimensions. The Commission reviewed the file and found that the eighty foot parking setback was excessive and thirty feet would be sufficient considering the grade difference between the site and adjacent properties. The eighty foot building setback was maintained to avoid intrusion of the facility into the abutting multiple family development, and the Commission added a requirement for sight-proof fencing to screen the outdoor storage of vehicles. The vehicle repair facility is still in operation.

PETITIONER’S REQUEST

The petitioner is requesting a change in zoning from C-8 Planned Commercial District to M-3 Planned Industrial District for 1.0 acre site on the north side of Dunn Road, approximately 100 feet east of North Garden Drive. The petitioner indicated the proposed use of the site is a non-emergency medical transport and medical supply facility. The existing building would be used in place for office space. At Public Hearing, the petitioner indicated the operation would begin with roughly fifteen medical transport vehicles (mini-vans with wheelchair ramps), with the potential increase to twenty-five vehicles. Proposed hours of operation would typically be Monday-Saturday 6:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M., with limited service on Sunday, or later if a client is discharged from the hospital and needs transportation.

The Site Development Plan presented at Public Hearing shows the existing 1,500 square foot building to be used in place and additional parking in the rear of the site. Access is proposed via an existing curb cut on Dunn Road. Four parking spaces in the front of the site would be removed to allow access to the rear of the site. Two of the spaces directly in front of the building are shown to be converted into a van accessible parking space. Thirty-one parking spaces are proposed north of the existing building for the medical transport vehicles. The site plan shows that four mature trees would be removed to accommodate the proposed parking. The existing sign would be replaced at the same location. At Public Hearing the petitioner indicated the rear of the property may be fenced for security purposes. The petitioner also indicated that the site is currently not connected to the sanitary sewer system, but a lateral does extend to the site so the connection can be made.
PUBLIC COMMENTS

At the virtual public hearing held on November 9, 2020 there were no speakers in favor and no speakers in opposition/with concern.

A poll at the termination of the Webex public hearing indicated twelve people were in favor of the petition and one was opposed or had concerns regarding the petition.

ANALYSIS

The issues pertinent to this analysis is the appropriateness of industrial zoning, the use of the M-3 Planned Industrial District procedure at this location, and the suitability of the proposed use.

The petitioned tract was zoned M-1 Industrial District with the adoption of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance in 1965 when the site was occupied by a single family home. The site was used as a veterinary clinic for nearly three decades, and is situated between a vehicle service facility and an office/warehouse use, both allowed in the M-1 Industrial District. The Planning Commission is of the opinion that industrial zoning is appropriate at this location as it is consistent with the zoning pattern in the surrounding area.

The petitioned tract was zoned M-1 Industrial District with the adoption of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance in 1965. While the site was rezoned to C-8 Planned Commercial District in 1989 for use as a veterinary office, the use was compatible with surrounding M-1 permitted and conditional uses. The Planning Commission is of the opinion that the return to industrial zoning is appropriate at this location along Dunn Road, which is the outer road to Interstate 270 in this area.

While the petition is a request for M-3 Planned Industrial District zoning, the Planning Commission is of the opinion the special controls afforded by the procedure are not necessary at this site. The existing building and proposed parking meet the setback requirements of the M-1 Industrial District, with the exception of an existing garage in the rear of the site. The garage would either need to be removed or granted a Board of Zoning Adjustment variance to the side yard requirements. The proposed use for the site would be permitted by right as an office use with a parking area in the M-1 Industrial District. As such, the Planning Commission is of the opinion that M-1 District zoning is appropriate at this location. The proposal would still be subject to interdepartmental site plan review prior to the issuance of permits. The M-1 District regulations require a fifteen foot front yard landscape buffer planted with a minimum of one canopy tree and four shrubs, or one evergreen tree and four shrubs per twenty linear feet, rounded upward. The M-1 District regulations permit a sign size of 50 square feet, or 75 square feet for a monument sign, with a twenty-five foot setback. The existing sign does not meet the setback and would need to be relocated or the petitioner would need to seek a Board of Zoning Adjustment variance.

The Planning Commission considers the request for a change in zoning from C-8 Planned Commercial District to allow a medical transport and medical supply facility and all M-1 Industrial District permitted uses to be reasonable at this location, but finds the M-3 procedure is not necessary at this location. The Department finds the range of permitted uses in the M-1 District are in keeping with the land use pattern along Dunn Road in this area. The Commission would
therefore recommend M-1 Industrial District zoning in lieu of M-3 Planned Industrial District at the site.

**SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION**

Based on the previous considerations, the Planning Commission finds M-1 District Zoning most appropriate at this location. Therefore, the Planning Commission recommends approval of P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC, recommending M-1 Industrial District in lieu of M-3 Planned Industrial District.
P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC

Relative to this rezoning, we request that the following special conditions be met:

1. Conform to the requirements and/or recommendations of the Missouri Department of Transportation regarding Dunn Road in the area.

2. Access to this development from Dunn Road shall be directed by the Missouri Department of Transportation.

3. The developer is advised that utility companies will require compensation for relocation of their facilities within public road right-of-way. Utility relocation cost shall not be considered as an allowable credit against the petitioner’s traffic generation assessment contributions. The developer should also be aware of extensive delays in utility company relocation and adjustments. Such delays will not constitute a cause to allow occupancy prior to completion of road improvements.

4. The developer shall contribute a Traffic Generation Assessment (TGA) to the Columbia Bottom – Lardmore - Lilac Trust Fund established by Ordinance Number 15,137. This contribution shall not exceed an amount established by multiplying the ordinance-required parking spaces for the difference between the existing and proposed uses by the following rate schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Development</th>
<th>Required Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Office</td>
<td>$777.11/parking space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>$1,088.04/parking space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   (Parking Space as required by the site-specific ordinance or by section 1003.165 of the Saint Louis County Zoning Ordinance.)

   If types of development proposed differ from those listed, rates shall be provided by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation.

   Allowable credits for required roadway improvements will be awarded as directed by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation. Sidewalk construction and utility relocation, among other items, are not considered allowable credits.

5. As this development is located within a trust fund area established by Saint Louis County, any portion of the traffic generation assessment contribution that remains following completion of road improvements required by the development shall be retained in the appropriate trust fund.

6. The amount of the required contribution, if not approved for construction by January 1, 2021, shall be adjusted on that date and on the first day of January in
P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC

each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index as determined by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation.

Relative to this petition, we request that the following grading condition and stormwater control requirements be met:

1. A land disturbance permit is required prior to any grading on the site. No change in watersheds shall be permitted.

2. The petitioner shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits from the Department of Natural Resources as they relate to the development of this tract.

3. Submit to the Planning Commission an engineering plan approved by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District showing that adequate handling of the stormwater drainage of the site is provided.

   - The developer shall provide adequate stormwater systems in accordance with Saint Louis County and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District standards.
   - All stormwater shall be discharged at an adequate natural discharge point.
   - Detention of differential runoff of stormwater is at the discretion of MSD. If required, it shall be provided in permanent detention facilities, such as dry reservoirs, ponds, or another acceptable alternative. The detention facilities shall be completed and in operation prior to the issuance of building permits exceeding sixty percent (60%) of the approved dwelling units or paving of any driveways or parking areas.

4. Prior to issuance of foundation or building permits, all approvals from the Department of Planning, the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation, the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Saint Louis Sewer District shall be provided to the Saint Louis County Department of Public Works.

In addition, we request the following general conditions be met:

1. Traffic generation assessment contributions shall be deposited with Saint Louis County prior to the issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits. If development phasing is anticipated, the developer shall provide the traffic generation assessment contribution prior to issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits for each phase, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land
P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC
Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits for each phase of development.

2. Adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees shall be provided. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.

cc: One Transportation, LLC, c/o Otis Lyles, 305 Lynn Drive, Alton, Illinois 62012
Vance Engineering, Inc., 10537 Lackland Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63114
October 29, 2020

Ms. Gail Choate
St. Louis County Department of Planning
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

RE: St. Louis County Planning Commission
Notice of Public Hearing – Monday November 9, 2020

Dear Ms. Choate:

The Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District has reviewed the sites listed on the November 9, 2020 Notice of Public Hearing and has the following preliminary comments:

- **P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC, c/o Otis Lyles, 305 Lynn Drive, Alton, IL 62002**
  
  A request for a change in zoning from C-5 Planned Commercial District to M-3 Planned Industrial District for a 1.0 acre tract of land located on the north side of Dunn Road, approximately 100 feet east of North Garden Drive (1331 Dunn Road). **Proposed Use:** Office/warehouse, including medical supply and transportation services, and all M-1 permitted uses.

  1. Formal MSD review, approval and permits are required.
  2. The site must be connected to the public sanitary sewer system.
  3. A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
  4. A portion of the site is tributary to an existing detention basin. Any added impervious surfaces that area would be subject to Flood Protection Volume and Channel Protection Volume.
  5. Approval from MoDOT may be required prior to plan approval.
  6. New encroachments will not be allowed.

- **P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani, c/o John Anselmo, 1212 Chambers Road, St. Louis, MO 63135**
  
  A request for an Amended C-8 Planned Commercial District for a 0.78 acre tract of land located in the southeast corner of Reiman Road and Lusher Road (Hwy 367) (11792 Lusher Road). **Proposed Use:** Convenience store and all C-2 Shopping District permitted uses.

  1. Formal MSD review, approval and permits are required.
  2. A sanitary sewer extension may be required.
  3. A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
Debi,

Below are MoDOT comments for P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC:

- Any work in MoDOT right of way will require detailed plans to be submitted to MoDOT for review and approval.
- Any work in MoDOT right of way will require a permit issued from this office.
- As MoDOT has an active project (I-270 project) in the area any proposed plans and any work will also need to be coordinated and approved through the design build team and this could cause delays in any approvals. If there is a conflict with the proposed work for this development & MoDOT's project the development will shall stop their work within MoDOT right of way until such time the MoDOT team has approved them to begin again.

If you have any questions or comments feel free to contact me.

Jay-Jay Braden  
Missouri Department of Transportation  
Sr. Traffic Specialist - St. Louis County  
2620 Adie Road, Maryland Heights, MO 63043  
Mobile: 636.628.5867  Fax: 573.522.6491  

St. Louis Permits Home Page: https://www.modot.org/stlouis-district/permits/index.cfm  
Permit request form: https://www.modot.org/stlouis-district/permits/permitsRequest.cfm  
Lane Closure request form: https://www.modot.org/stlouis-district/permits/permitRequest.cfm
St. Louis County Planning Commission will conduct a public hearing at 7:00 P.M. on Monday November 9, 2020 via Webex videoconference only. Instructions for joining the videoconference can be found at www.stlouisco.com/PLAN The Public Hearing Notice may be viewed on the Internet at: http://www.stlouisco.com or you may send comments via E-Mail to the Planning Commission c/o Debi Salberg at dsalberg@stlouisco.com The Planning Commission may recommend and the County Council may enact by ordinance a zoning district other than that requested in the petition, provided that the recommendation or ordinance is for a district classification of the same use type as that requested by the petition. The following request will be considered at that time.

P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC, c/o Otis Lyles, 305 Lynn Drive, Alton, IL 62002 – A request for a change in zoning from C-8 Planned Commercial District to M-3 Planned Industrial District for a 1.0 acre tract of land located on the north side of Dunn Road, approximately 100 feet east of North Garden Drive (1331 Dunn Road). Proposed Use: Office/warehouse, including medical supply and transportation services, and all M-1 permitted uses.
Legal Description

Lot 2 of Evergreen Place according to the plat thereof recorded in Plat Book 47 Page 51 of the St. Louis County, Missouri Records, and is more particularly described as follows: Beginning at the southwest corner of said lot, also said point being on the North line of Dunn Road; thence North 12 degrees 39 minutes 33 seconds East a distance of 415.51 feet to a point; thence South 77 degrees 14 minutes East a distance of 104.88 feet to a point; thence South 12 degrees 38 minutes 39 seconds West a distance of 415.51 feet to a point on the North line of Dunn Road; thence North 77 degrees 14 minutes West a distance of 104.88 feet to the point of beginning and containing 1.00 Acres more or less.
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING THE APPLICATION AND PRELIMINARY PLANS, AS AMENDED, FOR THE AMENDED DEVELOPMENT IN THE "C-8" PLANNED COMMERCIAL DISTRICT OF A TRACT OF LAND, SUBJECT TO CONDITIONS; AND AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 10,895, AS AMENDED, BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 2 (P.C. 19-20 AMIN ALSHAWKANI).

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 2 of Ordinance No. 10,895, as amended, is repealed and reenacted as follows:

SECTION 2. The application for a Planned Commercial Development on the tract of land described in Section 1 is approved on condition that said development and plan is carried out in accordance with the preliminary and amended plans filed with the St. Louis County Planning Commission and forwarded to the County Council with a communication dated December 7, 2020, which preliminary and amended plans are also approved and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set out in and made a part of this ordinance, and subject to all applicable ordinances, laws and regulations and to the following conditions:

1. PERMITTED USES

   a. The uses allowed by this "C-8" Planned Commercial District shall be a food market[service station and a convenience store]. No alcohol shall be permitted. A new public hearing is required to allow alcohol sales.

2. SIZE, HEIGHT, AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS
a. The uses permitted in this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be contained in one building not to exceed 5,200 square feet.

b. Architectural elevations indicating building materials, colors and architectural style shall be approved by the Planning Commission in conjunction with approval of the Site Development Plan. Elevations shall include trash enclosure.

3. PLAN SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS.

[II.] Within eighteen (18) months of the preliminary development plan approval date by the County Council and prior to any site disturbance, the developer shall submit to the Planning Commission for its review and approval a Site Development Plan. Where due cause is shown by the developer, this time interval may be extended through appeal to and approval by the Planning Commission. Said Site Development Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

a. Outboundary and legal description of the property.
b. Location map of generalized nature, north arrow, and plan scale.
c. Location and size of all existing and proposed structures, including the freestanding business signs and retaining walls.
d. Location and size of all parking areas and corresponding parking calculations.
e. Existing and proposed contours at two (2) foot intervals.
f. Roadways and driveways on and adjacent to the property in question, including required right-of-way dedication.
g. The design, location, and size of all proposed lighting, fences, and trash areas.
h. A landscape plan including, but not limited to, the location, size, and species of all plant and other materials to be used. Such plan shall include existing tree masses to be retained. Tree preservation fencing shall be shown on the site plan, as appropriate.
i. A lighting plan including, but not limited to, location of all standards and fixtures and the proposed type of illuminating devices, fixtures, lamps, supports, reflectors, and a photometric plan denoting foot candle levels.

j. The approximate location of all stormwater facilities, water quality control measures and sanitary sewer facilities.

k. Parking and structure setbacks from adjacent property lines.

l. All other Site Development Plan requirements.

[III.] 4. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN [GENERAL] CRITERIA

[A.] The Site Development Plans shall include the following specific design criteria:

1. Location and size of all uses, buildings, parking areas, light standards, fencing, free-standing business signs, trash enclosures, and landscaping.
2. Existing and proposed contours at two (2) foot intervals.
3. Existing and proposed roadways, drives, and walkways on and adjacent to the property in question.

SPECIFIC CRITERIA

B. The Site Development Plan shall illustrate adherence to the following:

BUILDING REQUIREMENTS

1. a. The convenience store shall be located in the existing building on the site.
   b. The self-service station facility may have four pump islands and a canopy not exceeding 1600 square feet in area. The canopy may not exceed twenty (20) feet in height as measured from the average finished grade elevation.

STRUCTURE SETBACKS

a. No new building or structure, other than [a permitted directional or information] signs, [or] boundary and/or retaining walls, [or] fences, trash enclosure,
and/or light standards [which is six feet in height or less], shall be located within the following setbacks:

1) 50 [Fifteen (15)] feet from [the] right[s]-of-way of [Redman and] Lusher Road[s].
2) 50 [Ten (10) feet] from right-of-way of Redman Road, as approved on the site plan[any other property limits of this development].
3) 10 feet from the eastern limits of this C-8.
4) 30 feet from the southern limits of this C-8.

PARKING SETBACKS

b. No parking space, loading space, [stall] or internal drives [or roadway], excluding points of ingress and egress, shall be located within the following setbacks:
   1) 25 feet from the southern limits of this C-8.
   2) 15 feet from the eastern limits of this C-8.
   3) Other setbacks shall be as approved on the Site Development Plan.

[a. Fifteen (15) feet from the rights-of-way of Redman and Lusher roads.

b. Five (5) feet from any other property limits of this development.

c. The setback for internal drives and roadways from the rights-of-way of Redman and Lusher Roads shall be zero (0) feet.]

ACCESS AND ROAD IMPROVEMENTS

c. Conform to the requirements and/or recommendations of the Missouri Department of Transportation ("MoDOT") regarding Lusher Road and Redman Road in the area.

d. Access to this development from Lusher Road and Redman Road shall be as directed by MoDOT.

e. The Developer is advised that utility companies will require compensation for relocation of their utility facilities within public road right-of-way. Utility relocation cost shall not be considered as an allowable credit against the Developer’s traffic generation assessment contributions. The Developer should also be aware of extensive delays in utility company relocation and adjustments. Such delays will
not constitute a cause to allow occupancy prior to completion of road improvements.

f. Any work in the MoDOT right of way will require detailed plans to be submitted to MoDOT for review and approval.

g. Any work in MoDOT right of way will require a permit issued from MoDoT.

h. Reconfigure entrance along OR 367 to comply with MoDOT access management
   1) Entrance shall be 110 feet from centerline to centerline of the entrance to the south.
   2) Entrance shall not be relocated any closer to the intersection of Lusher Road and Redman Road
   3) Entrance width shall not exceed 30 feet for full access commercial drives.

i. Sidewalks along property frontage shall comply with current ADA standards.

j. A Traffic Impact Study (TIS) may be required if the proposed use has the potential to increase traffic significantly, as directed by MoDOT.

4. Provide necessary geometrics to provide for traffic generated by this development in accord with the requirements of the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department.

ACCESS

5. Access to this site shall be limited to one bi-directional drive from Redman and Lusher Roads at locations approved by the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department, the St. Louis County Department of Highways and Traffic, and the Planning Commission on the Site Development Plan.

PARKING [AND LOADING] REQUIREMENTS

k. A maximum of thirty parking spaces shall be provided.

l. One 10 x 25 foot loading space shall be required.

a. Parking for this development shall be provided in accord with the requirements specified in Section 1003.135 "C-3" Shopping District Regulations of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance.
b. Design of parking areas shall conform to the regulations contained in Section 1003.165 Supplementary Off-Street Parking and Loading Requirements, except that parking stalls may have a minimum width of nine (9) feet and length of nineteen (19) feet.

c. No loading space shall be required for this development.

LIGHTING REQUIREMENTS

m. The Developer shall submit a lighting plan on a separate drawing to be reviewed as part of the Site Development Plan. Lighting plan requirements shall be as set forth in Section 1003.169 Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

n. No source of illumination shall be so situated that light is cast directly on adjoining property or public right-of-way. Illumination levels shall comply with Section 1003.169 Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

6. [No on-site lighting standard shall exceed twenty-four (24) feet in height. The location of all lighting standards shall be as approved by the Planning Commission on the Site Development Plan.]

SIGN REQUIREMENTS

o. Signage shall be as per the C-2 Shopping District Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance at a location as approved on the Site Development Plan.

p. Free-standing signs shall be monument style.

8. [a. One (1) free standing business sign shall be permitted for this development at a location approved by the Planning Commission on the Site Development Plan in accord with the regulations in Section 1003.135 "C-3" Shopping District Regulations of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance.

b. All other signs shall be erected in accord with the regulations set forth in Section 1003.135 "C-3" Shopping District Regulations of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance. However, no advertising signs shall be permitted.]
LANDSCAPE PLAN

q. The Developer shall submit a landscape plan on a separate drawing to be reviewed as part of the Site Development Plan. Landscaping requirements shall be as per Section 103.162 Landscaping Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance, as approved on the Site Development Plan.

[9. Either as part of the Site Development Plan or on a separate drawing to be reviewed as part of the Site Development Plan the developer shall submit a Landscape Plan to comply with the following:

a. Structure and parking setbacks shall be adequately landscaped as approved by the Planning Commission on the Site Development Plan. Existing foliage may be used to fulfill this requirement.

b. All new landscaping material shall meet the following criteria:

(1) Deciduous trees two (2) inch minimum caliper.
(2) Evergreen trees four (4) feet minimum height.
(3) Shrubs – eighteen (18) inch minimum diameter.]

MISCELLANEOUS REQUIREMENTS

[10] r. All trash areas shall be enclosed with a six (6) foot high sight-proof masonry fence with gate and be appropriately landscaped around its perimeter as approved on the Site Development Plan.

s. Hours of operation shall be limited to 7:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.

[11. No pennants, fringe, lights, sound equipment or similar devices for attracting attention may be used in conjunction with this development.

12. Parking, circulation, and other applicable site design features shall comply with Chapter 1101, Section 316.0 "Physically Handicapped and Aged" of S.L.C.R.O. 1974, as amended.]
The Developer shall contribute a Traffic Generation Assessment (TGA) to the Parker - Redman Trust Fund established by Ordinance Number 14,471. The amount of this contribution shall not exceed an amount established by multiplying the ordinance-required parking spaces for the difference between the existing and proposed uses by the following rate schedule. However, credit shall be given for the required parking spaces of a previous use, using the current rates for that use. Should the total of the credit for the previous use be greater than the amount required for the new use, no contribution will be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Development</th>
<th>Required Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Retail</td>
<td>$2,331.45/parking space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parking space as required by the site-specific ordinance or by Section 1003.165 of the Zoning Ordinance.)

If types of development proposed differ from those listed, rates shall be provided by the Department of Transportation and Public Works.

Allowable credits for required roadway improvements will be awarded as directed by the Department of Transportation and Public Works. Sidewalk construction and utility relocation, among other items, are not considered allowable credits. The applicable rates limiting required road improvements shall be adjusted January 1, 2021, and on the first day of January in each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index as determined by the Department of Transportation and Public Works.

As this development is located within a trust fund area established by St. Louis County, any portion of the traffic generation assessment contribution that remains following completion of road improvements required by the development shall be retained in the appropriate trust fund.

The amount of the required contribution, if not approved for construction by January 1, 2021, shall be adjusted on that date and on the first day of January in each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index.
6. VERIFICATIONS PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Prior to the approval of the Site Development Plan the developer shall provide [verification of] the following [to the Department of Planning]:

Stormwater Improvements

a. The Developer shall Submit to the Planning Commission an engineering plan approved by the Department of Transportation and Public Works and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District (“MSD”) showing that adequate handling of the stormwater drainage of the site is provided.

1. The Developer is required to provide adequate stormwater systems in accordance with County and MSD Standards.
2. All stormwater shall be discharged at an adequate natural discharge point.
3. All existing sewers, easements, and lateral must be shown on the plan.
4. Detention of differential runoff of stormwater is at the discretion of MSD. If required, it shall be provided in permanent detention facilities, such as: dry reservoirs, ponds, or another acceptable alternative. The detention facilities shall be completed and in operation prior to the issuance of building permits exceeding sixty percent (60%) of the approved dwelling units or paving of any driveways or parking areas.
5. Formal MSD review, approval, and permits are required prior to construction.
6. Additional easements may be required.
7. A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
8. Approval from MoDOT may be required prior to plan approval
9. New encroachments will not be allowed.

Sanitary Requirements
b. Formal MSD review, approval, and permits are required prior to construction.
c. A sanitary sewer extension may be required.

Geotechnical Report
d. Developer shall provide a Geotechnical Report covering development and grading required by improvements involved with this site, as directed by the County Department of Transportation and Public Works. Said report shall verify the adaptability of grading and improvements with soil and geologic conditions which are susceptible to rapid erosion, landslide and/or creep. A statement of compliance with this study, sign by the Geotechnical Engineer preparing the report, shall be included on all Site Development Plans. The development plan and construction plans shall be designed to conform to the requirement and conditions of the Geotechnical Report. The Geotechnical Engineer shall be required to sign and seal all plans with certification that the proposed construction will be completed in accordance with the grading and soils requirements and conditions contained in the report.

[ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS]

A. Approval by the Missouri Highway and Transportation Department and the St. Louis County Department of Highways and Traffic of required roadway improvements and the location of permitted curb cuts.]

7. RECORDING

[IV.]Within sixty (60) days of approval of any Site Development Plan by the Planning Commission, the approved Plan [and a copy of the ordinance governing this development] shall be recorded with the St. Louis County Recorder of Deeds.

8. VERIFICATION PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE

Land Disturbance Permit

a. Prior to clearing, grading, or any related work which results in removal of the natural site vegetation and destruction of the root zone, or otherwise results in leaving the ground surface exposed to soil erosion through the action of wind or water, a land disturbance permit
shall be required in conformance with the Land Disturbance Code, Chapter 1114 of the St. Louis County Public Works and Building Regulations.

Traffic Generation Assessment Contribution

b. Traffic generation assessment contribution shall be deposited with the Department of Transportation and Public Works by a check payable to “Treasurer, St. Louis County”, prior to the issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits. If development phasing is anticipated, the Developer shall provide the traffic generation assessment contribution prior to issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits for each phase, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits for each phase of development.

9. VERIFICATION PRIOR TO [BUILDING] PERMITS

Landscape Bonds or Escrows

a. If the estimated cost of new landscaping indicated on the Site Development Plan exceeds an estimated cost of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, as determined by a plant nursery, the Developer shall furnish a two (2) year bond or escrow, or other instrument sufficient in amount to guarantee the installation of said landscaping.

Notification to Public Works

b. Prior to issuance of foundation or building permits, all approvals from the Department of Planning, the Department of Transportation and Public Works, MoDOT, and MSD must be received by the Department of Transportation and Public Works.

[V. Subsequent to approval of a Site Development Plan, and prior to the issuance of any building permit the developer shall provide the following:

SANITARY SEWERS
A. Verification to the St. Louis County Department of Public Works that adequate sanitary services are provided.

STORMWATER AT ROADWAYS

B. Verification to the St. Louis County Department of Highways and Traffic and Missouri Highway and Transportation Department that adequate handling of stormwater runoff off the subject property at abutting roadways will be provided.

NOTIFICATION OF PUBLIC WORKS

C. Prior to the issuance of foundation or building permits the St. Louis County Department of Public Works must receive all approvals from the St. Louis County Departments of Highways and Traffic and Planning, Missouri Highway and Transportation Department and the St. Louis Metropolitan Sewer District.

[SUPPLEMENTARY] DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

a. Developer shall obtain a land disturbance permit prior to any grading on the site. No change in watersheds shall be permitted. Interim stormwater drainage control in the form of siltation control measures is required.

b. Developer shall provide adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.

c. Failure to comply with any or all of the conditions of this permit shall be adequate cause for revocation of permits by issuing County Departments or Commissions.

d. The Developer shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Clean Water Commission as they relate to the development of this tract.

e. The above conditions of this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be enforced in compliance with the Site Development Plan approved by the St. Louis County Planning Commission.
f. Developer shall commence substantial work or construction of the uses allowed by this C-8 Planned Commercial District within three years of the effective date of the governing ordinance, unless such time period is extended through appeal to and approval by the Planning Commission per Section 1003.145 of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance.

VI. Supplementary development conditions relating to the operation of this development are as follows:

A. The sale of beer and liquor is permitted in conjunction with the convenience store.

B. Vending machines are permitted only within the convenience store.

C. The operation of video games is not permitted in conjunction with this development.

D. The facades of all sides of the existing building on The site shall be brick or brick veneer. Supports for the canopy structure shall be enclosed by brick which is similar in appearance to the materials used for the facades of the building.]

10. GENERAL DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

a. Developer shall obtain a land disturbance permit prior to any grading on the site. No change in watersheds shall be permitted. Interim stormwater drainage control in the form of siltation control measures is required.

b. Developer shall provide adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.

c. Failure to comply with any or all of the conditions of this permit shall be adequate cause for revocation of permits by issuing County Departments or Commissions.

d. The Developer shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits from the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Clean Water Commission as they relate to the development of this tract.

e. The above conditions of this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be enforced in compliance with the Site
Development Plan approved by the St. Louis County Planning Commission.

f. Developer shall commence substantial work or construction of the uses allowed by this C-8 Planned Commercial District within three years of the effective date of the governing ordinance, unless such time period is extended through appeal to and approval by the Planning Commission per Section 1003.145 of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance.

[VII. General development conditions relating to the operation, construction, improvements and regulatory requirements to be adhered to by the developer are as follows:

A. Construction of the uses allowed by this ordinance shall commence within twelve (12) months of the approval of the Site Development Plan. Where due cause is shown by the developer, this time interval may be extended by the Planning Commission.

B. The developer shall be required to provide temporary off-street parking for construction employees. Parking on non-paved surfaces shall be prohibited.

C. The Zoning Enforcement Officer of St. Louis County, Missouri shall enforce the conditions of this ordinance in accord with the Site Development Plan approved by the St. Louis County Planning Commission.”]

SECTION 3. In all other respects Ordinance No. 10,895 as amended shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 4. The application and preliminary plans, as amended, are returned to the Planning Commission for consideration of a site development plan, pursuant to Section 1003.145 SLCRO 1974 as amended.

SECTION 5. The St. Louis County Council, pursuant to the petition of Amin Alshawkani, and pursuant to the recommendation of the Planning Commission that said petition be granted and the preliminary plans be approved, as amended, after a public hearing held by the said Commission on November 9, 2020, does adopt this ordinance pursuant to the St. Louis County Charter authorizing the Council to exercise legislative power pertaining to planning and zoning.
ADOPTED: ____________________________________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: __________________________________________

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:

ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

COUNTY COUNSELOR
The petition listed below is hereby transmitted for your consideration:

Petition No.: P.C. 19-20
Petitioner: Amin Alshawkani
Request: Amended C-8
Tract Size: 0.76 acres
Location: Southeast quadrant of Lusher Road and Redman Road
Hearing Date: November 9, 2020
In Favor: Ind.-3
Opposed: Ind.-6; Letters-9; Crowd Count-9
Decision Date: December 7, 2020
Recommendation: Approval
Vote: 5-1
Report: Attachment A
Departmental Comments: Attachment B
Conditions: Attachment C
Preliminary Site Plan: Attachment D
Fire District: Spanish Lake
School District: Hazelwood
Council District: Fourth

A sketch of the property is also attached. The legal description is on file with the County Clerk.

Respectfully submitted,
ST. LOUIS COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Wayne Hilzinger, Chairman

ATTEST:

Gail Choate
Acting Director of Planning

I:\Current\Doug\Zoning\2020\19-20 Amin Alshawkani
ATTACHMENT A

AREA LAND USE AND ZONING

The subject site is 0.76 acres in size and is located at the southeast quadrant of Lusher and Redman Roads in the Spanish Lake area of north St. Louis County. The site is zoned C-8 Planned Commercial District and is currently vacant with two curb cuts, one onto Lusher Road on the south side of the property, and one onto Redman Road in the northeast part of the property. Lusher Road in this area, is a State controlled, two lane roadway serving as an outer road to Highway 367. Redman Road is a County controlled, three lane roadway. The intersection of Lusher and Redman Roads is signalized. Surrounding land uses and zoning include the following:

To the north: North of the site, across Redman Road is a vacant convenience store in the C-2 Shopping District. The convenience store was once part of a convenience store and service station, as well as a drive through fast food restaurant, authorized with a C.U.P. via P.C. 103 & 104-93 QuikTrip & Hardees. Further north is a fast food restaurant with a drive-through in the C-8 Planned Commercial District authorized via P.C. 28-97 Dennis Wozniak. North of that are single family residences located in the R-3 10,000 square foot Residence District.

To the east: East of the site are two vacant parcels which formerly contained a financial institution located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District via P.C. 188-69 John E Krings. The site currently only contains the parking and driveways of the former bank. East of this are single family residences in the R-3 Residence District.

To the south: South of the site is the vacant parcel located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District which formerly contained a financial institution. This parcel wraps around from the east side of the subject site to the south side, and there is a curb cut and driveway directly south of the subject property. Further south is an auto repair business, a C.U.P. in the C-4 Highway Service Commercial District. South of that is a small medical office also located in the C-4 Highway Service Commercial District as well as single family residences located in the R-3 Residence District.

To the west: Directly to the west of the subject property, across Lusher Road, is Highway 367. West of Highway 367 is Benham Road, which serves as the outer road to Highway 367 on its west side. At the northwest quadrant of Benham Road and Redman Road is a vacant parcel and a vacant car wash located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District, a telecommunications tower also located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District, and a hair salon also located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District. Further west is common ground and single family residences located in the R-3 Residence District.
DEPARTMENTAL/AGENCY COMMENTS

The Saint Louis County Department of Transportation and Public Works and the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District have submitted comments relative to this petition and are included in Attachment B.

BACKGROUND

With the adoption of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance in 1965, the subject site and surrounding properties at the intersection of Redman Road and Highway 367 were zoned R-3 10,000 square foot Residence District, except for the southeast, southwest, and northwest corner of the Highway 367/Redman Road intersection. These parcels were zoned C-5 Extensive Sales and Commercial District. However, in 1983, the C-5 District was eliminated in the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance. Therefore, the C-4 Highway Service Commercial District was revised to allow essentially all uses formerly listed in both the C-4 and C-5 commercial districts. In 1983, via P.C. 19-83, eighteen parcels at various locations in St. Louis County, including the subject parcel, were rezoned from C-5 Extensive Sales and Commercial District to C-4 Highway Service Commercial District.

The subject property was rezoned from C-4 Highway Service Commercial District to C-8 Planned Commercial District in 1982 to allow a gasoline service station and convenience store via P.C. 79-82 H.A. & D. B. Cross. The use was established via Ordinance 10,895. However, the C-8 Ordinance was amended several times over the years. In February of 2003 it was amended to allow for an oil change facility in lieu of the convenience store; in April of 2003 it was amended to reduce the parking setback from 10 feet to five feet; in October 2004 it was amended to allow a convenience store once again in lieu of an oil change facility; and finally in November of 2004 it was amended to allow liquor sales in the convenience store. However, since the final amendment, the use was ceased and the structures were razed. Earlier this year, the Planning Commission considered a request for an ordinance amendment to allow the convenience store use to be re-established at the subject site. Upon consideration, the Commission found that a new public hearing was warranted to re-establish the use at this location.

The site is surrounded to the north and to the east by vacant parcels and vacant structures. East of the subject site, along the south side of Redman Road, are properties located in the C-8 Planned Commercial District. The vacant parcel directly to the east was rezoned from R-3 Residence District to C-8 Planned Commercial District and formerly contained Landmark Bank. However, the use was terminated and the structure was razed.

Rezoning petitions of note are P.C. 103 & 104-93 QuikTrip & Hardees, located just north of the subject site, which was a rezoning request from C-8 Planned Commercial District to C-2 Shopping District with a C.U.P. to allow for a convenience store with gas pumps and canopy and a fast food restaurant with a drive through. The Planning Commission felt this was an appropriate use and granted the Conditional Use Permit. Although the convenience store and fast food restaurant were built and operated at this location for several years, the convenience store is now vacant.
PETITIONER'S REQUEST

The petitioner is requesting an Amended C-8 to allow for a new food market to be constructed at the subject site. The existing C-8 allows a self-service service station and a convenience store. The former use has been terminated and the structure has been removed from the site.

The site plan presented at public hearing shows a structure measuring 5,147 square feet in area for new food market. The plan shows the site accessed from the two existing curb cuts - one on the south side of the property from Lusher Road and one on the northeast side of the property from Redman Road. The site plan indicates a total of 41 parking spaces on the site as well as a trash enclosure located directly north of the food market structure.

At the public hearing, the petitioner indicated that the food market would be similar to the existing Spanish Lake Market, located at the southwest corner of Trampe Avenue and Larimore Road, also owned by the petitioner.

The proposed use would not contain any gasoline pumps, and the petitioner indicated that alcohol sales were not a critical part of the proposal. The store's hour would be 8 AM to 8 PM, operating seven days a week. The petitioner indicated that there would be no pylon or monument sign and there would only be one wall sign on the structure. The store would employ 8 to 10 employees and deliveries would take place between 8 AM and 2 to 3 PM. In order to maintain security on the site, the petitioner offered a space for a St. Louis County Police substation and the store would have a 24 hour CCTV system.

PUBLIC COMMENTS AND CONCERNS

At the public hearing held November 9, 2020 there were three speakers in favor and six speakers in opposition or had concerns about the petition, specifically related to liquor sales at the property, traffic, and community impact.

By a poll taken at the end of the Webex meeting, five people were opposed to the petition and four had concerns regarding the petition.

ANALYSIS

The issues pertinent to the analysis of this request include the request for C-8 Planned Commercial District zoning, the proposed use, and the compatibility of the site plan.

The site is zoned C-8 and has been for a number of years. Before that it was zoned C-4 Highway Service Commercial (formerly C-5 Extensive Sales Commercial District) since the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance. The purpose of the C-8 Planned Commercial District is to facilitate developments and uses in locations appropriate under approved site plans and conditions, and to ensure the development is consistent with good planning practice and compatible with permitted developments and uses in adjoining districts, so as to protect the general welfare of the area.
C-8 District zoning allows the Planning Commission to address site specific conditions, such as lighting, landscaping, and trash enclosures.

The proposed use of the site for a food market is reasonable at this location. The site has previously been home to similar, if not more intense uses, such as a service station, a convenience store, and an oil change facility. The intersection of Redman Road and Highway 367 is a highly commercialized interchange surrounded by single family residential uses. The proposed food market would not have any gasoline pumps and the Commission recommends no alcohol sales be allowed. The Petitioner stated at public hearing their willingness to open with a liquor license.

The site plan is generally sound, however, the Planning Commission is recommending that the amount of parking be reduced. The Commission is recommending the removal of the four parking spaces located just south of the Redman Road entrance in the northeast corner of the property, the two spaces directly north of the trash enclosure, and the five spaces located in the southwest corner of the property east of the Lusher Road entrance. This will improve maneuverability of the site and improve aesthetics. This would decrease the parking from the 41 parking spaces shown on the site plan presented at Public Hearing to 30 spaces, which is meets the ordinance required number for the proposed use.

At the public hearing, there was some confusion about the proposed use and whether it was a convenience store or a food market. The Zoning Ordinance defines a convenience store as a retail establishment having a gross floor area of 5,000 square feet or less, primarily selling foods as well as other household goods customarily sold in larger food markets and supermarkets, whereas food markets are defined as having a gross floor area of 5,000 square feet and over. Therefore, the proposed use falls under the definition of a food market. Additionally, at public hearing, there was strong opposition to a convenience store selling liquor at the proposed location. The Commission finds that the need for a grocery market at the proposed location, not for a convenience store with liquor sales. Again, the petitioner indicated that liquor sales were not crucial to their proposal and were agreeable to a condition that prohibits liquor sales at the proposed store.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

The Planning Commission considers the request for an Amended C-8 Planned Commercial District to allow a food market to be reasonable at this location. This parcel has been zoned commercially since the adoption of the Zoning Ordinance in 1965. Therefore, the Planning Commission finds the proposed use in keeping with the land use pattern along this established commercial corridor. The Planning Commission also feels the use of the C-8 Planned Commercial District zoning will ensure compatibility with surrounding development. Therefore, the Planning Commission recommends repeal of C-8 Ordinance 10,895 as amended and approval of P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani, subject to the conditions of Attachment C of this report.
Relative to this rezoning, we request that the following special conditions be met:

1. Conform to the requirements and/or recommendations of the Missouri Department of Transportation regarding Lusher Road and Redman Road in the area.

2. Access to this development from Lusher Road and Redman Road shall be as directed by the Missouri Department of Transportation.

3. The developer is advised that utility companies will require compensation for relocation of their facilities within public road right-of-way. Utility relocation cost shall not be considered as an allowable credit against the petitioner's traffic generation assessment contributions. The developer should also be aware of extensive delays in utility company relocation and adjustments. Such delays will not constitute a cause to allow occupancy prior to completion of road improvements.

4. The developer shall contribute a Traffic Generation Assessment (TGA) to the Parker - Redman Trust Fund established by Ordinance Number 14,471. This contribution shall not exceed an amount established by multiplying the ordinance-required parking spaces for the difference between the existing and proposed uses by the following rate schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Development</th>
<th>Required Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Convenience Store</td>
<td>$15,543.14/parking space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Retail</td>
<td>$2,331.45/parking space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parking Space as required by the site-specific ordinance or by section 1003.165 of the Saint Louis County Zoning Ordinance.)

If types of development proposed differ from those listed, rates shall be provided by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation.

Allowable credits for required roadway improvements will be awarded as directed by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation. Sidewalk construction and utility relocation, among other items, are not considered allowable credits.

5. As this development is located within a trust fund area established by Saint Louis County, any portion of the traffic generation assessment contribution that remains following completion of road improvements required by the development shall be retained in the appropriate trust fund.

6. The amount of the required contribution, if not approved for construction by January 1, 2021, shall be adjusted on that date and on the first day of January in
P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC

Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits for each phase of development.

2. Adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees shall be provided. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.

cc: One Transportation, LLC, c/o Otis Lyles, 305 Lynn Drive, Alton, Illinois 62012
Vance Engineering, Inc., 10537 Lackland Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63114
P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani

each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index as determined by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation.

Relative to this petition, we request that the following grading condition and stormwater control requirements be met:

1. A land disturbance permit is required prior to any grading on the site. No change in watersheds shall be permitted.

2. The petitioner shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits from the Department of Natural Resources as they relate to the development of this tract.

3. Submit to the Planning Commission an engineering plan approved by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District showing that adequate handling of the storm water drainage of the site is provided.
   - The developer shall provide adequate storm water systems in accordance with Saint Louis County and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District standards.
   - All storm water shall be discharged at an adequate natural discharge point.
   - Detention of differential runoff of storm water is at the discretion of MSD. If required, it shall be provided in permanent detention facilities, such as: dry reservoirs, ponds, or another acceptable alternative. The detention facilities shall be completed and in operation prior to the issuance of building permits exceeding sixty percent (60%) of the approved dwelling units or paving of any driveways or parking areas.

4. Prior to issuance of foundation or building permits, all approvals from the Department of Planning, the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation, the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan Saint Louis Sewer District shall be provided to the Saint Louis County Department of Public Works.

In addition, we request the following general conditions be met:

1. Traffic generation assessment contributions shall be deposited with Saint Louis County prior to the issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits, if development phasing is anticipated, the developer shall provide the traffic generation assessment contribution prior to issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits for each phase, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land
P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani
Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits for each phase of development.

2. Adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees shall be provided. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.

cc: Amin Alshawkani, c/o John Anselmo, 1212 Chambers Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63135
MB Engineering, Inc., 14851 Remington Road, Marion, Illinois 62959
Debi,

Below are MoDOT comments for P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani:

- Any work in MoDOT right of way will require detailed plans to be submitted to MoDOT for review and approval.
- Any Work in MoDOT right of way will require a permit issued from this office.
- The entrance along OR 357 is not in compliance with MoDOT access management
  - It is too close to the adjacent entrance just south of it and our spacing requirements would be 110' from centerline to centerline of the two entrances
  - The entrance just meets the corner spacing requirements for the corner of Redman Ave to the centerline of the entrance and cannot be moved any further to the north.
  - The entrance is currently 35' wide and the maximum driveway width that MoDOT allows is 30' wide at the right of way line for full access commercial drives.
    - The best option would be to get cross access from the adjacent entrance and close the entrance to OR 367 on this property.
    - The other option would be to restrict the entrance to a right in only to reduce the amount of conflicting movements allowing for the lower driveway spacing. This option would then require all traffic to exit via the entrance on Redman Ave.
- Sidewalks through the property frontage will need to be brought up to current ADA standards.
- If the proposed use of the property has the potential to increases traffic significantly MoDOT may require a Traffic Impact Study (TIS).
  - MoDOT will determine if the TIS is needed based on the proposed use, we will need to know what type of businesses are being proposed in this development to make this determination.
  - The county may require a TIS even if MoDOT does not and in that case MoDOT will want to be involved in any scoping meeting for a TIS on the development.
  - If a TIS is needed the developer will need to have their traffic engineer contact me to get a scoping meeting set up to discuss the scope of the meeting.
- Attendees of this meeting must include the following attendees:
  - Developers Traffic Engineer
  - MoDOT Traffic Engineer
  - MoDOT Traffic Specialist
  - Someone from St. Louis County to represent their interest in traffic at this location
  - MoDOT Area Engineer (optional)
  - Developer (optional)
  - Developers Civil Engineer (optional)
If you have any questions or comments feel free to contact me.

Jay-Jay Braden

Missouri Department of Transportation
Sr. Traffic Specialist - St. Louis County
2620 Adie Road, Maryland Heights, MO 63043
Mobile: 636.628.5867 Fax: 573.522.6491

St. Louis Permit Home Page: https://www.mobot.org/stlouis/district-permit-specialist.html
Permit request form: https://www.mobot.org/permitting/permitRequest.aspx
Lane Closure request form: https://www.mobot.org/lane-closure-closure-request.aspx
October 29, 2020

Ms. Gail Choate
St. Louis County Department of Planning
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

RE: St. Louis County Planning Commission
    Notice of Public Hearing – Monday November 9, 2020

Dear Ms. Choate:

The Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District has reviewed the sites listed on the November 9, 2020 Notice of Public Hearing and has the following preliminary comments:

- **P.C. 18-20 One Transportation, LLC, c/o Otis Lyles, 305 Lynn Drive, Alton, IL 62002**
  A request for a change in zoning from C-8 Planned Commercial District to M-3 Planned Industrial District for a 1.0 acre tract of land located on the north side of Dunn Road, approximately 100 feet east of North Garden Drive (1331 Dunn Road). **Proposed Use:** Office/warehouse, including medical supply and transportation services, and all M-1 permitted uses.

  1) Formal MSD review, approval and permits are required.
  2) The site must be connected to the public sanitary sewer system.
  3) A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
  4) A portion of the site is tributary to an existing detention basin. Any added impervious surfaces that area would be subject to Flood Protection Volume and Channel Protection Volume.
  5) Approval from MoDOT may be required prior to plan approval.
  6) New encroachments will not be allowed.

- **P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani, c/o John Anselmo, 1212 Chambers Road, St. Louis, MO 63135** — A request for an Amended C-8 Planned Commercial District for a 0.78 acre tract of land located in the southeast corner of Redman Road and Lusher Road (Hwy 367) (11792 Lusher Road). **Proposed Use:** Convenience store and all C-2 Shopping District permitted uses.

  1) Formal MSD review, approval and permits are required.
  2) A sanitary sewer extension may be required.
  3) A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
4) A portion of the site is tributary to an existing detention basin. Any added impervious surfaces that area would be subject to Flood Protection Volume and Channel Protection Volume.

5) Approval from MoDOT may be required prior to plan approval.

6) New encroachments will not be allowed.

- P.C. 20-20 Our Lady’s Inn, c/o George Stock, Stock & Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc., 257 Chesterfield Business Parkway, Chesterfield, MO 63005 – A request for an Amended Conditional Use Permit procedure in the R-5 6,000 square foot Residence District for a 4.5 acre tract of land located on the east side of Timber Run Drive at Cypressway Drive approximately 1,100 feet north of Olive Boulevard. (12685 Olive Blvd.). Proposed Use: Group living facility.

1) Formal MSD review, approval and permits are required.

2) Sanitary flow estimates must be provided. These shall include the estimated average daily and peak flow rates. These estimates are needed to determine the sanitary requirements for the site. Sanitary improvements may be required based on the flow rates provided.

3) A sampling manhole will be required.

4) A grease trap will be required.

5) Flood protection volume and channel protection volume will be required.

6) This project will disturb more than one acre. Post-construction volume reducing water quality BMP’s are required.

7) MSD will accept a RECARGA analysis as part of the water quality analysis and design. If RECARGA is used, a separate run is required for each basin.

8) An analysis of the existing storm system from the sinkhole south of Markaire Drive to the creek may be required.

9) A sinkhole report will be required for this project.

10) Sinkholes shall not be integrated within a BMP.

11) Sinkholes are considered natural resources.

12) The existing hydrologic condition to the sinkhole to the maximum extent practicable.

13) A 401/404 permit may be required for this project from the Corp of Engineers and/or the Missouri Department of Natural Resources.

14) Zona of influence sections may be required.

15) New encroachments will not be allowed.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (314) 335-2053.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Miller, P.E.
Principal Engineer

(314) 335-2053
RAMILL@stlmsd.com
ATTACHMENT C

1. PERMITTED USES

   a. The uses allowed in this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be a food market.
   b. No alcohol sales shall be permitted.
   c. A new public hearing is required to allow alcohol sales.

2. SIZE, HEIGHT, AND BUILDING REQUIREMENTS

   a. The uses permitted in this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be contained in one
      building not to exceed 5,200 square feet.

   b. Architectural elevations indicating building materials, colors and architectural style shall
      be approved by the Planning Commission in conjunction with approval of the Site
      Development Plan. Elevations shall include trash enclosure.

3. PLAN SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

   Within eighteen (18) months of the date of approval of the preliminary development plan
   by the County Council and prior to any site disturbance, the developer shall submit to the
   Planning Commission for its review and approval a Site Development Plan. Where due
   cause is shown by the petitioner, this time intervals may be extended through appeal to and
   approval by the Planning Commission. Said Site Development Plan shall include, but not
   be limited to, the following:

   a. Outboundary and legal description of the property.
   b. Location map of generalized nature, north arrow, and plan scale.
   c. Location and size of all existing and proposed structures, including the freestanding
      business signs and retaining walls.
   d. Location and size of all parking areas and corresponding parking calculations.
   e. Existing and proposed contours at two (2) foot intervals.
   f. Roadways and driveways on and adjacent to the property in question, including
      required right-of-way dedication.
   g. The design, location, and size of all proposed lighting, fences, and trash areas.
   h. A landscape plan including, but not limited to, the location, size, and species of all
      plant and other materials to be used. Include existing tree masses to be retained.
      Tree preservation fencing shall be shown on the site plan, as appropriate.
   i. A lighting plan including, but not limited to, location of all standards and fixtures
      and the proposed type of illuminating devices, fixtures, lamps, supports, reflectors,
      and a photometric plan denoting foot candle levels.
   j. The approximate location of all stormwater facilities, water quality control
      measures and sanitary sewer facilities.
   k. Parking and structure setbacks from adjacent property lines.
   l. All other Site Development Plan requirements.
4. SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN CRITERIA

The above Site Development Plans shall adhere to the following specific design criteria:

Structure Setbacks

a. No new building or structure, other than boundary and/or retaining walls, fences, signs, trash enclosure, and/or light standards shall be located within the following setbacks:
   1) 50 feet from right-of-way of Lusher Road.
   2) 50 feet from the right of way of Redman Road, as approved on the site plan.
   3) 10 feet from the eastern limits of this C-8.
   4) 30 feet from the southern limits of this C-8.

Parking Setbacks

b. No parking space, loading space, or internal drives, excluding points of ingress and egress, shall be located within the following setbacks:
   1) 25 feet from the southern limits of this C-8.
   2) 15 feet from the eastern limits of this C-8.
   3) Other setbacks shall be as approved on the Site Development Plan.

Access and Roadway Improvements

c. Conform to the requirements and/or recommendations of the Missouri Department of Transportation regarding Lusher Road and Redman Road in the area.

d. Access to this development from Lusher Road and Redman Road shall be as directed by the Missouri Department of Transportation.

e. The developer is advised that utility companies will require compensation for relocation of their utility facilities within public road right-of-way. Utility relocation cost shall not be considered as an allowable credit against the petitioner’s traffic generation assessment contributions. The developer should also be aware of extensive delays in utility company relocation and adjustments. Such delays will not constitute a cause to allow occupancy prior to completion of road improvements.

f. Any work in the MoDOT right of way will require detailed plans to be submitted to MoDOT for review and approval.

g. Any work in MoDOT right of way will require a permit issued from Missouri Department of Transportation.

h. Reconfigure entrance along OR 367 to comply with MoDOT access management
   1) Entrance shall be 110 feet from centerline to centerline of the entrance to the south.
2) Entrance shall not be relocated any closer to the intersection of Lusher Road and Redman Road

3) Entrance width shall not exceed 30 feet for full access commercial drives.

i. Sidewalks along property frontage shall comply with current ADA standards.

j. A Traffic Impact Study (TIS) may be required if the proposed use has the potential to increase traffic significantly, as directed by MoDOT.

Landscape Requirements

k. The developer shall submit a landscape plan on a separate drawing to be reviewed as part of the Site Development Plan. Landscaping requirements shall be as per Section 1003.162 Landscaping Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance, as approved on the Site Development Plan.

Parking Requirements

l. A maximum of thirty parking spaces shall be provided.

m. One 10 X 25 loading space shall be required.

Lighting Requirements

n. The developer shall submit a lighting plan on a separate drawing to be reviewed as part of the Site Development Plan. Lighting plan requirements shall be as set forth in Section 1003.169 Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

o. No source of illumination shall be so situated that light is cast directly on adjoining property or public right-of-way. Illumination levels shall comply with Section 1003.169 Lighting Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance.

Sign Requirements

p. Signage shall be as per the C-2 Shopping District Regulations of the Zoning Ordinance at a location as approved on the Site Development Plan.

q. Free-standing signs shall be monument style.

Miscellaneous Requirements

r. All trash areas shall be enclosed with a six foot high sight-proof masonry fence with gate and be appropriately landscaped around its perimeter as approved on the Site Development Plan.

s. Hours of operations shall be limited to 7:00 A.M. to 8:00 P.M.
5. TRAFFIC GENERATION ASSESSMENT TRUST FUND

The developer shall contribute a Traffic Generation Assessment (TGA) to the Parker – Redman Trust Fund established by Ordinance Number 14,471. The amount of this contribution shall not exceed an amount established by multiplying the ordinance-required parking spaces for the difference between the existing and proposed uses by the following rate schedule. However, credit shall be given for the required parking spaces of a previous use, using the current rates for that use. Should the total of the credit for the previous use be greater than the amount required for the new use, no contribution will be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Development</th>
<th>Required Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Retail</td>
<td>$2,331.45/parking space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Parking space as required by the site-specific ordinance or by Section 1003.165 of the Zoning Ordinance.)

If types of development proposed differ from those listed, rates shall be provided by the Department of Transportation and Public Works.

Allowable credits for required roadway improvements will be awarded as directed by the Department of Transportation and Public Works. Sidewalk construction and utility relocation, among other items, are not considered allowable credits.

The applicable rates limiting required road improvements shall be adjusted January 1, 2021, and on the first day of January in each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index as determined by the County Department of Transportation and Public Works.

As this development is located within a trust fund area established by Saint Louis County, any portion of the traffic generation assessment contribution that remains following completion of road improvements required by the development shall be retained in the appropriate trust fund.

The amount of the required contribution, if not approved for construction by January 1, 2021, shall be adjusted on that date and on the first day of January in each succeeding year thereafter in accord with the construction cost index as determined by the Saint Louis County Department of Transportation and Public Works.

6. VERIFICATIONS PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Prior to approval of Site Development Plan, the developer shall provide the following:

Stormwater Improvements
a. Submit to the Planning Commission an engineering plan approved by the Department of Transportation and Public Works and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District showing that adequate handling of the stormwater drainage of the site is provided.

1. The developer is required to provide adequate stormwater systems in accordance with County and Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District Standards.
2. All stormwater shall be discharged at an adequate natural discharge point.
3. All existing sewers, easements, and lateral must be shown on the plan.
4. Detention of differential runoff of stormwater is at the discretion of Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District. If required, it shall be provided in permanent detention facilities, such as: dry reservoirs, ponds, or another acceptable alternative. The detention facilities shall be completed and in operation prior to the issuance of building permits exceeding sixty percent (60%) of the approved dwelling units or paving of any driveways or parking areas.
5. Formal MSD review, approval, and permits are required prior to construction.
6. Additional easements may be required.
7. A sampling manhole or sample “tee” will be required.
8. Approval from MoDOT may be required prior to plan approval
9. New encroachments will not be allowed.

Sanitary Requirements

b. Formal MSD review, approval, and permits are required prior to construction.

c. A sanitary sewer extension may be required.

Geotechnical Report

d. Provide a Geotechnical Report covering development and grading required by improvements involved with this site, as directed by the County Department of Transportation and Public Works. Said report shall verify the adaptability of grading and improvements with soil and geologic conditions which are susceptible to rapid erosion, landslide and/or creep. A statement of compliance with this study, sign by the Geotechnical Engineer preparing the report, shall be included on all Site Development Plans. The development plan and construction plans shall be designed to conform to the requirement and conditions of the Geotechnical Report. The Geotechnical Engineer shall be required to sign and seal all plans with certification that the proposed construction will be completed in accordance with the grading and soils requirements and conditions contained in the report.

7. RECORDING

Within sixty (60) days of approval of the Site Development Plan by the Planning Department, the approved plan shall be recorded with the St. Louis County Recorder of Deeds.
8. VERIFICATION PRIOR TO LAND DISTURBANCE

Land Disturbance Permit

a. Prior to clearing, grading, or any related work which results in removal of the natural site vegetation and destruction of the root zone, or otherwise results in leaving the ground surface exposed to soil erosion through the action of wind or water, a land disturbance permit shall be required in conformance with the Land Disturbance Code, Chapter 1114 of the St. Louis County Public Works and Building Regulations.

Traffic Generation Assessment Contribution

b. Traffic generation assessment contribution shall be deposited with the Department of Transportation and Public Works by a check payable to “Treasurer, St. Louis County”, prior to the issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits. If development phasing is anticipated, the developer shall provide the traffic generation assessment contribution prior to issuance of Special Use Permits and/or Land Disturbance Permits for each phase, or in the case of no required Special Use Permits or Land Disturbance Permits, prior to the issuance of Building Permits for each phase of development.

9. VERIFICATION PRIOR TO PERMITS

Landscape Bonds or Escrows

a. If the estimated cost of new landscaping indicated on the Site Development Plan exceeds an estimated cost of one thousand ($1,000) dollars, as determined by a plant nursery, the petitioner shall furnish a two (2) year bond or escrow, or other instrument sufficient in amount to guarantee the installation of said landscaping.

Notification to Public Works

b. Prior to issuance of foundation or building permits, all approvals from the Department of Planning, the Department of Transportation and Public Works, the Missouri Department of Transportation and the Metropolitan St. Louis Sewer District must be received by the Department of Public Works.

10. GENERAL DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

a. A land disturbance permit is required prior to any grading on the site. No change in watersheds shall be permitted. Interim stormwater drainage control in the form of siltation control measures is required.

b. Provide adequate temporary off-street parking for construction employees. Parking on non-surfaced areas shall be prohibited in order to eliminate the condition whereby mud from construction and employee vehicles is tracked onto the pavement causing hazardous roadway and driving conditions.
c. Failure to comply with any or all of the conditions of this permit shall be adequate cause for revocation of permits by issuing County Departments or Commissions.

d. The petitioner shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits from the Department of Resources Clean Water Commission as they relate to the development of this tract.

e. The above conditions of this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall be enforced in compliance with the Site Development Plan approved by the St. Louis County Planning Commission.

f. Substantial work or construction of the uses allowed by this C-8 Planned Commercial District shall commence within three years of the effective date of the governing ordinance, unless such time period is extended through appeal to and approval by the Planning Commission per Section 1003.145 of the St. Louis County Zoning Ordinance.
St. Louis County Planning Commission will conduct a public hearing at 7:00 P.M. on Monday November 9, 2020 via Webex videoconference only. Instructions for joining the webex videoconference can be found at www.stlouisco.com/PLAN. The Public Hearing Notice may be viewed on the Internet at: http://www.stlouisco.com or you may send comments via E-Mail to the Planning Commission c/o Debi Salberg at dsalberg@stlouisco.com. The Planning Commission may recommend and the County Council may enact by ordinance a zoning district other than that requested in the petition, provided that the recommendation or ordinance is for a district classification of the same use type as that requested by the petition. The following request will be considered at that time.

P.C. 19-20 Amin Alshawkani, c/o John Anselmo, 1212 Chambers Road, St. Louis, MO 63135 - A request for an Amended C-8 Planned Commercial District for a 0.78 acre tract of land located in the southeast corner of Redman Road and Lusher Road (Hwy 367) (11792 Lusher Road). Proposed Use: Convenience store and all C-2 Shopping District permitted uses.
Legal Description

Part of Lot 3 of the partition of the estate of Walter Williams in Section 28, Township 47 North, Range 7 East, described as follows: Beginning at the intersection of the survey center line of Highway 67 (Lewis & Clark Boulevard) and the survey centerline of Redman Avenue; thence along said centerline of Highway 67, S. 17° 45' W. a distance of 11.83 feet to a point; thence S. 72° 15' E. a distance of 150.0 feet to a point in the Eastern line of the outer roadway of Highway 67, said point being the point of beginning of the tract herein described; thence along laid eastern line, S. 17° 45' W. a distance of 126.36 feet to a point; thence S. 88° 14 1/4' E. a distance of 156.04 feet to a point; thence N. 17° 45' E. a distance of 282.13 feet to a point in the Southeasterly line of Redman Avenue; thence with said Southeasterly line S. 63° 03' W. a distance of 147.62 feet to a point; thence S. 43° 06' W. a distance of 105.12 feet to the point of beginning.
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTER 716, TITLE VII SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “PETTY OFFENSES CODE,” BY REPEALING SECTIONS 716.080 AND 716.090, PERTAINING TO VAGRANCY.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:


[716.080 - Vagrancy—Prohibition.]—A person shall not be a vagrant.

716.090 - Vagrancy—Definition.

—the following classes of persons shall be deemed vagrant within the meaning of this chapter:

(1) Every person without any visible means of support who may be found loitering around houses of ill-fame, gambling houses or places where liquor is sold or drunk.

(2) Every person who shall attend or operate any gambling device or apparatus.

(3) Every person who shall be engaged in practicing any trick or device to procure money or other thing of value.

(4) Every person who shall be engaged in any unlawful calling.

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
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(5) Every able-bodied man who shall neglect or refuse to provide for the support of his family.

(6) Every person found tramping or wandering around from place to place without any visible means of support.]
MEMORANDUM

To: Mike Shuman, Associate County Counselor
From: Councilmember Lisa Clancy, 5th District
Date: November 8, 2019
Re: Request for Legislation

Please draft legislation repealing sections 716.080 and 716.090 in the Petty Offenses Code, pertaining to vagrancy.

CC: Diann Valenti, St. Louis County Council Acting Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTER 1008 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED, “FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTIONS 1008.020 AND 1008.050, PERTAINING TO REGULATORY FLOODWAYS.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 1008 SLCRO 1974 as amended, “Floodplain Management Regulations” is amended by repealing and re-enacting Sections 1008.020 and 1008.050, which shall read as follows:

1008.020 Definitions

Unless specifically defined below, words or phrases used in this chapter shall be interpreted so as to give them the same meaning they have in common usage and to give this chapter its most reasonable application.

"100-year Flood" see "base flood."

"Accessory Structure" means the same as "appurtenant structure."

“Actual Start of Construction” The actual start means either the first placement of permanent construction of a structure on a site, such as the pouring of slabs or footings, the installation of piles, the construction of columns, any work beyond the stage of excavation, or the placement of a manufactured home on a foundation. For a substantial improvement, the actual start of construction means the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor, or other structural part of a building, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimensions of the building. Permanent construction does not include land preparation, such as clearing, grading and filling, the installation of streets and/or walkways, excavation for a basement, footings, piers, foundations, the erection of temporary forms, nor installation on the property of accessory NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
structures, such as garages or sheds not occupied as dwelling units or not part of the main structure.

"Actuarial Rates" see "risk premium rates."

"Administrator" means the Federal Insurance Administrator.


"Agricultural Commodities" means agricultural products and livestock.

"Agricultural Structure" means any structure used exclusively in connection with the production, harvesting, storage, drying, or raising of agricultural commodities.

"Appeal" means a request for review of the Floodplain Administrator's interpretation of any provision of this chapter or a request for a variance.

"Appurtenant Structure" means a structure that is on the same parcel of property as the principle structure to be insured and the use of which is incidental to the use of the principal structure.

"Area of Special Flood Hazard" is the land in the floodplain within a community subject to a one percent or greater chance of flooding in any given year.

"Base Flood" means the flood having a one percent chance of being equaled or exceeded in any given year.

"Basement" means any area of the structure having its floor subgrade (below ground level) on all sides.

"Building" see "structure."

"Chief Executive Officer" or "Chief Elected Official" means the official of the community who is charged with the authority to implement and administer laws, ordinances, and regulations for that community.

"Community" means any State or area or political subdivision thereof, which has authority to adopt and enforce floodplain management regulations for the areas within its jurisdiction.

"Development" means any man-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including but not limited to buildings or other structures, levees, levee systems, mining, dredging,
filling, grading, paving, excavation or drilling operations, or storage of equipment or materials.

"Elevated Building" means for insurance purposes, a non-basement building which has its lowest elevated floor raised above ground level by foundation walls, shear walls, posts, piers, pilings, or columns.

"Eligible Community" or "Participating Community" means a community for which the Administrator has authorized the sale of flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

"Existing Construction" means for the purposes of determining rates, structures for which the "start of construction" commenced before the effective date of the FIRM or before January 1, 1975, for FIRMs effective before that date. "existing construction" may also be referred to as "existing structures."

"Existing Manufactured Home Park or Subdivision" means a manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including, at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed before the effective date of the floodplain management regulations adopted by a community.

"Expansion to an Existing Manufactured Home Park or Subdivision" means the preparation of additional sites by the construction of facilities for servicing the lots on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads).

"Flood" or "Flooding" means a general and temporary condition of partial or complete inundation of normally dry land areas from (1) the overflow of inland and/or (2) the unusual and rapid accumulation or runoff of surface waters from any source.

"Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM)" means an official map of a community on which the Administrator has delineated both special flood hazard areas and the designated regulatory floodway.

"Flood Elevation Determination" means a determination by the Administrator of the water surface elevations of the base flood,
that is, the flood level that has a one percent or greater chance of occurrence in any given year.

"Flood Elevation Study" means an examination, evaluation and determination of flood hazards.

"Flood Fringe" means the area outside the floodway encroachment lines, but still subject to inundation by the regulatory flood.

"Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBM)" means an official map of a community, issued by the Administrator, where the boundaries of the flood areas having special flood hazards have been designated as (unnumbered or numbered) A zones.

"Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)" means an official map of a community, on which the Administrator has delineated both the special flood hazard areas and the risk premium zones applicable to the community.

"Flood Insurance Study (FIS)" means an examination, evaluation and determination of flood hazards and, if appropriate, corresponding water surface elevations.

"Floodplain" or "Flood-prone Area" means any land area susceptible to being inundated by water from any source (see "flooding").

"Floodplain Management" means the operation of an overall program of corrective and preventive measures for reducing flood damage, including but not limited to emergency preparedness plans, flood control works, and floodplain management regulations.

"Floodplain Management Regulations" means zoning ordinances, subdivision regulations, building codes, health regulations, special purpose ordinances (such as floodplain and grading ordinances) and other applications of police power. The term describes such state or local regulations, in any combination thereof, that provide standards for the purpose of flood damage prevention and reduction.

"Floodproofing" means any combination of structural and nonstructural additions, changes, or adjustments to structures that reduce or eliminate flood damage to real estate or improved real property, water and sanitary facilities, or structures and their contents.

"Floodway" or "Regulatory Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that must be
reserved in order to discharge the base flood without cumulatively increasing the water surface elevation more than one inch [foot].

"Floodway Encroachment Lines" means the lines marking the limits of floodways on Federal, State and local floodplain maps.

"Freeboard" means a factor of safety usually expressed in feet above a flood level for purposes of floodplain management. "Freeboard" tends to compensate for the many unknown factors that could contribute to flood heights greater than the height calculated for a selected size flood and floodway conditions, such as bridge openings and the hydrological effect of urbanization of the watershed.

"Functionally Dependent Use" means a use that cannot perform its intended purpose unless it is located or carried out in close proximity to water. This term includes only docking facilities and facilities that are necessary for the loading and unloading of cargo or passengers, but does not include long-term storage or related manufacturing facilities.

"Highest Adjacent Grade" means the highest natural elevation of the ground surface prior to construction next to the proposed walls of a structure.

"Historic Structure" means any structure that is (a) listed individually in the National Register of Historic Places (a listing maintained by the Department of Interior) or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as meeting the requirements for individual listing on the National Register; (b) certified or preliminarily determined by the Secretary of the Interior as contributing to the historical significance of a registered historic district or a district preliminarily determined by the Secretary to qualify as a registered historic district; (c) individually listed on a state inventory of historic places in states with historic preservation programs which have been approved by the Secretary of the Interior; or (d) individually listed on a local inventory of historic places in communities with historic preservation programs that have been certified either (1) by an approved state program as determined by the Secretary of the Interior or (2) directly by the Secretary of the Interior in states without approved programs.

"Lowest Floor" means the lowest floor of the lowest enclosed area, including basement. An unfinished or flood-resistant enclosure, usable solely for parking of vehicles, building access, or storage, in an area other than a basement area, is
not considered a building's lowest floor, provided that such enclosure is not built so as to render the structure in violation of the applicable floodproofing design requirements of this chapter.

"Manufactured Home" means a structure, transportable in one or more sections, that is built on a permanent chassis and is designed for use with or without a permanent foundation when attached to the required utilities. The term "manufactured home" does not include a "recreational vehicle."

"Manufactured Home Park or Subdivision" means a parcel (or contiguous parcels) of land divided into two or more manufactured home lots for rent or sale.

"Map" means the Flood Hazard Boundary Map (FHBDM), Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), or the Flood Boundary and Floodway Map (FBFM) for a community issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

"Market Value" or "Fair Market Value" means an estimate of what is fair, economic, just and equitable value under normal local market conditions.

"Mean Sea Level" means, for purposes of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), the North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD88) or other datum, to which base flood elevations shown on a community's Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) are referenced.

"New Construction" means, for the purposes of determining insurance rates, structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of an initial FIRM or after December 31, 1974, whichever is later, and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures. For floodplain management purposes, "new construction" means structures for which the "start of construction" commenced on or after the effective date of the floodplain management regulations adopted by a community and includes any subsequent improvements to such structures.

"New Manufactured Home Park or Subdivision" means a manufactured home park or subdivision for which the construction of facilities for servicing the lot on which the manufactured homes are to be affixed (including at a minimum, the installation of utilities, the construction of streets, and either final site grading or the pouring of concrete pads) is completed on or after the effective date of floodplain management regulations adopted by the community.
"(NFIP)" means the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP).

"Participating Community" also known as an "eligible community," means a community in which the Administrator has authorized the sale of flood insurance.

"Person" includes any individual or group of individuals, corporation, partnership, association, or any other entity, including Federal, State, and local governments and agencies.

"Principally Above Ground" means that at least 51 percent of the actual cash value of the structure, less land value, is above ground.

"Recreational Vehicle" means a vehicle which is (a) built on a single chassis; (b) 400 square feet or less when measured at the largest horizontal projections; (c) designed to be self-propelled or permanently towable by a light-duty truck; and (d) designed primarily not for use as a permanent dwelling but as temporary living quarters for recreational, camping, travel, or seasonal use.

"Remedy A Violation" means to bring the structure or other development into compliance with Federal, State, or local floodplain management regulations; or, if this is not possible, to reduce the impacts of its noncompliance.

"Repetitive Loss" means flood-related damages sustained by a structure on two separate occasions during a 10-year period for which the cost of repairs at the time of each such flood event, equals or exceeds twenty-five percent of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred.

"Risk Premium Rates" means those rates established by the Administrator pursuant to individual community studies and investigations which are undertaken to provide flood insurance in accordance with Section 1307 of the National Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and the accepted actuarial principles. "Risk premium rates" include provisions for operating costs and allowances.

"Special Flood Hazard Area" see "area of special flood hazard."

"Special Hazard Area" means an area having special flood hazards and shown on an FHBM, FIRM or FBFM as zones (unnumbered or numbered) A and AE.

"Start of Construction" includes substantial-improvements, and means the date the building permit was issued, provided the
actual start of construction, repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition placement, or other improvements were within 180 days of the permit date. see also “Actual Start of Construction”

"State Coordinating Agency" means that agency of the state government, or other office designated by the governor of the state or by state statute at the request of the Administrator to assist in the implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in that state.

"Structure" means, for floodplain management purposes, a walled and roofed building, including a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground, as well as a manufactured home. "Structure" for insurance purposes, means a walled and roofed building, other than a gas or liquid storage tank, that is principally above ground and affixed to a permanent site, as well as a manufactured home on a permanent foundation. For the latter purpose, the term includes a building while in the course of construction, alteration or repair, but does not include building materials or supplies intended for use in such construction, alteration or repair, unless such materials or supplies are within an enclosed building on the premises.

"Substantial-Damage" means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50 percent of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred. The term includes Repetitive Loss buildings (see definition).

For the purposes of this definition, “repair” is considered to occur when the first repair or reconstruction of any wall, ceiling, floor, or other structural part of the building commences.

The term does not apply to:

a.) Any project for improvement of a building required to comply with existing health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the Code Enforcement Official and which are solely necessary to assure safe living conditions, or

b.) Any alteration of a “historic structure” provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure’s continued designation as a “historic structure”, or

c.) Any improvement to a building.
"Substantial Improvement" means any combination of reconstruction, alteration, or improvement to a building, taking place during a 10 year period, in which the cumulative percentage of improvement equals or exceeds fifty percent of the current market value of the building. For the purposes of this definition, an improvement occurs when the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor, or other structural part of the building commences, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimensions of the building. This term includes structures, which have incurred “repetitive loss” or “substantial damage”, regardless of the actual repair work done.

The term does not apply to:

a.) any project for improvement of a building required to comply with existing health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the Code Enforcement Official and which are solely necessary to assure safe living conditions, or

b.) Any alteration of a “historic structure” provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure’s continued designation as a “historic structure.” Or

c.) Any building that has been damaged from any source or is categorized as repetitive loss.

"Substantially improved existing manufactured home parks or subdivisions" is where the repair, reconstruction, rehabilitation or improvement of the streets, utilities and pads equals or exceeds 50 percent of the value of the streets, utilities and pads before the repair, reconstruction or improvement commenced in an “Existing Manufactured Home Park or Subdivision” as defined in this Section.

"Variance" means a grant of relief by the community from the terms of a floodplain management regulation. Flood insurance requirements remain in place for any varied use or structure and cannot be varied by the community.

"Violation" means the failure of a structure or other development to be fully compliant with the community’s floodplain management regulations. A structure or other development without the elevation certificate, other certifications, or other evidence of compliance required by this chapter is in violation.

"Water Surface Elevation" means the height, in relation to the North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD88) (or other datum where
specified) of floods of various magnitudes and frequencies in the floodplain.

1008.050 Provisions for Flood Hazard Reduction

1. General Standards

(1.) No permit for floodplain development shall be granted for new construction, substantial improvements, and other improvements, including the placement of manufactured homes, within any numbered or unnumbered A zones, AE, AO, and AH zones, unless the conditions of this section are satisfied.

(2.) All areas identified as unnumbered A zones on the FIRM are subject to inundation of the 100-year flood; however, the base flood elevation is not provided. Development within unnumbered A zones is subject to all provisions of this chapter. If Flood Insurance Study data is not available, the community shall obtain, review, and reasonably utilize any base flood elevation or floodway data currently available from Federal, State, or other sources.

(3.) Until a floodway is designated, no new construction, substantial improvements, or other development, including fill, shall be permitted within any numbered A zone or AE zone on the FIRM, unless it is demonstrated that the cumulative effect of the proposed development, when combined with all other existing and anticipated development, will not increase the water surface elevation of the base flood more than one inch [foot] at any point within the community.

(4.) All new construction, subdivision proposals, substantial improvements, prefabricated structures, placement of manufactured homes, and other developments shall require:

(a.) design or adequate anchorage to prevent flotation, collapse, or lateral movement of the structure resulting from hydrodynamic and hydrostatic loads, including the effects of buoyancy;

(b.) construction with materials resistant to flood damage;

(c.) utilization of methods and practices that minimize flood damages;

(d.) all electrical, heating, ventilation, plumbing, air-conditioning equipment, and other service facilities be designed and/or located so as to prevent water from
entering or accumulating within the components during conditions of flooding;

(e.) new or replacement water supply systems and/or sanitary sewage systems be designed to minimize or eliminate infiltration of flood waters into the systems and discharges from the systems into flood waters, and on-site waste disposal systems be located so as to avoid impairment or contamination; and

(f.) subdivision proposals and other proposed new development, including manufactured home parks or subdivisions, located within special flood hazard areas are required to assure that:

(f-1) all such proposals are consistent with the need to minimize flood damage;

(f-2) all public utilities and facilities, such as sewer, gas, electrical, and water systems are located and constructed to minimize or eliminate flood damage;

(f-3) adequate drainage is provided so as to reduce exposure to flood hazards; and

(f-4) all proposals for development, including proposals for manufactured home parks and subdivisions, of five (5) acres or fifty (50) lots, whichever is lesser, include within such proposals base flood elevation data.

(5.) Storage, material, and equipment

(a.) The storage or processing of materials within the special flood hazard area that are in time of flooding buoyant, flammable, explosive, or could be injurious to human, animal, or plant life is prohibited.

(b.) Storage of other material or equipment may be allowed if not subject to major damage by floods, if firmly anchored to prevent flotation, or if readily removable from the area within the time available after a flood warning.

2. Specific Standards

(1.) In all areas identified as numbered and unnumbered A zones, AE, and AH Zones, where base flood elevation data have been provided, as set forth in Section 1008.050-1.2, the following provisions are required:

(a.) Residential Construction
New construction or substantial-improvement of any residential structures, including manufactured homes, shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated to one (1) foot above base flood elevation.

(b.) Non-Residential Construction

New construction or substantial-improvement of any commercial, industrial, or other non-residential structures, including manufactured homes shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated to one (1) foot above the base flood elevation or, together with attendant utility and sanitary facilities, be floodproofed so that below the base flood elevation the structure is watertight with walls substantially impermeable to the passage of water and with structural components having the capability of resisting hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and effects of buoyancy. A registered professional engineer or architect shall certify that the standards of this subsection are satisfied. Such certification shall be provided to the Floodplain Administrator as set forth in Section 1008.040-3.9.

(c.) Roadway Construction

New public roadways shall be constructed to a minimum of one (1) foot above base flood elevation.

(d.) Require, for all new construction and substantial-improvements, that fully enclosed areas below lowest floor used solely for parking of vehicles, building access, or storage in an area other than a basement and that are subject to flooding shall be designed to automatically equalize hydrostatic flood forces on exterior walls by allowing for the entry and exit of floodwaters. Designs for meeting this requirement must either be certified by a registered professional engineer or architect or meet or exceed the following minimum criteria:

(d-1) A minimum of two openings having a total net area of not less than one square inch for every square foot of enclosed area subject to flooding shall be provided; and

(d-2) the bottom of all openings shall be no higher than one foot above grade. Openings may be equipped with screens, louvers, valves, or other coverings or devices provided that they...
permit the automatic entry and exit of floodwaters.

3. Manufactured Homes

(1.) All manufactured homes to be placed within all unnumbered and numbered A zones, AE, and AH zones, on the community's FIRM shall be required to be installed using methods and practices that minimize flood damage. For the purposes of this requirement, manufactured homes must be elevated and anchored to resist flotation, collapse, or lateral movement. Methods of anchoring may include, but are not limited to, use of over-the-top or frame ties to ground anchors.

(2.) Require manufactured homes that are placed or substantially improved within unnumbered or numbered A zones, AE, and AH zones, on the community's FIRM on sites:

(a.) outside of manufactured home park or subdivision;

(b.) in a new manufactured home park or subdivision;

(c.) in an expansion to and existing manufactured home park or subdivision; or

(d.) in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision on which a manufactured home has incurred substantial damage as the result of a flood;

be elevated on a permanent foundation such that the lowest floor of the manufactured home is elevated to one (1) foot above the base flood elevation and be securely attached to an adequately anchored foundation system to resist flotation, collapse, and lateral movement.

(3.) Require that manufactured homes to be placed or substantially improved on sites in an existing manufactured home park or subdivision within all unnumbered and numbered A zones, AE and AH zones, on the community's FIRM, that are not subject to the provisions of Section 1008.050-3.2 of this chapter, be elevated so that either:

(a.) the lowest floor of the manufactured home is at one (1) foot above the base flood level, or

(b.) the manufactured home chassis is supported by reinforced piers or other foundation elements of at least equivalent strength that are no less than thirty-six (36) inches in height above grade and be securely attached to an adequately anchored foundation
system to resist flotation, collapse, and lateral movement.

4. Areas of Shallow Flooding (AO and AH zones)

Located within the areas of special flood hazard as described in Section 1008.030-1 are areas designated as AO zones. These areas have special flood hazards associated with base flood depths of one (1) to three (3) feet where a clearly defined channel does not exist and where the path of flooding is unpredictable and indeterminate. The following provisions apply:

(1.) AO Zones

(a.) All new construction and substantial-improvements of residential structures, including manufactured homes, shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated above the highest adjacent grade at least as high as the depth number specified in feet on the community's FIRM (at least two feet if no depth number is specified).

(b.) All new construction and substantial-improvements of any commercial, industrial, or other non-residential structures, including manufactured homes, shall have the lowest floor, including basement, elevated above the highest adjacent grade at least as high as the depth number specified in feet on the community FIRM (at least two feet if no depth number is specified) or together with attendant utilities and sanitary facilities be completely floodproofed to that so that the structure is watertight with walls substantially impermeable to the passage of water and with structural components having the capability of resisting hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and effects of buoyancy.

(c.) Adequate drainage paths shall be required around structures on slopes, in order to guide floodwaters around and away from proposed structures.

(2.) AH Zones

(a.) The specific standards for all areas of special flood hazard where base flood elevation has been provided shall be required as set forth in Article 4, Section B.

(b.) Adequate drainage paths shall be required around structures on slopes, in order to guide floodwaters around and away from proposed structures.

5. Floodways
Located within areas of special flood hazard established in Section 1008.030-1 are areas designated as floodways. Since the floodway is an extremely hazardous area due to the velocity of flood waters that carry debris and potential projectiles, the following provisions shall apply:

(1.) The community shall select and adopt a regulatory floodway based on the principle that the area chosen for the regulatory floodway must be designed to carry the waters of the base flood without increasing the water surface elevation of that flood more than one inch [foot] at any point.

(2.) The community shall prohibit any encroachments, including fill, new construction, substantial-improvements, and other development within the adopted regulatory floodway unless it has been demonstrated through hydrologic and hydraulic analyses performed in accordance with standard engineering practice that the proposed encroachment would not result in any increase in flood levels within the community during the occurrence of the base flood discharge.

(3.) If Section 1008.050-5.2 is satisfied, all new construction and substantial-improvements shall comply with all applicable flood hazard reduction provisions of Section 1008.050.

(4.) In unnumbered A zones, the community shall obtain, review, and reasonably utilize any base flood elevation or floodway data currently available from Federal, State, or other sources as set forth in Section 1008.050-1.2.

6. Recreational Vehicles

(1.) Require that recreational vehicles placed on sites within all unnumbered and numbered A zones, AO, AE, and AH zones on the community's FIRM either:

(a.) be on the site for fewer than 180 consecutive days,
(b.) be fully licensed and ready for highway use. A recreational vehicle is ready for highway use if it is on its wheels or jacking system, is attached to the site only by quick-disconnect type utilities and security devices, and has no permanently attached additions; or
(c.) meet the permitting, elevation, and anchoring requirements for manufactured homes of this chapter.
ADOPTED: __________________________

APPROVED: __________________________

ATTEST: __________________________
ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

__________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

COUNTY EXECUTIVE
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mike Shuman
FROM: Ernie Trakas
RE: Request for Legislation
DATE: January 10, 2020

Please prepare legislation for introduction at the January 14, 2020 Council Meeting, consistent with that enacted in Jefferson County, that will restrict development along the Menamoc River if said development will result in an increase of the water table in excess of one (1) inch.

My office has provided additional information. Thank you.

cc: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE ON BEHALF OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, TO SUBMIT AN APPLICATION AND EXECUTE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO JOIN THE MISSOURI CLEAN ENERGY DISTRICT.

WHEREAS, the 95th General Assembly of Missouri enacted Sections 67.2800 to 67.2835, inclusive, RSMo, known as the “Property Assessment Clean Energy Act” (the “Act”); and

WHEREAS, the development, production, and efficient use of clean energy and renewable energy, as well as the installation of energy efficiency improvements to publicly and privately owned real property, will create jobs for residents of St. Louis County, advance the economic well-being and public and environmental health of St. Louis County, and contribute to the energy independence of our nation; and

WHEREAS, the primary intent of funding energy efficiency and renewable energy improvements pursuant to the Act is to promote the public purposes described above; and

WHEREAS, the State of Missouri established a Clean Energy Development Board to initiate and administer a Property Assessed Clean Energy (“PACE”) Program so that owners of qualifying property can access funding for energy efficiency improvements or renewable energy improvements to their properties located in such Municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the County desires to enhance consumer protection policies to protect the integrity of the PACE Program for property owners and County; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of St. Louis County and for the benefit of its residents and businesses to have an option in addition to the St. Louis County Clean Energy Development Board established by 26,164 SLCRO and to join the Missouri Clean Energy District;
NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF THE COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive, on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute an application and execute the necessary documents to join and participate in the Missouri Clean Energy District. Any such documents shall contain such terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: __________________________

APPROVED: __________________________

CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST:

ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

__________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
MEMORANDUM

To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor
From: Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway, 2nd District
Date: March 4, 2020

Please prepare legislation which will authorize the St. Louis County PACE program to join the Missouri Clean Energy District.

Councilman Mark Harder will be a co-sponsor.

We will introduce this legislation at the Council meeting on March 10, 2020.

We will forward more information to you at a later date in order to accomplish this.

Thank you for your assistance.

cc: Dian Valenti, Acting Administrative Director/County Clerk
Councilman Mark Harder, 7th District
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTER 1105 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED “DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,” BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 1105.060 PERTAINING TO SPECIAL USE FEES.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title XI, Chapter 1105 SLCRO 1974, as amended, “Department of Transportation,” is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 1105.060, which shall read as follows:

1105.060 – Fees.

1. The following fees are established for the filing of vacation petitions and special use permits, and providing of testing services:

   a. Vacation petition . . . . . $200.00

   b. Special Use Permit, per unit (non-utilities) . . . . .208.00

   Special Use Permit (utilities) . . . . . $154.79 plus $55.84 for each additional project working day beyond the initial project working day

   [Per unit (utilities) . . . . . 116.00]

   c. Compression Strength Testing . . . . .11.00

   d. Core Drilling and Extraction . . . . .43.00

   e. Steel Testing Services . . . . .45.00

2. Half of the vacation petition fee shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund with the balance credited to the General Revenue Fund.

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
3. Special use permit and Highway inspection fees shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund.

4. Testing services fees shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund.

ADOPTED: ____________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________  ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

__________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE
SAINT LOUIS COUNTY
41 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE
SAINT LOUIS, MISSOURI 63105

SAM PAGE
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

August 21, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to amend Section 1105.060 SLCZO, as amended, by revising the Utility Special Use Permit fee structure. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to increase the per unit (utilities) fee from $116 to $154.79 plus $53.34 for each additional project working day beyond the initial project working day. The above section was last amended via Ordinance No. 22,102 (2004).

The additional $38.79 to be applied to the standard fee and the additional $55.34 per additional working day will allow the Department of Transportation and Public Works ("T&PW") to recover its direct costs related to reviewing and processing permit requests as well as costs associated with providing field inspections for utility work within St. Louis County road right-of-way.

Dominia Venker, Director of T&PW, states that the proposed increase in fees is necessary to cover the costs of staff time and operations required to effectively administer these utility permitting services. The funds received from the fees should continue to be credited as outlined in the ordinance. Your consideration is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

SP/Acon
Attachment
cc: Rita Heard Derys, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Texius, Mark Harder
Re: Proposed Ordinance Amendment to Revise the Utility Special Use Permit Fee Structure

St. Louis County Department of Transportation would like to amend Chapter 1105, Section 1105.060, of the Revised Ordinances of St. Louis County, Missouri 1974. The purpose of this ordinance amendment is to revise the Utility Special Use Permit fee structure to allow for County to recoup its direct costs related to reviewing and processing permit requests and providing Field Inspections for utility work within St. Louis County road right-of-way.

The fee increase is necessary to enable the Department of Transportation to pay for the department staff time involved in the utility permitting process and the funds from the fee increase will be used for that purpose.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Deanna Vanker, P.E.
Director, Department of Transportation

DV-JNTK:

by: Beth Ochrock, St. Louis County Treasury (with copy of the draft ordinance)
BilNo: ______________, 2020

Ordinance No: ______________, 2020

Introduced by Councilmember: ________________________

AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING CHAPTER 1105, SCRCO 1974 AS AMENDED
"DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, "BY REPEALING
AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 1105.060 PERTAINING TO
SPECIAL USE FEES.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY,
MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Chapter 1105, SCRCO 1974 as amended, "Department of
Transportation," is amended by repealing and re-enacting
Sections 1105.060, which shall read as follows:

1105.060 - Fees.

The following fees are established for the filing of vacation
petitions and special use permits, and providing of
testing services:

a. Vacation petition . . . . $200.00
b. Special Use Permit, per unit (non-utilities) . . . . 230.00
   [Per unit (utilities) . . . . 16.00]
   Special Use Permit (utilities) . . . . $154.19 plus $53.81 for
each additional project working day beyond the initial
project working day.

c. Compression Strength Testing . . . 11.50
4. Core Drilling and Extraction . . . . . . . . 43.00
5. Steel Testing Services . . . . . . . . 45.00

2. Half of the vacation petition fee shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund with the balance credited to the General Revenue Fund.

3. Special use permit and Highway inspection fees shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund.

4. Testing services fees shall be credited to the Special Road and Bridge Fund.

NOTE: Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets is not enacted and is intended to be omitted from the ordinance.

ADOPTED: ___________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ___________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTTEST: ___________________________  ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVE AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
AN ORDINANCE

AMENDING TITLE VII, CHAPTER 703 SLCRO 1974 AS AMENDED "ST. LOUIS COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CODE," BY REPEALING AND RE-ENACTING SECTION 703.070 PERTAINING TO DUTIES OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE DURING A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND STATE OF EMERGENCY DECLARATIONS DUE TO A PANDEMIC.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Title VII, Chapter 703 SLCRO 1974, as amended, "St. Louis County Emergency Management Code," is amended by repealing and re-enacting Section 703.070, which shall read as follows:

703.070 - State of Emergency—Duties.—In the event of actual enemy attack upon the United States or of the occurrence of disaster from fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural causes involving imminent peril to lives and property in St. Louis County, the County Executive, or during the Executive's temporary disability or absence from the County, the Chairman of the Council, as acting Executive, or the designated Executive, may declare that a state of emergency exists in St. Louis County and may exercise during the actual existence of such emergency the power to enforce all rules and regulations relating to emergency management, and acting under the provisions of RSMo Chapter 44, or acting as an agent of the Governor may take control of all means of transportation and supplies, and all facilities including buildings and plants, and exercise all powers necessary to assure the safety and protection of the civilian population. He may in the event of such emergency by enemy attack, waive any requirements of statute or ordinance pertaining to the advertisement for bids for the performance of public work or entering into contracts. In exercising his powers, he shall be guided by regulations and orders issued by the Federal Government and the Governor of Missouri relating to civil defense and shall take no action contrary to orders issued by the Governor under similar emergency powers. No state of emergency declared by the County Executive pertaining to a pandemic shall extend for a duration beyond the fifteenth day following the effective date of such declaration unless the
County Council adopts a resolution approving extension of such state of emergency and setting forth the duration that the state of emergency shall remain effective. Any state of emergency declaration in effect on the effective date of this ordinance shall terminate upon the expiration of the fifteenth day following the effective date of this ordinance unless the County Council adopts a resolution to extend the declaration as set forth herein.

ADOPTED: ________________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ________________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ________________________________
    ACTING ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

______________________________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
Section 2.190.4. Notwithstanding any other section of this Charter or any ordinance of St. Louis County, in the event of a pandemic no state of emergency declared by the County Executive pertaining thereto shall remain effective for a duration longer than fifteen days after the date of such declaration without the adoption by the County Council by a two-thirds majority vote a resolution approving an extension of the duration of such state of emergency and setting forth the further duration that the state of emergency shall remain effective.
MEMORANDUM

To:        Beth Orwick, Esq, County Counselor
From:      Ernie Trakas
Date:      September 16, 2020
Re:        Request to draft legislation

This is a request that your office draft legislation for introduction at the September 22, 2020 meeting of the County Council. The purpose of the legislation is to limit the scope and/or duration of emergency orders issued by the County Executive and/or the Director of the Public Health Department. The requested legislation should provide the Council with the ability to limit the duration of all such emergency orders to fifteen (15) days unless affirmed and extended by Resolution passed by simple majority vote of the Council. The requested legislation should be consistent with the stated objectives articulated in the sub bills to Bills 175 and 176 submitted by Councilmen Fitch and Harder on September 15, 2020. However, given the legal issues and infirmities identified in the September 15, 2020 legal memorandum issued by your office concerning those initiatives, the legislation drafted in response to this request should address and correct all legal infirmities identified in the September 15 memorandum. In other words, the legislation drafted in response to this request must be legally sound, able to successfully withstand legal challenge, and be enforceable by your office.
AN ORDINANCE


BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The budget for the General Fund for fiscal year 2021 as submitted by the County Executive to the County Council on October 2, 2020, is hereby approved and adopted.

SECTION 2. There is hereby appropriated and set apart out of the unappropriated balance of the General Fund the sum of $330,986,267 of which $6,481,738 is for the Emergency Fund, and of which $324,504,529 is to be placed to the credit of the respective Departments, Offices, Boards, Commissions, Agencies, and Funds of the St. Louis County Government as provided in the budget for the fiscal year 2021.

ADOPTED: ___________________________    CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ___________________________    COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ___________________________    ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

__________________________    COUNTY COUNSELOR
MEMORANDUM

To: Beth Orwick; County Counselor

From: Chairwoman Lisa Clancy, Councilwoman, 5th District

Date: November 30, 2020

RE: Requesting Fiscal Year 2021 Budget Bills

Please draft the appropriate legislation for introduction during the December 1, 2020 regular meeting of the St. Louis County Council pursuant to the adoption of the Fiscal Year 2021 budget provided that the Council may request substitutions to the bills at a later date.

Sincerely,

Lisa Clancy
Chairwoman, Councilwoman 5th District

CC: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director; Council members and staff
BILL NO. 308, 2020
ORDINANCE NO. , 2020

Introduced by Councilmember Clancy

AN ORDINANCE

AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH SUSAN WILSON LLC TO PROVIDE CONSULTING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH (“DPH”) RELATED TO TRANSITIONING DPH HEALTH CLINIC OPERATIONS TO A FEDERALLY QUALIFIED HEALTH CENTER LOOK-ALIKE MODEL.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The County Executive on behalf of St. Louis County, Missouri, is authorized to execute a contract with Susan Wilson LLC (“SWLLC”).

SECTION 2. The contract authorized in Section 1 shall provide for SWLLC to provide consulting services for the Department of Public Health (“DPH”) relating to DPH’s efforts to transition its health clinic operations to a Federally Qualified Health Center Look-Alike model; for an initial term of two years, with the option to renew for an additional two-year term upon the same terms and conditions as the original; for payment to SWLLC in an amount not to exceed $280,000 for the initial term and in an amount not to exceed $280,000 for the renewal term; and for such other terms and conditions as are approved by the County Counselor.

ADOPTED: ____________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: ____________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE

ATTEST: ____________________  ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

__________________________  COUNTY COUNSELOR
SAM PAGE  
COUNTY EXECUTIVE  

November 30, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clayo, Chair  
and Members of the St. Louis County Council  
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building  
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize execution of a contract for consulting services with Susan Wilson LLC in support of the Department of Public Health's ("DPH") transition of DPH health clinic operations to a Federally Qualified Health Center ("FQHC") Look Alike model. The term of the proposed contract is for two years and will commence upon contract execution with the option to renew for an additional two-year term upon mutual agreement and availability of funding. The cost of the contract for the initial two-year term is for an amount not to exceed $280,000 and the cost for the two-year renewal, if executed, will be the same. The cost of the contract is available and will be paid from the budget allocation for the DPH Division of Primary Health & Primary Care Integration.

This proposed contract results from a Request for Proposals ("RFP") issued in accordance with Chapter 107 SLCRO which generated one proposal. A selection committee comprised of three subject matter experts from DPH convened to evaluate the proposal based on the criteria outlined in the RFP. The criteria included qualifications including ability and record of performance in the past five years for project or services of similar nature, demonstrated success in writing FQHC Look Alike or FQHC applications accepted by the Health Resources and Services Administration ("HRSA"), M/WBE certification, demonstrated experts and credentials of individual consultant and sub-contractors, and cost. Based on the above criteria, the committee determined that Susan Wilson LLC could successfully perform the requested scope of work at a fair and reasonable cost. A letter from the Acting Director of Procurement certifying that the RFP process was properly administered is attached hereto.

Under the terms of the proposed contract, Susan Wilson LLC will be responsible for providing consulting services to DPH with respect to DPH's goal to transition all DPH health clinic operations to HRSA-certified FQHC model. Such transition will maintain current DPH service levels while also expanding and enhancing the quality of overall primary care provided to residents at DPH clinics. This action is also intended to draw significant additional funding for DPH clinics, largely through enhanced Medicaid reimbursement. The prospect of this transition was identified in a 2019 feasibility study and DPH has taken meaningful strides towards becoming an FQHC over the last year. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page  
County Executive

SP/Atch

Attachment
November 20, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page
St. Louis County Executive
41 South Central Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63105

RE: FQHC look alike services
RFP # 2020-48-PR

Dear County Executive Page,

The Department of Public Health (DPH), in conjunction with the Division of Procurement, solicited a Request for Proposals (RFP) to provide FQHC look alike services for the Department of Public Health.

The RFP outlined the services required of the contractor and the evaluation criteria to be used in determining the best value to the county.

Proposals were evaluated on the following criteria:

- Qualifications including ability and record of performance in the past five (5) years for projects or services of a similar nature, which may include feedback from references
- Demonstrated success in writing FQHC Look-Alike or FQHC applications accepted by HRSA
- M/WBE certification of Proposer
- Proposal that addresses all topical areas outlined in section 5.2 including individual consultant and sub-contractors’ credentials and experience and demonstrated specific expertise in their fields
- Price

In response to our RFP advertisement, we received one proposal. An evaluation committee consisting of three members, representing subject matter experts from DPH, was convened to review the proposal, with a representative from Procurement present for certification. Using the evaluation criteria stated above and in the RFP, the evaluation committee members independently reviewed and scored the proposal. The committee determined that Susan Wilson LLC could successfully fulfill all requests in the RFP and at a reasonable price.
We are requesting an initial term of two years commencing upon contract execution with the option to renew for one additional two-year term upon mutual agreement and appropriation of sufficient funding for the contract year.

Attached is the information required by Chapter 107.132. I respectfully request that you seek appropriate legislative authority from the County Council to enter into a contract with Susan Wilson LLC for an amount not to exceed 280,000 for the initial two-year contract and $280,000 for the two-year renewal. No additional financial burden will be experienced by St. Louis County taxpayers.

Sincerely,

Spring Schmidt
Acting Co-Director

cc: Procurement
November 19, 2020

The Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman
Members of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Government Center
St. Louis, MO 63105

Dear Chairwoman Clancy and Council Members:

Per Section 107.132 SLCRO, the purchasing agent shall review the evaluation committee’s recommendation to determine if the Request for Proposals (RFP) process was followed. If the purchasing agent determines that the RFP process was followed, he shall complete a written certification.

I have reviewed the documentation presented by the Department of Public Health for RFP 2020-48-PR and certify the process was followed according to Section 107.132 SLCRO.

Sincerely,

Tod A. Martin
Director
Acting Director of Procurement
Title: Susan Wilson LLC  
FQHC look alike consulting services  
RFP # 2020-48-PR

Date: November 16, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procurement method used</th>
<th>$280,000 for the initial 2 year contract then $280,000 for two-year renewal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The total amount requested for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The total amount budgeted for the contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (a)</td>
<td>$280,000 for the initial 2 year contract then $280,000 for two-year renewal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The source of the funds to be used for the contract or contracts and any renewals, including any grant funding to be received by the County 107.132 (5) (b)</td>
<td>The source of the funds for this contract will be from the DPH budget, Primary Health &amp; Primary Care Integration Division. This contract is for an initial two-year term with the option to renew for one additional two-year term upon mutual agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The services to be provided under the proposed contract or contracts 107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td>FQHC look alike consulting services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If services to be provided are an expansion of a current program, project or service, explain the current level and expanded level 107.132 (5) (c)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any contingency funds requested (anticipated allowances, variations or change orders) 107.132 (5) (d)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AN ORDINANCE

APPROVING ACCEPTANCE OF A DONATION OF $3,000 FROM THE ST. LOUIS COUNTY PARKS FOUNDATION; AND APPROPRIATING THE SAME FOR SUPPORT OF THE PURCHASE BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION OF THREE COWS TO BE SHELTERED AT SUSON PARK ANIMAL FARM.

WHEREAS, the St. Louis County Parks Foundation has donated $3,000 to St. Louis County for support of the purchase by the Department of Parks and Recreation of three cows to be sheltered at Suson Park Animal Farm; and

WHEREAS, the County Executive has accepted this donation, subject to approval by the County Council;

NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The donation of $3,000 from the St. Louis County Parks Foundation, accepted by the County Executive on behalf of St. Louis County, is hereby approved.

SECTION 2. The donation of $3,000 is deposited and appropriated into account 1050-3624-474530, for support of the purchase by the Department of Parks and Recreation of three cows to be sheltered at Suson Park Animal Farm.

SECTION 3. The St. Louis County Council extends its sincere appreciation to the St. Louis County Parks Foundation for this generous donation.

ADOPTED: __________________________  CHAIR, COUNTY COUNCIL

APPROVED: __________________________  COUNTY EXECUTIVE
ATTEST:
ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:

____________
COUNTY COUNSELOR
Honorable Ernie Trakas  
and Members of the St. Louis County Council  
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building  
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

I respectfully request that the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to authorize acceptance of a $3,000 donation from the St. Louis County Parks Foundation to benefit the Department of Parks and Recreation ("Parks").

Tom Ott, Director of Parks, states that the department will use the donation to purchase three cows which will be sheltered at the Suson Park animal farm. Mr. Ott states that the cows will help enhance the experience of park users who visit the animal farm. If the Council determines to accept this donation, monies should be appropriated to account 1050-3674-474530. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page  
County Executive
November 20, 2020

Honorable Dr. Sam Page
County Government Center
41 South Central Avenue
Clayton, MO 63105

Re: Request to Accept a Donation from St. Louis County Parks Foundation

Dear Dr. Page,

I respectfully request that St. Louis County ("County") enact the necessary legislation to accept the donation of $3000.00 from St. Louis County Parks Foundation ("SLCPF").

The donation from SLCPF will be used to purchase three (3) cows for Suson Park. The donated funds to purchase of the cows will help enhance the animal display in the park to be enjoyed by all County park visitors.

Sincerely,

Thomas Ott

Thomas M. Ott, Director
Department of Parks and Recreation

TMO/JB
cc: June Bernaugh, Accounting Manager
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor
From: Councilwoman Rita H. Days, District 1
Date: December 21, 2020
Re: Honorary Resolution for Add-on Agenda

Please prepare an honorary resolution for the December 22, 2020 County Council meeting recognizing the distinguished achievements of St. Louis County Councilwoman Rochelle Walton Gray. My office will provide you with the details for the resolution.

Cc: Diann Valenti
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Associate County Counselor
From: Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway, 2nd District
Date: December 21, 2020

Please prepare a Resolution honoring the late Linda Eaker to be presented at the December 22, 2020 council meeting. The details will be forthcoming.

Thank you for your assistance.

cc: Dian Valenti, Administrative Director/County Clerk
To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor

From: Council Vice-Chairwoman Rochelle Walton Gray, District 4

Date: December 21, 2020

RE: Request for Resolution

Please prepare a resolution to correct an error, within a previous Resolution that passed, in reference to improving the habitat for our native plants.

Would like it to be on the agenda for the December 22, 2020 County Council Meeting.

If you have questions please give our office a call.

Thanking you in advance, for your assistance.

Cc: Diann Valentl, Acting Administrative Director
MEMORANDUM

To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor
From: Council Vice-Chairwoman Rochelle Walton Gray, District 4
Date: October 23, 2020
RE: Use of Deadly Force

Please prepare resolution that requests the Chief of Police or the Board of Police
Commissioners modify the provision allowing law enforcement officers to use deadly force in
certain circumstances and establishes a task force on the use of force, within the St. Louis
County Police Department.

If you have questions please give our office a call.

Thanking you in advance, for your assistance.

Cc: Diann Valenti, Administrative Director
To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor

From: Council Vice-Chairwoman Rochelle Walton Gray, District 4

Date: September 18, 2020

RE: Request for Resolution

Please prepare resolution requiring any officer-involved deaths to be investigated by a law enforcement agency that does not employ a law enforcement officer involved in the death being investigated. We will provide additional information.

If you have questions please give our office a call.

Thanking you in advance, for your assistance.

Cc: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
MEMORANDUM

To: Kyle Cronin, Assistant County Counselor

From: Chairwoman Lisa Clancy, Councilwoman, 5th District

Date: December 18, 2020

RE: Requesting Resolution for Santa Claus and COVID-19 Protocols

Please prepare a resolution for introduction during the December 22, 2020 regular meeting of the St. Louis County Council pursuant to Santa Claus being able to deliver presents this year amidst the ongoing pandemic. Councilwoman Kelli Dunaway should be added as a cosponsor.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Lisa Clancy
Chairwoman, Councilwoman 5th District

CC: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director; Council members and staff
MEMORANDUM

To: Gen Frank, Associate County Counselor

From: Chairwoman Lisa Clancy, Councilwoman, 5th District

Date: September 4, 2020

RE: Request for Resolution to Affirm Recommendations of Justice Services Advisory Board, Justice, Health, and Welfare Committee

On September 1, the St. Louis County Council’s Justice, Health, and Welfare committee conducted a hearing to discuss various concerns received from Justice Services’ employees about operations within the Department of Justice Services. This hearing was one step in an ongoing process of inquiry related to allegations of misconduct in the Justice Center.

Consequently, I ask that you draft a resolution to affirm recent recommendations that have been made by the Justice Services Advisory Board and the Council’s Committee on Justice, Health, and Welfare pertaining to these matters. The language should include the detailed recommendations that these bodies recently voted on related to personnel changes within the department and a proposed outside investigation. Furthermore, the resolution should convey the gravity of the situation, affirming the Board’s advice. Councilwoman Rochelle Walton Gray should be added as a cosponsor.

CC: Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director
MEMORANDUM

TO:     Gen Frank, St. Louis County Counselor
FROM:   Mark Harder, Councilman District 7
DATE:   October 22, 2020
RE:     Resolution Request

Please prepare a resolution for the October 27, 2020 Council meeting regarding the alleged charter violations by the County Executive. My office will communicate further details.

Sincerely,

Mark Harder
Councilman, District 7
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Article IV, Section 4.155 of the St. Louis County Charter (2020), I am hereby appointing Dr. Karl E. Shankar, DDS, 1500 Park Avenue, Creve Coeur, Missouri 63141, to the St. Louis County Health Advisory Board to serve in the required position of resident of St. Louis County regularly licensed practitioner of dentistry. Dr. Shankar is appointed to fill a currently vacant seat for a term of three years.

Dr. Shankar has been a licensed dentist in the State of Missouri since 1979 and additionally holds DEA and BNDD licenses. He is the Director of Special Needs and an Assistant Professor of Dentistry at ATSU Dental Clinic in St. Louis where he teaches all areas of dentistry with a focus on special needs patients of all ages. He is an expert in various areas of dentistry including special needs, operative dentistry, prosthodontics, implants, crown and bridge, endodontics and pedodontics. Dr. Shankar brings to the board more than 35 years of experience including experience in private practice.

Pursuant to the same, I am hereby reappointing the following individuals to the Health Advisory Board:

Dr. Joseph Forand, MD, 2 Fox Meadows, Sunset Hills, Missouri 63127, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of one year;

Dr. Sharon Frey, MD, 7562 York Drive, Apt. 2E, Clayton, Missouri 63105, to continue serving in the position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of two years;

Dr. Andrew Gold, MD, 6 Groeser Acres, St. Louis, Missouri 63146, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of three years;

Ms. Judy Ross Goodman, MSW, 17 Wydown Terrace, St. Louis, Missouri 63105, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of two years;
Dr. Ravi Johar, MD, 405 Argus Manor Ct, Chesterfield, Missouri 63017, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of one year;

Dr. Jay Meyer, MD, 1146 Conover Lane, Frontenac, Missouri 63131, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County, regularly licensed practitioner of medicine for a term of three years;

Mr. Nick Tharenos, RPh, 606 Aspen Ridge Court, Town and Country, Missouri 63017, to continue serving in the required position of resident of St. Louis County for a term of two years.

The above appointment and reappointments are hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Ross County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Section 349.045 RSMo, and Ordinance No. 9,120 SLCRO, I am hereby appointing the following individuals to the Industrial Development Authority Board of Directors (“IDA”):

Ms. Wendi Alper-Pressman, 126 N. Central, Unit A, Clayton, Missouri, 63105. Ms. Alper-Pressman is a partner at Lathrop GPM LLP where her principal focus is on the representation of both borrowers and lenders in connection with construction financing, asset-based loan facilities, and commercial real estate loans. Ms. Alper-Pressman has been featured several times in recent publications of the Best Lawyers in America and was recognized in 2018 as one of the St. Louis Business Journal’s “Influential Business Women.” Ms. Alper-Pressman is appointed for a term of two years.

Ms. Reyna Spencer, 3425 Maybelle Drive, St. Louis, Missouri, 63121. Ms. Spencer is the Director of Government Affairs and Public Policy for Beyond Housing. Ms. Spencer is critical to the coordination of Beyond Housing’s “24:1 Initiative” which is a nationally recognized community development initiative seeking to address fundamental issues and solutions for the twenty-four municipalities within the footprint of the Normandy School District. Prior to joining Beyond Housing, Ms. Spencer was a project manager at Urban Strategies, a housing development coordinator for the Wellston Community Support Association, and a community development specialist for the Missouri Department of Economic Development. Ms. Spencer is appointed for a term of four years.

Mr. David Courtney Williams, 5318 Trailoaks Drive, Black Jack, Missouri, 63033. Mr. Williams is a representative with the United Food and Commercial Workers Local 655 where his primary responsibilities include representing Local 655 membership in negotiating collective bargaining agreements and resolving grievances between members and management. Mr. Williams is also critical to organizing the union’s efforts and advancing the union’s mission through strategic campaign planning, fundraising, volunteer coordination, and budgeting. Mr. Williams is appointed for a term of four years.
Pursuant to the same, I am hereby reappointing Mr. Ashwin Dundoo, 14 Overhills Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63124. Mr. Dundoo is the Director of Finance at Reliant Care Management Company and presently serves as the Chair of the IDA. He is being reappointed for a term of six years.

The above appointments and reappointment are hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kcm
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
Honorable Ernie Trakas
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Title IV, Section 4.230 SLCRO (2020), I am hereby appointing Mr. Otto G. Schoenberg III, 2242 Cloverhurst Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63123, to the St. Louis County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board. Mr. Schoenberg is a resident of Council District 6 and will fill a currently vacant position for a term of three years.

Mr. Schoenberg is a licensed journeyman painter and field representative for IUPAT District Council 58. He is a past president of IUPAT Local 115 and holds the rank of Eagle Scout with the Boy Scouts of America. Mr. Schoenberg is an avid outdoorsman who is passionate about the preservation of County parks and enhancement of park services for County residents.

The appointment of Mr. Otto Schoenberg to the Parks and Recreation Advisory Board is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and approval.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

Sp/kem
cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Mark Harder
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Title VIII, Section 807.100 SLCCRO, as of this date I am appointing Mr. Michael Gregory Barry to the St. Louis County Restaurant Commission to serve in the required position of member experienced and knowledgeable in the food establishment trade. Mr. Barry is appointed to fill a currently vacant seat for a term of three years.

Mr. Barry holds a bachelor’s degree in Finance from St. Louis University and is a retired Sergeant with the United States Army Reserves. Upon leaving the service, Mr. Barry began his career in the restaurant industry working as a restaurant manager for four different restaurants and as a club house manager at four different country clubs throughout the St. Louis region. His over 40 years of experience in the industry will be of great value to the Commission which will be critical to advising the Department of Public Health and members of the restaurant industry, especially as the industry navigates unrepresented challenges caused by the continued spread of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The appointment of Mr. Michael Gregory Barry to the St. Louis County Restaurant Commission is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

Pursuant to Title IV, Section 4.265 of the St. Louis County Charter (2020), I am hereby appointing Mr. Justin Randall, 5046 Millburn Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63129, to the St. Louis County Board of Zoning Adjustment for a term of three years. Mr. Randall will fill a currently vacant seat on the three-member board.

Mr. Randall is the Assistant Director in the Department of Development for the City of O'Fallon, Illinois and brings to the board more than a decade of experience in community development and community planning. Prior to his employment with the City of O'Fallon, Mr. Randall was a planner with the Jefferson County Planning Division where he focused on the creation of special area plans. In his work, Mr. Randall focuses on creating plans and engaging stakeholders to ensure that all planning involves fostering community interaction and civic pride. In 2012, Mr. Randall was appointed to the Board of Directors for the St. Louis Metro Section of the American Planning Association. Mr. Randall's appointment to the Board of Zoning Adjustment is additionally recommended by Gail Choate, Acting Director of the St. Louis County Department Planning.

The appointment of Mr. Justin Randall to the St. Louis County Board of Zoning Adjustment is hereby presented to the St. Louis County Council for its information and confirmation.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

cc: Rita Heard Days, Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 9, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chair
And Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

As provided in Section 8.050 of the County Charter and Ordinance #27,318 (2019), the County Executive may request transfer of appropriations within any department during any fiscal year. These transfers must be approved by the Council.

It is respectfully requested that the Council authorize the Budget Director to approve the transfer of $465,793 within General Fund appropriation of the Department of Justice Services. This transfer is required to move appropriations among organization within the department to cover expenses for the remainder of the year. These expenditure changes are the result of personnel and expenses changes under new directors.

Please contact me if you require any additional information to assist in your evaluation of this request.

Sincerely,

Doug Burris
Director

Concur:

Paul Kreidler,
Budget Director

2020-12-09
Attachment (Journal # [year]-[journal number])
December 11, 2020

Honorable Lisa Glennie, Chairwoman
and Member of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Council
Government Center
Clayton, MO 63105

Locator: #160220670
County Council District: #2

Honorable Council Members:

Marla Patel, Managing Officer of OHM Hotel Group; LLC/DBA Bracket Room, 1030 Woodcrest Terrace Dr., St. Louis County, MO 63141 has requested permission to obtain a Retail by the Drink and a Sunday liquor license.

The area to be licensed is: All of a building.

The documentation supplied complies with St. Louis County requirements as a change of owner. Approval of the above request is hereby being submitted for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. Gerard W. Hollins, Jr.
Director of Revenue

GWH/af
December 11, 2020

Honorable Lisa Clancy, Chairwoman:
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
St. Louis County Council
Government Center
Clayton, MO 63105

Locator: #0117380
County Council District: #4

Honorable Council Members:

Jay K. Patel, Managing Officer of Shri Ganesh I, LLC/DBA PX Liquor, 11914 Laclede Rd., St.
Louis County, MO 63138 has requested permission to obtain an Original Package and Sunday
Original Package liquor license.

The area to be licensed is: Ground floor of a single story building.

The documentation supplied complies with St. Louis County requirements as a new liquor
license. Approval of the above request is hereby being submitted for your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. Gerard W. Hollins, Jr.
Director of Revenue

GWH/ef
Ms. Diann Valenti, Acting Administrative Director  
41 South Central Avenue  
Clayton, MO 63105  

Dear Ms. Valenti:

As provided in Section 107.145, SLCRO, the Procurement Agent is authorized to dispose of personal property which has become obsolete and unusable, or would require various degrees of repair if they were to be placed in service.

It is respectfully requested that approval to dispose of capital assets listed in the attachment be given by the Council, after which the Division of Procurement will dispose of the equipment upon terms advantageous to the County.

Sincerely,

Tod A. Martin  
Director of Administration  
Acting Director of Procurement

Attachment

cc: Beth Orwick, County Counselor  
Vickie Fredrick, Chief Accounting Officer  
David Davis, Fixed Asset Accountant  
Bob Cradicke, General Services  
Procurement

TM/sc
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>864</td>
<td>CONFERENCE TABLE BLACK</td>
<td>128310</td>
<td>CHILDREN'S SERVICE FUND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>865</td>
<td>MODULAR WORKSTATION</td>
<td>130137</td>
<td>CHILDREN'S SERVICE FUND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>866</td>
<td>MODULAR WORKSTATION</td>
<td>130138</td>
<td>CHILDREN'S SERVICE FUND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>867</td>
<td>MODULAR WORKSTATION</td>
<td>130139</td>
<td>CHILDREN'S SERVICE FUND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>DELL CORE I-3 OPTIPLEX 3020</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>DELL PRECISION T3500</td>
<td>124421</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>870</td>
<td>DELL PRECISION T1700</td>
<td>500696</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>871</td>
<td>DELL LATITUDE 5580 LAPTOP XCTO</td>
<td>140406</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>872</td>
<td>DELL PRECISION T3500</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>873</td>
<td>HP 7420 WORKSTATION</td>
<td>138500</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>874</td>
<td>HP 2420 WORKSTATION</td>
<td>138739</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>875</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>127348</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>876</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>124349</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>877</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>127352</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>878</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>127356</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>879</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>129006</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>880</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>129617</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>130759</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>882</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>130765</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>130773</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>130803</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>885</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>130812</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>886</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>133446</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>887</td>
<td>PANASONIC TOUGHBOOK CF-31</td>
<td>133874</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>888</td>
<td>LEDCO DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>889</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>890</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>892</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>125098</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>893</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>126032</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>894</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>895</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896</td>
<td>HAVIS DOCK</td>
<td>UNKNOWN</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>897</td>
<td>DELL OPTIPLEX 3020</td>
<td>500813</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>898</td>
<td>DELL OPTIPLEX 3020</td>
<td>500363</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>899</td>
<td>DELL OPTIPLEX 3010</td>
<td>132589</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>DELL OPTIPLEX 3010</td>
<td>132596</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>DELL OPTIPLEX 3010</td>
<td>132560</td>
<td>POLICE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
December 11, 2020

Honorable Rita Heard Days
and Members of the St. Louis County Council
Lawrence K. Roos County Government Building
Clayton, Missouri 63105

Honorable Council Members:

On behalf of the St. Louis Economic Development Partnership ("SLEDP"), I respectfully request the St. Louis County Council take the necessary legislative action to approve a plan for an industrial development project involving The Boeing Company ("Boeing") as described in the attachment (the "Project"), and to authorize the issuance of Chapter 103 bonds in an amount up to $45 million to finance the Project. Boeing is Missouri’s largest manufacturer and has long been a national leader in aerospace manufacturing including production of critical military aircrafts. Rodney Crim, CEO and President of SLEDP, states that Boeing intends to spend approximately $45 million to construct and equip a 30,000 square foot operations facility to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr. in an unincorporated area of St. Louis County’s 1st Council District. Mr. Crim states that the Project will support and service Boeing’s aerospace programs and is critical to the long-term viability of its operations at the St. Louis location.

The requested legislation will provide for real and personal property tax abatement equal to fifty percent (50%) of the estimated real and personal property taxes on the Project that would otherwise be due over a ten-year term. In addition to the abatement, Boeing will receive a sales tax exemption on construction materials purchased for the Project. In return, Boeing agrees to maintain its facilities in the County, retain 12,100 full-time jobs in the County, and, in addition, create 30 new, full-time jobs by 2024 with an average annual salary of approximately $90,000. The Project is estimated to generate approximately $4,414,735 for the taxing jurisdictions over the life of the abatement.

I respectfully request that the Council take the necessary action to instruct the Administrative Director to send notice of the Council’s intent to consider the industrial development plan to the appropriate taxing districts, and after such notice period is completed, take the necessary legislative action to authorize the issuance of up to $45 million of Chapter 103 Bonds and approve the plan for industrial development.

Since 2015, Boeing has increased employment from 14,700 to nearly 16,000 jobs in St. Louis. Funding this Project presents an opportunity for the County to demonstrate its commitment to retaining and supporting the growth of local companies and the creation of new, diverse work options. Doing so provides incentives for other companies to bring their work, jobs, and economic support to St. Louis County. Your consideration is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Sam Page
County Executive

SP/kem
Attachment
cc: Kelli Dunaway, Tim Fitch, Rochelle Walton Gray, Lisa Clancy, Ernie Trakas, Mark Harder
December 1, 2020

The Honorable Sam Page  
St. Louis County Executive  
41 South Central, 9th Floor  
St. Louis, MO 63105

Dear County Executive Page,

The purpose of this letter is to respectfully request the appropriate legislative actions to complete a Chapter 100 Bond issuance in an amount up to $45 million for The Boeing Company that will add 30,000 square feet to an existing 65,724 square foot hangar built in 1963 at 6161 Aviation Dr. in unincorporated St. Louis County. The addition will support and service aerospace programs. This project is important for the long term viability of operations at the St. Louis location. The company plans to spend approximately $22,500,000 on the new construction and an estimated $22,500,000 on machinery at the location.

The County Council is requested to adopt an Order instructing the Administrative Director to send Notice of the Council’s intent to consider a “plan for industrial development,” which will include a cost benefit analysis and other project information, to the appropriate taxing districts. The County Council is also requested to consider and pass an Ordinance approving the plan for industrial development and authorizing the issuance of the Bonds totaling up to $45 million. The Ordinance will provide a real and personal property tax abatement at a rate of fifty percent (50%) over a 10-year term in return for the jobs and investment. The Company intends to retain 12,100 existing full-time jobs in the County and to create an additional 30 new full-time jobs by 2024.

Thank you for your consideration in this manner,

Rodney Crim  
CEO and President  
St. Louis Economic Development Partnership

cc: Winston Calvert  
Kevin McKenna
Project Soar

Project Soar is a construction project sponsored by The Boeing Company that will add 30,000 square feet to an existing 65,724 square foot hangar built in 1963 at 6161 Aviation Dr. in unincorporated St. Louis County. The addition will support and service aerospace programs. This project is important for the long term viability of operations at the St. Louis location. The company plans to spend approximately $22,500,000 on the new construction and an estimated $22,500,000 on machinery at the location. This project is expected to generate 30 new jobs, and Boeing has agreed to extend the current site commitment of 12,100 overall employment at the St. Louis Boeing facility through the 10-year abatement for Project Soar (expected to be through 2031). This Ch. 100 tax abatement is for net new investment and no existing taxes are to be reduced to the taxing districts.

Investment:
- $22,500,000 real property
- $22,500,000 personal property

Jobs:
- 30 new full-time permanent jobs by 2024, and commit to 12,100 total in St. Louis operations for the life of this abatement.

Location: 6161 Aviation Dr., St. Louis MO 63134 (Unincorporated)

Incentives:
- Chapter 100 Tax Abatement – 50% over a 10 year period
  - Real Property Tax Abatement – new investment only at 6161 Aviation Dr.
  - Personal Property Tax Abatement – new equipment to be located at 6161 Aviation Dr.
- Sales Tax exemption on construction materials
- This is for net new investment only - no existing taxes are abated

Key Points:
- Since 2015, employment has grown from 14,700 to nearly 16,000 in St. Louis.
- This project insures additional and diverse work opportunities for St. Louis operations.
- This project will support the continued use of St. Louis Lambert International Airport.

Supporting point:
- The Project is expected to be exempt from real and personal property taxes commencing on January 1, 2022 through 2031.
- The company has committed to extend the current job site commitment of 12,100 employees for the overall St. Louis operations with this agreement.
- The average annual salary for the new jobs is approximately $90,000.